

Semiclassical Approximation for the Nonrelativistic Coulomb Propagator

S. M. Blinder

Department of Chemistry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109

(Received 1 March 1984)

An approximation to the Coulomb propagator, correct to first order in \hbar , is derived. This function has the structure $K = F(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \exp[iS(\lambda, \mu, \nu)]$, in terms of auxiliary variables λ, μ, ν introduced in the solution of the corresponding Hamilton-Jacobi equation.

PACS numbers: 31.15.+q, 03.65.Sq

A long-missing element in Feynman's path-integral formulation of quantum mechanics¹ has been the propagator for the Coulomb problem, $K(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2, t)$. Duru and Kleinert² and other workers³ have carried out the path integration for the hydrogenic problem but no explicit form for the propagator has thereby resulted. In this note I will derive an approximate form for the Coulomb propagator by working with the time-dependent Schrödinger equation rather than the path integral. I note that a number of integral representations related to K have previously been given,⁴ as well as a numerical solution for the corresponding statistical density matrix.⁵ In earlier work, I studied the asymptotic behavior of the Coulomb propagator.⁶ I have, in addition, recently derived related propagators in the domain of Coulomb Sturmian eigenstates.⁷

Hostler and Pratt⁸ first discovered a closed form for the time-independent Coulomb Green's function $G(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2, E)$. The retarded (outgoing-wave) solution can be written⁹

$$G^+(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2, E) = G^+(x, y, k) = -\frac{1}{\pi(x-y)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) g^+(x, y, k), \quad (1)$$

with

$$g^+(x, y, k) = (ik)^{-1} \Gamma(1 - i\nu) \times M_{i\nu}^{1/2}(-iky) W_{i\nu}^{1/2}(-ikx), \quad (2)$$

in terms of the following variables and parameters:

$$\begin{aligned} x &= r_1 + r_2 + r_{12}, & y &= r_1 + r_2 - r_{12}, \\ E &= \hbar^2 k^2 / 2m, & \nu &= z/ka_0, \quad \text{Im}k > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

M and W are Whittaker functions as defined by Buchholz.¹⁰ Remarkably, the Coulomb Green's function depends on just the two combinations of variables, x and y , whereas rotational symmetry alone would imply a function of three variables, say

r_1, r_2 , and r_{12} . This reduction is a consequence of the SO(4) or SO(3,1) dynamical symmetry of the Coulomb problem, connected as well with an additional constant of the motion—the Runge-Lenz vector.¹¹

The Coulomb propagator is the solution of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation

$$\left(i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \nabla_1^2 + \frac{z}{r_1} \right) K(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2, t) = 0 \quad (4)$$

subject to the initial condition

$$K(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2, 0) = \delta(\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2). \quad (5)$$

I employ atomic units, $\hbar = e = m = 1$, but temporarily retain \hbar for use as an expansion parameter. Since K and G are related by a Fourier transform,¹²

$$G^+ = -i \int_0^\infty K e^{iEt} dt, \quad (6)$$

we can conclude that the propagator likewise depends on \vec{r}_1 and \vec{r}_2 only through the combinations x and y . I assume therefore that $K = K(x, y, t)$.

In the limit as $z \rightarrow 0$, K reduces to the free-particle propagator

$$\begin{aligned} K^0(x, y, t) &= (2\pi it)^{-3/2} e^{i(x-y)^2/8t} \\ &= (2\pi it)^{-3/2} e^{ir_{12}^2/2t}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

As shown by Feynman¹ and others,¹³ for Hamiltonians expressible as quadratic forms in generalized coordinates and momenta, the propagator has the structure

$$K(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2, t) = F(t) \exp[iS(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2, t)/\hbar] \quad (8)$$

in which S is the classical action, the solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation. For a single particle,

$$S(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2, t) = \int_{\vec{r}_1, 0}^{\vec{r}_2, t} L(\vec{r}, \dot{\vec{r}}) dt \quad (9)$$

along a classically allowed trajectory. The modulating function F depends on t alone, determined such

that K satisfies the appropriate time-dependent Schrödinger equation with the initial condition (5).

