## PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

VOLUME 51

## 8 AUGUST 1983

NUMBER 6

## Absence of Long-Range Order above Two Dimensions

Francisco C. Alcaraz<sup>(a)</sup> and Laurence Jacobs Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California 93106

and

Robert Savit Physics Department, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109 (Received 6 June 1983)

It is shown that a *d*-dimensional statistical system of a single U(1) variable,  $\exp(i\varphi)$ , whose Hamiltonian is invariant under the transformation  $\varphi(x_1, \ldots, x_d) \rightarrow \varphi(x_1, \ldots, x_d) + \Lambda(x_3, \ldots, x_d)$ , with  $\Lambda$  an arbitrary function, has no long-range order, so that  $\langle \exp(i\varphi) \rangle = 0$  for all nonzero temperatures. Moreover, the full planar symmetry reflected in the above transformation law is also unbroken for all T > 0. When d = 2 the usual Mermin-Wagner result is recovered. Various extensions and physical implications of this theorem are briefly discussed.

PACS numbers: 05.20.Gg, 64.60.Cn, 75.40.Bw

The most usual types of statistical theories are either those with a simple global symmetry, such as the Ising or O(N) Heisenberg models, or those with local gauge symmetries. Between these two extremes, however, are theories with Hamiltonians which are invariant under a symmetry transformation expressed by a gauge function which is an arbitrary function of only a subset of the spatial coordinates of the system. If, for a *d*-dimensional theory the gauge function is an arbitrary function of only d - n coordinates, we will say that the theory has an *n*-dimensional symmetry.

Of particular interest is the case n=2. For d=2 this case corresponds to the usual class of globally symmetric two-dimensional spin systems. For d=3, several statistical models with n=2 have been studied in the literature.<sup>1,2</sup> When these models are endowed with a continuous symmetry, they show an absence of long-range order, as well as a number of other intriguing properties. Furthermore, such three-dimensional models may well correspond to certain helical magnetic

or liquid-crystal systems, in which there is an absence of long-range order for all T > 0 for certain regions of the phase diagram.

Unlike the two-dimensional case, where the global symmetry expresses the full content of the n=2 symmetry, the global symmetry of a theory with n = 2 and  $d \ge 3$  is just a subset of the full n=2 symmetry corresponding to n'(global) = d. In view of the central role of global symmetry breaking in statistical physics, it is important to address the possibility that the full n=2 symmetry may be broken without breaking the global symmetry.<sup>3</sup> In this Letter we will show that for  $d \ge 2$ all theories which are theories of a single U(1)spin,  $\exp(i\varphi)$ , which have n=2 have no long-range order (i.e., no spontaneously broken global symmetry), for any nonzero temperature. Moreover, we will show that for  $d \ge 3$  the full n = 2 symmetry is also not broken for any T > 0. Of course, just as in the case of the two-dimensional x-y model, these theories may have phase transitions despite the absence of symmetry breaking. Indeed, some three-dimensional models with n=2 have been

(2)

analyzed and found to undergo a phase transition into a low-temperature phase with no long-range order.<sup>4</sup>

The proof involves three steps. First we will generalize the framework of the usual proof of the Mermin-Wagner theorem<sup>5</sup> to accommodate the larger class of theories in which we are interested. Next we will argue that the existence of the n=2 symmetry implies the existence of a (d-2)-dimensional surface of singularities in the propagator for the spin waves of the theory. Finally, we will show that, as a result of these singularities, a certain integral diverges with the size of the system, and, as in the proof of the Mermin-Wagner theorem for two-dimensional theories, this divergence implies that the symmetries (global and full n=2) are not spontaneously broken for T > 0. The Letter will conclude with a few ancillary comments.

