## PHYSICAL REVIEW **LETTERS**

## VOLUME 51 8 AUGUST 1983 NUMBER 6

## Absence of Long-Range Order above Two Dimensions

Francisco C. Alcaraz<sup> $(a)$ </sup> and Laurence Jacobs Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California 93106

and

Robert Savit Physics Department, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109 (Received 6 June 1983)

It is shown that a d-dimensional statistical system of a single U(1) variable,  $\exp(i\varphi)$ , whose Hamiltonian is invariant under the transformation  $\varphi(x_1, \ldots, x_d) \rightarrow \varphi(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ +  $\Lambda(x_3, \ldots, x_d)$ , with  $\Lambda$  an arbitrary function, has no long-range order, so that  $\langle \exp(i\varphi) \rangle$  $=0$  for all nonzero temperatures. Moreover, the full planar symmetry reflected in the above transformation law is also unbroken for all  $T > 0$ . When  $d = 2$  the usual Mermin-Wagner result is recovered. Various extensions and physical implications of this theorem are briefly discussed.

PACS numbers: 05.20.0g, 64.60.Cn, 75.40.Bw

The most usual types of statistical theories are either those with a simple global symmetry, such as the Ising or  $O(N)$  Heisenberg models, or those with local gauge symmetries. Between these two extremes, however, are theories with Hamiltonians which are invariant under a symmetry transformation expressed by a gauge function which is an arbitrary function of only a subset of the spatial coordinates of the system. If, for a  $d$ -dimensional theory the gauge function is an arbitrary function of only  $d - n$  coordinates, we will say that the theory has an *n*-dimensional symmetry.

Of particular interest is the case  $n=2$ . For d =2 this case corresponds to the usual class of globally symmetric two-dimensional spin sys-. tems. For  $d = 3$ , several statistical models with globally symmetric two-dimensional spin sys-<br>tems. For  $d = 3$ , several statistical models with<br> $n = 2$  have been studied in the literature.<sup>1,2</sup> When these models are endowed with a continuous symmetry, they show an absence of long-range order, as well as a number of other intriguing properties. Furthermore, such three-dimensional models may well correspond to certain helical magnetic

or liquid-crystal systems, in which there is an absence of long-range order for all  $T > 0$  for certain regions of the phase diagram.

Unlike the two-dimensional case, where the global symmetry expresses the full content of the  $n=2$  symmetry, the global symmetry of a theory with  $n = 2$  and  $d \ge 3$  is just a subset of the full n = 2 symmetry corresponding to  $n'$  (global) = d. In view of the central role of global symmetry breaking in statistical physics, it is important to address the possibility that the full  $n=2$  symmetry may be broken without breaking the global symmay be broken without breaking the grobal sym-<br>metry.<sup>3</sup> In this Letter we will show that for  $d \ge 2$ all theories which are theories of a single  $U(1)$ spin,  $\exp(i\varphi)$ , which have  $n=2$  have no long-range order (i.e., no spontaneously broken global symmetry), for any nonzero temperature. Moreover, we will show that for  $d \geq 3$  the full  $n = 2$  symmetry is also not broken for any  $T > 0$ . Of course, just as in the case of the two-dimensional  $x-y$  model, these theories may have phase transitions despite the absence of symmetry breaking. Indeed, some three-dimensional models with  $n = 2$  have been

analyzed and found to undergo a phase transition into a low-temperature phase with no long-range order.

The proof involves three steps. First we will generalize the framework of the usual proof of the Mermin-Wagner theorem' to accommodate the larger class of theories in which we are interested. Next we will argue that the existence of the  $n = 2$  symmetry implies the existence of a  $(d-2)$ -dimensional surface of singularities in the propagator for the spin waves of the theory. Finally, we will show that, as a result of these singularities, a certain integral diverges with the size of the system, and, as in the proof of the Mermin-Wagner theorem for two-dimensional

theories, this divergence implies that the symmetries (global and full  $n = 2$ ) are not spontaneously broken for  $T > 0$ . The Letter will conclude with a few ancillary comments.