For nonharmonic potentials, including the Coulomb problem, the simple structure (8) is no longer exact. I propose to represent the Coulomb propagator in the slightly more general form

$$K(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2, t) = F(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2, t) \exp[iS(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2, t)/\hbar] \quad (10)$$

with the preexponential factor now free to contain dependence on \vec{r}_1 and \vec{r}_2 as well as t . Substituting (10) into (4) we obtain

$$- [S_t + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_1 S)^2 - z/r_1] F + i\hbar [F_t + \nabla_1 F \cdot \nabla_1 S + \frac{1}{2} F \nabla_1^2 S] + \frac{1}{2} \hbar^2 \nabla_1^2 F = 0. \quad (11)$$

Within the semiclassical approximation,¹⁴ the term in \hbar^2 is neglected while S and F are determined from the segments of Eq. (11) to zeroth and first order in \hbar , viz.

$$S_t + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_1 S)^2 - z/r_1 = 0, \quad (12)$$

and

$$F_t + \nabla_1 F \cdot \nabla_1 S + \frac{1}{2} F \nabla_1^2 S = 0. \quad (13)$$

I solved Eq. (12), the Hamilton-Jacobi equation for the Coulomb problem, some time ago.¹⁵ The result can be expressed as

$$S = \nu [\sinh(\lambda - \mu) \cosh(\lambda + \mu) + 3(\lambda - \mu)] \quad (14)$$

in terms of the auxiliary variables λ , μ , and ν defined such that

$$\begin{aligned} zx &= 4\nu^2 \sinh^2 \lambda, \\ zy &= 4\nu^2 \sinh^2 \mu, \\ z^2 t &= 2\nu^3 [\sinh(\lambda - \mu) \cosh(\lambda + \mu) - (\lambda - \mu)]. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Consistent with $x \geq y \geq 0$, we have $\lambda \geq \mu \geq 0$. As

defined λ , μ , and ν are real for positive-energy Coulomb states and pure imaginary for bound states.

The first-order equation (13), expressed in terms of the variables x , y , and t , works out to be

$$\frac{1}{2} F_t + 2S_x F_x + S_{xx} F + \frac{1}{x} (S_x + S_y) F + \frac{1}{x-y} (S_x - S_y) F = 0 \quad (16)$$

plus the analog with x and y interchanged. For further progress, we must reexpress Eq. (16) in terms of the variables λ , μ , ν . The requisite elements of the Jacobian matrix are enumerated in Table I. The derivatives of S thus work out to be

$$S_x = (2\nu)^{-1} \coth \lambda, \quad S_y = -(2\nu)^{-1} \coth \mu, \quad (17)$$

$$S_{xx} = \frac{1}{16\nu^3 \sinh^3 \lambda \cosh \lambda} \times \left[\frac{2 \sinh^5 \lambda \cosh \mu}{J(\lambda, \mu)} - 1 \right], \quad (18)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J(\lambda, \mu) &= \cosh \mu j(\lambda) - \cosh \lambda j(\mu), \\ j(\lambda) &= \sinh^3 \lambda + 3 \sinh \lambda - 3 \lambda \cosh \lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

The following identities are readily verified:

$$\cosh \lambda j'(\lambda) = \sinh \lambda j(\lambda) + 2 \sinh^4 \lambda \quad (20)$$

and

$$\cosh \lambda \frac{\partial J}{\partial \lambda} = \sinh \lambda J(\lambda, \mu) + 2 \sinh^4 \lambda \cosh \mu. \quad (21)$$

With use of (21), the second derivative (18) simplifies to

$$S_{xx} = \frac{1}{16\nu^3 \sinh^2 \lambda} \left[\frac{J_\lambda}{J} - \coth \lambda \right]. \quad (22)$$

Reduction of Eq. (16) to an ordinary differential

TABLE I. Elements of the Jacobian matrix $\partial(\lambda, \mu, \nu)/\partial(x, y, t)$. Abbreviations are as follows: $S_\lambda = \sinh \lambda$, $C_\lambda = \cosh \lambda$, $S_\mu = \sinh \mu$, $C_\mu = \cosh \mu$; $j(\lambda) = S_\lambda^3 + 3S_\lambda - 3\lambda C_\lambda$; $J(\lambda, \mu) = C_\mu j(\lambda) - C_\lambda j(\mu)$; $T(\lambda, \mu) = (S_\lambda C_\lambda - \lambda) - (S_\mu C_\mu - \mu)$.