Consider a theory with a Hamiltonian,  $H(\{\varphi\})$ , where  $\varphi(\vec{\mathbf{x}})$  is an angle-valued variable associated with a lattice site with coordinate  $\vec{\mathbf{x}}$ . For simplicity we will take our theory to be defined on a *d*-dimensional hypercubic lattice, but this restriction is not essential for the proof. Following the authors of Ref. 5 we will use the Bogoliubov inequality<sup>6</sup>

$$\frac{1}{2}\langle \{A, A^{\dagger}\}\rangle \langle [[C, H], C^{\dagger}]\rangle \geq k_{\mathrm{B}}T |\langle [C, A]\rangle|^{2}.$$
(1)

 $A^{\dagger}$  ( $C^{\dagger}$ ) is the Hermitian conjugate of A (C). C is defined by

$$C_{k}|\varphi(\vec{\mathbf{x}})\rangle = |\varphi(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) - \delta\varphi\cos\vec{\mathbf{k}}\cdot\vec{\mathbf{x}}\rangle + |\varphi(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) - \delta\varphi\sin\vec{\mathbf{k}}\cdot\vec{\mathbf{x}}\rangle$$

The state  $|\varphi(\vec{x})\rangle$  is the state defined by the set  $\{\varphi(\vec{x})\}$  for all points,  $\vec{x}$ , on the lattice. A commutator is denoted by  $[,], \{,\}$  is an anticommutator,  $\delta\varphi$  is a small constant field, and

$$\langle O \rangle = \mathrm{Tr}Oe^{-\beta H}/\mathrm{Tr}e^{-\beta H},$$

with

 $\beta = (k_{\rm B}T)^{-1}.$ 

To study the two cases of global and n=2 symmetries we need two different sets of A operators: Global symmetry.—

$$A_{k}|\varphi(\vec{\mathbf{x}})\rangle = \sum_{\vec{\mathbf{y}}} \cos\vec{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{y}} \sin\varphi(\vec{\mathbf{y}}) |\Phi_{1}(\vec{\mathbf{x}})\rangle + \sum_{\vec{\mathbf{y}}} \sin\vec{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{y}} \sin\varphi(\vec{\mathbf{y}}) |\Phi_{2}(\vec{\mathbf{x}})\rangle.$$
(3)

 $A_{k}|\varphi(\vec{\mathbf{x}})\rangle = \sum_{\vec{\mathbf{y}}} [\cos\vec{\mathbf{k}}\cdot\vec{\mathbf{y}} - \cos\vec{\mathbf{k}}\cdot(\vec{\mathbf{y}}-\vec{\mathbf{M}})]\Delta_{M}(\varphi(\vec{\mathbf{y}}))|\Phi_{1}(\vec{\mathbf{x}})\rangle + \sum_{\vec{\mathbf{y}}} [\sin\vec{\mathbf{k}}\cdot\vec{\mathbf{y}} - \sin\vec{\mathbf{k}}\cdot(\vec{\mathbf{y}}-\vec{\mathbf{M}})]\Delta_{M}(\varphi(\vec{\mathbf{y}}))|\Phi_{2}(\vec{\mathbf{x}})\rangle, \quad (4)$ 

where

$$|\Phi_{1}(\vec{\mathbf{x}})\rangle \equiv |\varphi(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) + \delta\varphi \cos \vec{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{x}}\rangle, \quad |\Phi_{2}(\vec{\mathbf{x}})\rangle \equiv |\varphi(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) + \delta\varphi \sin \vec{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{x}}\rangle, \quad \Delta_{M}(\varphi(\vec{\mathbf{y}})) \equiv \sin[\varphi(\vec{\mathbf{y}}) - \varphi(\vec{\mathbf{y}} + \vec{\mathbf{M}})].$$

In Eq. (4),  $\vec{M}$  is a fixed vector with a nonzero projection out of the plane of the n=2 symmetry; e.g., if the gauge function  $\Lambda$  is independent of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  and  $\vec{L} = (0, 0, 1, 1, ..., 1)$ , then  $\vec{L} \cdot \vec{M} \neq 0$ .