Consider a theory with a Hamiltonian,  $H(\{\varphi\})$ , where  $\varphi(\vec{x})$  is an angle-valued variable associated with a lattice site with coordinate  $\bar{x}$ . For simplicity we will take our theory to be defined on a  $d$ -dimensional hypercubic lattice, but this restriction is not essential for the proof. Following the authors of Ref. 5 we will use the Bogoliubov inequality<sup>6</sup>

$$
\frac{1}{2}\langle \{A,A^{\dagger}\}\rangle\langle [[C,H],C^{\dagger}]\rangle \geq k_{B}T|\langle [C,A]\rangle|^{2}.
$$
 (1)

 $A^{\dagger}$  (C<sup>†</sup>) is the Hermitian conjugate of A (C). C is defined by

$$
C_{k}|\varphi(\vec{x})\rangle = |\varphi(\vec{x}) - \delta\varphi \cos \vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}\rangle + |\varphi(\vec{x}) - \delta\varphi \sin \vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}\rangle. \tag{2}
$$

The state  $|\varphi(\vec{x})\rangle$  is the state defined by the set  $\{\varphi(\vec{x})\}$  for all points,  $\vec{x}$ , on the lattice. A commutator is denoted by  $[,$   $], \{$ ,  $\}$  is an anticommutator,  $\delta\varphi$  is a small constant field, and

$$
\langle O \rangle = \text{Tr} O e^{-\beta H} / \text{Tr} e^{-\beta H},
$$

with

 $\beta = (k_{B}T)^{-1}$ .

To study the two cases of global and  $n = 2$  symmetries we need two different sets of  $A$  operators:<br>Global symmetry.—

$$
A_{\mathbf{a}}|\varphi(\mathbf{\vec{x}})\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{\vec{y}}} \cos \mathbf{\vec{k}} \cdot \mathbf{\vec{y}} \sin \varphi (\mathbf{\vec{y}}) |\Phi_1(\mathbf{\vec{x}})\rangle + \sum_{\mathbf{\vec{y}}} \sin \mathbf{\vec{k}} \cdot \mathbf{\vec{y}} \sin \varphi (\mathbf{\vec{y}}) |\Phi_2(\mathbf{\vec{x}})\rangle. \tag{3}
$$

$$
n = 2
$$
 symmetry.

 $A_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}|\varphi(\mathbf{\vec{x}})\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{\vec{y}}}[\cos \mathbf{\vec{k}} \cdot \mathbf{\vec{y}} - \cos \mathbf{\vec{k}} \cdot (\mathbf{\vec{y}} - \mathbf{\vec{M}})]\Delta_{\boldsymbol{M}}(\varphi(\mathbf{\vec{y}}))|\Phi_1(\mathbf{\vec{x}})\rangle + \sum_{\mathbf{\vec{y}}}[\sin \mathbf{\vec{k}} \cdot \mathbf{\vec{y}} - \sin \mathbf{\vec{k}} \cdot (\mathbf{\vec{y}} - \mathbf{\vec{M}})]\Delta_{\boldsymbol{M}}(\varphi(\mathbf{\vec{y}}))|\Phi_2(\mathbf{\vec{x}})\rangle,$  (4)

where

$$
|\Phi_1(\vec{x})\rangle \equiv |\varphi(\vec{x}) + \delta \varphi \cos \vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}\rangle, \quad |\Phi_2(\vec{x})\rangle \equiv |\varphi(\vec{x}) + \delta \varphi \sin \vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}\rangle, \quad \Delta_M(\varphi(\vec{y})) \equiv \sin[\varphi(\vec{y}) - \varphi(\vec{y} + \vec{M})].
$$

In Eq. (4),  $\vec{M}$  is a fixed vector with a nonzero projection out of the plane of the  $n=2$  symmetry; e.g., if the gauge function  $\Lambda$  is independent of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  and  $\overline{L} = (0, 0, 1, 1, \ldots, 1)$ , then  $\overline{L} \cdot \overline{M} \neq 0$ .