	x	y	t
λ	$(3C_\mu T + 2S_\mu^3)/8\nu^2 S_\lambda J$	$-S_\lambda S_\mu/4\nu^2 J$	$-S_\lambda C_\mu/2\nu^3 J$
μ	$S_\lambda S_\mu/4\nu^2 J$	$(3C_\lambda T - 2S_\lambda^3)/8\nu^2 S_\mu J$	$-C_\lambda S_\mu/2\nu^3 J$
ν	$-S_\lambda C_\mu/4\nu J$	$C_\lambda S_\mu/4\nu J$	$C_\lambda C_\mu/2\nu^2 J$

equation follows from a remarkable operator relation:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + 2S_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{8\nu^3 \sinh^2 \lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}. \quad (23)$$

With use of (22) and (23), Eq. (16) simplifies to

$$F_\lambda + \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{J_\lambda}{J} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\cosh \lambda}{\sinh \lambda} + \frac{\cosh(\lambda - \mu)}{\sinh(\lambda - \mu)} \right] F = 0. \quad (24)$$

The solution is

$$F(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = [\sinh(\lambda - \mu)]^{-1} \times [\sinh \lambda J(\lambda, \mu)]^{-1/2} \times (\text{function of } \mu, \nu). \quad (25)$$

The symmetry between λ and μ , together with the condition that F approach its free-particle analog as $z \rightarrow 0$ [cf. Eq. (7)], implies further that

$$F(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = \frac{1}{2} (z^2/4\pi i)^{3/2} \nu^{-9/2} \times [\sinh(\lambda - \mu)]^{-1} \times [\sinh \lambda \sinh \mu J(\lambda, \mu)]^{-1/2}. \quad (26)$$

We arrive thereby at the semiclassical approximation to the Coulomb propagator:

$$K(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2, t) \approx F(\lambda, \mu, \nu) e^{iS(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} \quad (27)$$

with $S(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ given by (14). This approaches the free-particle propagator as $\lambda, \mu \rightarrow \infty$, corresponding to any of the limits $z \rightarrow 0$, $x, y \rightarrow \infty$, or $t \rightarrow 0$. The semiclassical propagator correctly reduces to a delta function in accordance with (5).

In applications to be discussed elsewhere, Coulomb propagators can be used to construct many-electron Green's functions for computation of atomic and molecular eigenvalue spectra.¹²

¹R. P. Feynman, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **20**, 367 (1948); R. P. Feynman and A. R. Hibbs, *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965).

²I. H. Duru and H. Kleinert, *Phys. Lett.* **84B**, 185 (1979), and *Fortschr. Phys.* **30**, 401 (1982).

³M. C. Gutzwiller, *J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.)* **8**, 1979 (1967); C. Gerry and A. Inomata, *Phys. Lett.* **84A**, 172 (1981); R. Ho and A. Inomata, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48**, 231 (1982).

⁴M. J. Goovaerts and J. T. Devreese, *J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.)* **13**, 1070 (1972); M. J. Goovaerts, F. Broeckx, and P. Van Camp, *Physica (Utrecht)* **64**, 47 (1973).

⁵R. G. Storer, *J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.)* **9**, 964 (1968).

⁶S. M. Blinder, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **S14**, 43 (1980).

⁷S. M. Blinder, *Phys. Rev. A* **29**, 1674 (1984), and *J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.)* (to be published).

⁸L. Hostler and R. H. Pratt, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **10**, 469 (1963); L. Hostler, *J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.)* **5**, 591 (1964).

⁹S. M. Blinder, *J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.)* **22**, 306 (1981).

¹⁰H. Buchholz, *The Confluent Hypergeometric Function* (Springer, New York, 1969).

¹¹L. Hostler, *J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.)* **8**, 642 (1967).

¹²See, for example, S. M. Blinder, *Theoretical Chemistry International Review of Science Vol. I* (Butterworths, London, 1975).

¹³S. Albeverio and R. Hoegh-Krohn, in *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 523 edited by S. Albeverio *et al.* (Springer, New York, 1976).

¹⁴For a recent review see N. Fröman, in *Semiclassical Methods in Molecular Scattering and Spectroscopy*, edited by M. S. Child (Reidel, Dordrecht, 1980), pp. 1-44.

¹⁵S. M. Blinder, *J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.)* **16**, 2000 (1975).