Let us first derive the condition for the absence of a spontaneous breakdown of global symmetry. To do this we consider the Hamiltonian of the system in an external magnetic field h:

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} H_0(\varphi(\mathbf{x})) - h \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \cos\varphi(\mathbf{x}).$$
(5)

We assume that  $H_0$  can be written in the form

$$\sum_{\mathbf{x}} H_0(\varphi(\mathbf{x})) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \sum_{p=1}^s f_p(\Omega_p(\{\varphi(\mathbf{x})\})),$$
(6)

where

$$\Omega_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\{\varphi(\mathbf{\bar{x}})\}) = \sum_{j=1}^{q(\boldsymbol{p})} c_{\boldsymbol{p}j}\varphi(\mathbf{\bar{x}}_j).$$

 $H_0$  contains s different kinds of interactions.  $\Omega_p(\{\varphi(\vec{\mathbf{x}})\})$  is a linear combination of  $\varphi$ 's on lattice sites in the neighborhood of some point  $\vec{\mathbf{x}}$ ;  $\vec{\mathbf{x}}_j = \vec{\mathbf{x}} + \vec{\mathbf{r}}_j$ . There are no explicit long-range forces, so that  $|\vec{\mathbf{r}}_j|$ is finite. We assume further that  $f_p$  can be expanded in a Taylor series about the zero of its argument<sup>7</sup>:

$$f(z) = f(0) + f'(0)z + \frac{1}{2}f''(0)z^2 + \dots$$

(7)

We will now use (2) and (3) to calculate (1), expanding in powers of  $\delta \varphi$ . For small  $\delta \varphi$  we have

$$\langle [C_k, A_k] \rangle = \delta \varphi \langle \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \cos \varphi (\mathbf{x}) \rangle = m N \delta \varphi,$$

where N is the number of lattice sites and m is the magnetization. Furthermore,

$$\sum_{\mathbf{\bar{k}}} \frac{1}{2} \langle \{A_{\mathbf{\bar{k}}}, A_{\mathbf{\bar{k}}}^{\dagger}\} \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{\bar{k}}} \sum_{\mathbf{\bar{x}}, \mathbf{\bar{x}}'} \cos \mathbf{\bar{k}} \cdot (\mathbf{\bar{x}} - \mathbf{\bar{x}}') \leq N^2.$$
(8)

Finally,

$$\langle \varphi(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) | [[C_{\vec{\mathbf{k}}}, H], C_{\vec{\mathbf{k}}}^{\dagger}] | \varphi(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) \rangle = (\delta \varphi)^{2} \sum_{\vec{\mathbf{x}}} \left\{ h \cos \varphi(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) + \sum_{p=1}^{s} f_{p}''(\Omega_{p}) \sum_{i, j=1}^{q(p)} c_{pi} \cos \vec{\mathbf{k}} \cdot (\vec{\mathbf{r}}_{i} - \vec{\mathbf{r}}_{j}) \right\},$$
(9)

where  $\{\vec{r}_i\}$  is the set of q(p)  $\vec{r}$ 's defined after Eq. (6)

We now assume that the thermal average,  $\langle f_p''(\Omega_p(\{\varphi(\bar{\mathbf{x}})\})) \rangle \leq \gamma$  for each p, where  $\gamma$  is some positive number. Then, taking the thermal average of (9), we have

$$\langle [[C_k,H],C_k^{\dagger}] \rangle \leq (\delta\varphi)^2 N[hm + \gamma \sum_{p} \sum_{i,j=1}^{q(p)} c_{pi} c_{pj} \cos \vec{k} \cdot (\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j)].$$
(10)

Using (7), (8), and (10) in (1), we finally obtain

$$m^{2} \leq \left(\frac{k_{B}T}{N} \sum_{\vec{k}} \frac{1}{\gamma \sum_{p} \epsilon_{p} + hm}\right)^{-1}.$$
(11)

where the sum over  $\vec{k}$  is over the first Brillouin zone, and

$$\epsilon_{p} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{a(p)} c_{pj} \cos \vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}_{j}\right)^{2} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{a(p)} c_{pj} \sin \vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}_{j}\right)^{2}.$$
(12)