Let us first derive the condition for the absence of a spontaneous breakdown of global symmetry. To do this we consider the Hamiltonian of the system in an external magnetic field  $h$ :

$$
H = \sum_{\mathbf{\tilde{x}}} H_0(\varphi(\mathbf{\tilde{x}})) - h \sum_{\mathbf{\tilde{x}}} \cos \varphi(\mathbf{\tilde{x}}). \tag{5}
$$

We assume that  $H_0$  can be written in the form

$$
\sum_{\overline{x}} H_0(\varphi(\overline{x})) = \sum_{\overline{x}} \sum_{p=1}^s f_p(\Omega_p(\{\varphi(\overline{x})\})),
$$
\n(6)

where

$$
\Omega_{p}(\{\varphi(\vec{\mathbf{x}})\})=\sum_{j=1}^{q(p)}c_{pj}\varphi(\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{j}).
$$

 $H_0$  contains s different kinds of interactions.  $\Omega_b(\{\varphi(\tilde{x})\})$  is a linear combination of  $\varphi$ 's on lattice sites in the neighborhood of some point  $\tilde{x};~\tilde{x}_j$  =  $\tilde{x}$  +  $\tilde{r}_j$ . There are no explicit long-range forces, so that  $|\tilde{r}_j|$ is finite. We assume further that  $f<sub>p</sub>$  can be expanded in a Taylor series about the zero of its argument':

$$
f(z) = f(0) + f'(0)z + \frac{1}{2}f''(0)z^2 + \ldots
$$

(7)

We will now use (2) and (3) to calculate (1), expanding in powers of  $\delta\varphi$ . For small  $\delta\varphi$  we have

$$
\langle [C_{\mathbf{k}}, A_{\mathbf{k}}] \rangle = \delta \varphi \langle \sum_{\mathbf{\bar{x}}} \cos \varphi \, (\mathbf{\bar{x}}) \rangle = m N \delta \varphi ,
$$

where N is the number of lattice sites and  $m$  is the magnetization. Furthermore,

$$
\sum_{\vec{k}} \frac{1}{2} \langle \{A_{\vec{k}}, A_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger}\} \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\vec{k}} \sum_{\vec{x}, \vec{x}'} \cos \vec{k} \cdot (\vec{x} - \vec{x}') \leq N^2.
$$
 (8)

Finally,

$$
\langle \varphi(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) \vert \left[ \vert C_{\vec{\mathbf{k}}}, H \right], C_{\vec{\mathbf{k}}}^{\dagger} \vert \vert \varphi(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) \rangle = (\delta \varphi)^2 \sum_{\vec{\mathbf{x}}} \left\{ h \cos \varphi(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) + \sum_{p=1}^{s} f_p''(\Omega_p) \sum_{i,j=1}^{q(p)} c_{pi} c_{pj} \cos \vec{\mathbf{k}} \cdot (\vec{\mathbf{r}}_i - \vec{\mathbf{r}}_j) \right\},\tag{9}
$$

where  $\{\vec{r}_i\}$  is the set of  $q(p)$   $\vec{r}'$ 's defined after Eq. (6)

We now assume that the thermal average,  $\langle f_p''(\Omega_p(\{\varphi(\bar{x})\})) \rangle \le \gamma$  for each  $p$ , where  $\gamma$  is some positiv number. Then, taking the thermal average of (9), we have

$$
\langle \left[ \left[ C_k, H \right], C_k^{\dagger} \right] \rangle \leq (\delta \varphi)^2 N [hm + \gamma \sum_{p} \sum_{i,j=1}^{q(p)} c_{pi} c_{pj} \cos \vec{k} \cdot (\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j)]. \tag{10}
$$

Using  $(7)$ ,  $(8)$ , and  $(10)$  in  $(1)$ , we finally obtain

$$
m^2 \leq \left(\frac{k_B T}{N} \sum_{\overline{k}} \frac{1}{\gamma \sum_{\rho} \epsilon_{\rho} + h m}\right)^{-1}.
$$
\n(11)

where the sum over  $\vec{k}$  is over the first Brillouin zone, and

$$
\epsilon_{p} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{q(p)} c_{pj} \cos \vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}_{j}\right)^{2} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{q(p)} c_{pj} \sin \vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}_{j}\right)^{2}.
$$
\n(12)

We will now show that the integral (sum over  $\vec{k}$ ) on the right-hand side of (11) diverges as  $h \rightarrow 0$  in the thermodynamic limit. First we note that if  $H_0$  is invariant under

$$
\varphi(x_1, \ldots, x_d) + \varphi(x_1, \ldots, x_d) + \Lambda(x_3, \ldots, x_d), \qquad (13)
$$

then  $H_0$  can be written in the form of Eq. (6) in which each of the  $f_{\rho}$  is invariant under (13). This means that the  $c_{\rho j}$  in Eq. (6) must satisfy

$$
\sum_{j\in\alpha}c_{pj}=0,\tag{14}
$$

where the set  $\alpha$  includes all those spin labels that lie in any given  $(x_1, x_2)$  plane. (In continuum notation this is equivalent to the statement that  $H_0$  is a function of  $\varphi$  only through  $\partial_1\varphi$  and  $\partial_2\varphi$ .) With use of (14), it is clear that, for  $k_1 = k_2 = 0$ ,  $\epsilon_p = 0$ . Moreover,  $\epsilon_p$  can obviously be expanded in a power series in  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ , so that, in general, we can write

$$
\epsilon_p = k_1^2 u_p(\vec{k}) + k_2^2 v_p(\vec{k}) + k_1 k_2 w_p(\vec{k}),
$$
\n(15)

where  $u_{\rho}$ ,  $v_{\rho}$ , and  $w_{\rho}$  are finite functions of  $\vec{k}$ .

We consider now a large lattice of linear dimension  $L$ . Inserting (15) into (11), converting the sum over k into an integral in the usual way, and defining  $u(\vec{k}) = \gamma \sum u_{\rho}(\vec{k})$ , etc., we have

$$
m^{2} \leq \left(\frac{k_{\mathrm{B}}T}{(2\pi)^{d}}\int_{1/L}d^{d}k\frac{1}{k_{1}^{2}u\left(\overline{\mathbf{k}}\right)+k_{2}^{2}v\left(\overline{\mathbf{k}}\right)+k_{1}k_{2}w\left(\overline{\mathbf{k}}\right)+hm}\right)^{-1}.\tag{16}
$$

From (12), it is clear that  $\epsilon_{\phi} \ge 0$ . Therefore, focusing attention on the region of integration where  $k_1$ and  $k_2$  are close to  $1/L$ , it is easy to see that, when  $h \rightarrow 0$ , the integral in (16) diverges at least as fast as lnL. Hence, in the thermodynamic limit,  $m^2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$ , and the system has no long-range order.

To prove that there is no spontaneous breaking of the full  $n=2$  symmetry, we follow nearly the same path that led to (16) with the following changes: Instead of expression (3) we use expression (4) in the Bogoliubov inequality, (1), and instead of the global-symmetry-breaking term of Eq. (5), we add to  $H_0$ a term which respects the global symmetry but breaks the  $n = 2$  symmetry. We thus consider the Hamiltonian

$$
H = \sum_{\mathbf{\tilde{x}}} H_0(\varphi(\mathbf{x})) - h_M \sum_{\mathbf{\tilde{x}}} \cos[\varphi(\mathbf{\tilde{x}}) - \varphi(\mathbf{\tilde{x}} + \mathbf{\tilde{M}})]. \tag{17}
$$

437

With these changes it is straightforward to compute the inequality analogous to (16) which will yield a bound on the breaking of the  $n=2$  symmetry. The result is

$$
m_{M}^{2} \leq \left(\frac{4k_{B}T}{(2\pi)^{d}}\int_{1/L} d^{d}k \frac{\xi_{M}^{2}(\vec{k})}{k_{1}^{2}u(\vec{k})+k_{2}^{2}v(\vec{k})+k_{1}k_{2}w(\vec{k})+\xi_{M}(\vec{k})h_{M}m_{M}}\right)^{-1}, \quad \xi_{M}(\vec{k}) = 1 - \cos\vec{k}\cdot\vec{M},
$$
\n(18)

where

$$
m_{\mathbf{M}} = \langle \cos[\varphi(\vec{x}) - \varphi(\vec{x} + \vec{M})] \rangle. \tag{19}
$$

Since  $\bar{M}$  has a component out of the  $(x_1, x_2)$  plane, the numerator of the integrand in (18) does not vanish when  $k_1 = k_2 = 0$ . Because the integrand in (18) is positive, the analysis proceeds as for Eq. (16). The integral diverges at least as fast as lnL in the limit  $h_{\mu}$  - 0, because of the contribution near  $k_1 = k_2 = 0$ . Thus,  $m_{\mu}^2 = 0$  in the thermodynamic limit and the  $n = 2$  symmetry is not spontaneously broken.