We will now show that the integral (sum over  $\overline{k}$ ) on the right-hand side of (11) diverges as  $h \to 0$  in the thermodynamic limit. First we note that if  $H_0$  is invariant under

$$\varphi(x_1,\ldots,x_d) - \varphi(x_1,\ldots,x_d) + \Lambda(x_3,\ldots,x_d), \tag{13}$$

then  $H_0$  can be written in the form of Eq. (6) in which each of the  $f_p$  is invariant under (13). This means that the  $c_{pj}$  in Eq. (6) must satisfy

$$\sum_{j\in\alpha} c_{jj} = 0, \tag{14}$$

where the set  $\alpha$  includes all those spin labels that lie in any given  $(x_1, x_2)$  plane. (In continuum notation this is equivalent to the statement that  $H_0$  is a function of  $\varphi$  only through  $\partial_1 \varphi$  and  $\partial_2 \varphi$ .) With use of (14), it is clear that, for  $k_1 = k_2 = 0$ ,  $\epsilon_p = 0$ . Moreover,  $\epsilon_p$  can obviously be expanded in a power series in  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ , so that, in general, we can write

$$\epsilon_{\boldsymbol{p}} = k_1^2 u_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\vec{\mathbf{k}}) + k_2^2 v_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\vec{\mathbf{k}}) + k_1 k_2 w_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\vec{\mathbf{k}}), \tag{15}$$

where  $u_{p}$ ,  $v_{p}$ , and  $w_{p}$  are finite functions of  $\bar{k}$ .

We consider now a large lattice of linear dimension L. Inserting (15) into (11), converting the sum over  $\vec{k}$  into an integral in the usual way, and defining  $u(\vec{k}) = \gamma \sum u_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\vec{k})$ , etc., we have

$$m^{2} \leq \left(\frac{k_{\rm B}T}{(2\pi)^{d}} \int_{1/L} d^{d}k \frac{1}{k_{1}^{2} u(\vec{k}) + k_{2}^{2} v(\vec{k}) + k_{1}k_{2} w(\vec{k}) + h_{m}}\right)^{-1}.$$
(16)

From (12), it is clear that  $\epsilon_p \ge 0$ . Therefore, focusing attention on the region of integration where  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are close to 1/L, it is easy to see that, when  $h \to 0$ , the integral in (16) diverges at least as fast as  $\ln L$ . Hence, in the thermodynamic limit,  $m^2 \to 0$  as  $h \to 0$ , and the system has no long-range order.

To prove that there is no spontaneous breaking of the full n=2 symmetry, we follow nearly the same path that led to (16) with the following changes: Instead of expression (3) we use expression (4) in the Bogoliubov inequality, (1), and instead of the global-symmetry-breaking term of Eq. (5), we add to  $H_0$ a term which respects the global symmetry but breaks the n=2 symmetry. We thus consider the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} H_0(\varphi(\mathbf{x})) - h_M \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \cos[\varphi(\mathbf{x}) - \varphi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{M})].$$
(17)

With these changes it is straightforward to compute the inequality analogous to (16) which will yield a bound on the breaking of the n=2 symmetry. The result is

$$m_{M}^{2} \leq \left(\frac{4k_{B}T}{(2\pi)^{d}}\int_{1/L} d^{d}k \frac{\xi_{M}^{2}(\vec{k})}{k_{1}^{2}u(\vec{k}) + k_{2}^{2}v(\vec{k}) + k_{1}k_{2}w(\vec{k}) + \xi_{M}(\vec{k})h_{M}m_{M}}\right)^{-1}, \quad \xi_{M}(\vec{k}) = 1 - \cos\vec{k} \cdot \vec{M},$$
(18)

where

$$m_{\mathbf{M}} = \langle \cos[\varphi(\mathbf{\vec{x}}) - \varphi(\mathbf{\vec{x}} + \mathbf{\vec{M}})] \rangle.$$
(19)

Since  $\vec{M}$  has a component out of the  $(x_1, x_2)$  plane, the numerator of the integrand in (18) does not vanish when  $k_1 = k_2 = 0$ . Because the integrand in (18) is positive, the analysis proceeds as for Eq. (16). The integral diverges at least as fast as  $\ln L$  in the limit  $h_M \rightarrow 0$ , because of the contribution near  $k_1 = k_2 = 0$ . Thus,  $m_M^2 = 0$  in the thermodynamic limit and the n = 2 symmetry is not spontaneously broken.