We conclude with a few remarks. First, our result is really more general than we have stated it. The condition that the otherwise arbitrary function  $\Lambda$  be independent of  $x_1$ , and  $x_2$  is only one of a variety of constraints on  $\Lambda$  that will allow us to prove an absence of long-range order. A quick review of the argument leading to Eq. (15) indicates that a large class of conditions on  $\Lambda$  of the form  $O_1 \Lambda = O_2 \Lambda = 0$ , where  $O_1$  and  $O_2$  are linearly independent differential operators (or their lattice equivalents), will be sufficient to produce a form for  $\epsilon_{\lambda}$  which vanishes fast enough as two of the components of  $\bar{k}$  go to zero to ensure that the integral in (16) diverges for  $h \rightarrow 0$  and  $L \rightarrow \infty$ . This is the type of condition obeyed by the gauge function in the theory discussed in Ref. 1. Second, it is clear from the derivation of expression (16) that a  $d$ -dimensional U(1)-invariant theory with an  $n=1$  symmetry will also lack long-range order. In this case, the corresponding integral on the right-hand side of (16) will diverge at least as fast as  $L$ . The absence of long-range order in such a system can be thought of as having a somewhat trivial origin in that the model can be decoupled into a stack of  $(d-1)$ -dimensional mutually noninteracting models. This is quite analogous to what happens in a genuine one-dimensional model with finite- range interactions. Finally, we remark that although we have restricted ourselves to systems with a  $U(1)$  symmetry, we expect, on general grounds, that an analogous theorem will hold for a large class of suitably defined d-dimensional, continuously symmetric non-Abelian theories with  $n = 1$  or  $n = 2$ .

This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation through Grants No. PHY77-27084 and No. PH78-08426, and by the Conselho de Desenvolvimento Cientifico e Technológico of Brazil. One of us  $(R.S.)$  is an Alfred P. Sloan Foundation Fellow.

<sup>1</sup>D. J. Amit, S. Elitzur, E. Rabinovici, and R. Savit, Nucl. Phys. B210 [FS6], 69 (1982). Strictly speaking this model and that of G. Grinstein [J. Phys. A 13, L201 (1980), and Phys. Rev. B 23, 4615 (1981)] do not have the  $n = 2$  symmetry described in this Letter. However, the theorem that we prove is actually applicable to a larger class of theories than those described by a strict construction of expression (13). See the comments at the end of the Letter for further discussion.

 ${}^{2}$ F. C. Alcaraz, L. Jacobs, and R. Savit, Phys. Lett. 89A, 49 (1982), and J. Phys. A 16, 175 (1983); R. Liebmann, Phys. Lett. 85A, 59 (1981), and Z. Phys. B 45, <sup>243</sup> (1982); P. A. Pearce and R.J. Baxter, Phys. Rev. B 24, 5295 (1981); O. G. Mouritsen, B. Frank, and D. Mukamel, Phys. Rev. B 27, 3018 (1983).

3Actually, one could contemplate breaking any of the notality, the code contemprate of diring any of the  $n'$  symmetries, where  $d \geq n' > n$ , which are subsets of the full  $n$ -dimensional symmetry without breaking the  $n''$  symmetries with  $n'' > n'$ . As we shall see, without explicit symmetry-breaking fields, this possibility does not exist for the case  $n = 2$ .

 ${}^{4}$ F. C. Alcaraz, L. Jacobs, and R. Savit, J. Phys. A 16, 175 (1983).

 $^{5}$ N. D. Mermin and H. Wagner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 17, 1133 (1966); F. Wegner, Z. Phys. 206, 465 (1967); N. D. Mermin, Phys. Rev. 176, 250 (1968); S. Coleman, Commun. Math. Phys. 31, 259 (1973).

 ${}^{6}N$ . N. Bogoliubov, Phys. Abh. Sowjetunion 6, 1, 113, 229 (1962); H. Wagner, Z. Phys. 195, 273 (1966).

<sup>7</sup>In a continuum theory these conditions on  $H_0$  would be replaced by the condition that  $H_0$  be expandable in a power series in  $\varphi$  and all possible combinations of a finite number of derivatives. That is, terms like  $\varphi |\partial \varphi|$ would not be allowed.

 $^{(a)}$ Permanent address: Departamento de Física, Universidade de São Carlos, C.P. 616, 13560 São Carlos, São Paulo, Brazil.