We conclude with a few remarks. First, our result is really more general than we have stated it. The condition that the otherwise arbitrary function  $\Lambda$  be independent of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  is only one of a variety of constraints on  $\Lambda$  that will allow us to prove an absence of long-range order. A quick review of the argument leading to Eq. (15) indicates that a large class of conditions on  $\Lambda$  of the form  $O_1\Lambda = O_2\Lambda = 0$ , where  $O_1$  and  $O_2$  are linearly independent differential operators (or their lattice equivalents), will be sufficient to produce a form for  $\epsilon_{b}$  which vanishes fast enough as two of the components of  $\bar{\mathbf{k}}$  go to zero to ensure that the integral in (16) diverges for  $h \rightarrow 0$  and  $L \rightarrow \infty$ . This is the type of condition obeyed by the gauge function in the theory discussed in Ref. 1. Second, it is clear from the derivation of expression (16) that a d-dimensional U(1)-invariant theory with an n = 1 symmetry will also lack long-range order. In this case, the corresponding integral on the right-hand side of (16) will diverge at least as fast as L. The absence of long-range order in such a system can be thought of as having a somewhat trivial origin in that the model can be decoupled into a stack of (d-1)-dimensional mutually noninteracting models. This is quite analogous to what happens in a genuine one-dimensional model with finite-range interactions. Finally, we remark that although we have restricted ourselves to systems with a U(1) symmetry, we expect, on general grounds, that an analogous theorem will hold for a large class of suitably defined *d*-dimensional, continuously symmetric non-Abelian theories with n = 1 or n = 2.

This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation through Grants No. PHY77-27084 and No. PH78-08426, and by the Conselho de Desenvolvimento Científico e Technológico of Brazil. One of us (R.S.) is an Alfred P. Sloan Foundation Fellow.

<sup>1</sup>D. J. Amit, S. Elitzur, E. Rabinovici, and R. Savit, Nucl. Phys. <u>B210</u> [FS6], 69 (1982). Strictly speaking this model and that of G. Grinstein [J. Phys. A <u>13</u>, L201 (1980), and Phys. Rev. B <u>23</u>, 4615 (1981)] do not have the n = 2 symmetry described in this Letter. However, the theorem that we prove is actually applicable to a larger class of theories than those described by a strict construction of expression (13). See the comments at the end of the Letter for further discussion.

<sup>2</sup>F. C. Alcaraz, L. Jacobs, and R. Savit, Phys. Lett. <u>89A</u>, 49 (1982), and J. Phys. A <u>16</u>, 175 (1983); R. Liebmann, Phys. Lett. <u>85A</u>, 59 (1981), and Z. Phys. B <u>45</u>, 243 (1982); P. A. Pearce and R. J. Baxter, Phys. Rev. B <u>24</u>, 5295 (1981); O. G. Mouritsen, B. Frank, and D. Mukamel, Phys. Rev. B <u>27</u>, 3018 (1983).

<sup>3</sup>Actually, one could contemplate breaking any of the n' symmetries, where  $d \ge n' > n$ , which are subsets of the full *n*-dimensional symmetry without breaking the n'' symmetries with n'' > n'. As we shall see, without explicit symmetry-breaking fields, this possibility does not exist for the case n = 2.

<sup>4</sup>F. C. Alcaraz, L. Jacobs, and R. Savit, J. Phys. A <u>16</u>, 175 (1983).

<sup>5</sup>N. D. Mermin and H. Wagner, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>17</u>, 1133 (1966); F. Wegner, Z. Phys. <u>206</u>, 465 (1967); N. D. Mermin, Phys. Rev. <u>176</u>, 250 (1968); S. Coleman, Commun. Math. Phys. <u>31</u>, 259 (1973).

<sup>6</sup>N. N. Bogoliubov, Phys. Abh. Sowjetunion <u>6</u>, 1, 113, 229 (1962); H. Wagner, Z. Phys. 195, 273 (1966).

<sup>7</sup>In a continuum theory these conditions on  $H_0$  would be replaced by the condition that  $H_0$  be expandable in a power series in  $\varphi$  and all possible combinations of a finite number of derivatives. That is, terms like  $\varphi |\partial \varphi|$ would not be allowed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(a)</sup>Permanent address: Departamento de Física, Universidade de São Carlos, C.P. 616, 13560 São Carlos, São Paulo, Brazil.