

Comment on "Photoexcitations in *trans*-(CH)_x: A Fourier-Transform Infrared Study"

In a recent Letter Blanchet *et al.*¹ have presented photoinduced absorption spectra of *trans*-polyacetylene which demonstrate that photogenerated charged solitons apparently are pinned. The pinning frequency is 500 cm⁻¹ (0.06 eV). I remark that this observation has a natural interpretation in terms of solitonic exciton formation. If a potential well $V(R)$ with a minimum at a separation distance $R=R_0$ exists between the oppositely charged members (S^+ and S^-) of a photogenerated pair, the solitons will bind and oscillate with a frequency ω_0 about the equilibrium separation R_0 . The following simple calculation correctly predicts the magnitude of ω_0 and leads to a rate for a photodecay process, $S^+S^- \rightarrow S^0S^0 + h\nu$, which is consistent with the observed recombination time of the photogenerated species.

Lin-Liu and Maki² have calculated the interaction energy between two solitons for $R > 2\xi_0$, where $2\xi_0 = (4t_0/\Delta)a$ is the soliton width and where t_0 denotes the π -electron hopping integral, 2Δ the Peierls gap, and $2a$ the linear lattice constant. For the S^+S^- configuration their calculation may be trivially modified to allow for the correct (odd) parity³ of the two-electron wave function describing the occupation of the split gap states. Consequently, the S^+S^- configuration is *repulsive*, and allowing for the Coulomb attraction, one has

$$V(R) = - (e^2/\epsilon R) + (8\Delta/\pi) \exp(-2R/\xi_0). \quad (1)$$

Here ϵ is the static dielectric constant of the polymer. It follows immediately that R_0 is the solution of $\pi e^2/8\epsilon\xi\Delta = (R/\xi)^2 \exp(-R/\xi)$, where $\xi \equiv \xi_0/2$, while

$$(\hbar\omega_0)^2 = (2e^2\hbar^2/\epsilon R_0^2\eta M_s)(1 - 2\xi/R_0) \quad (2)$$

in which M_s is the soliton translational mass. With use of the representative values $2\Delta = 1.4$ eV, $t_0 = 3$ eV, $M_s = m_e$, $\epsilon = 10$, and $a = 1.2$ Å, we find $R_0 = 5.1\xi = 26$ Å (i.e., $R_0/2\xi_0 = 1.28$) and $\hbar\omega_0 = 0.062$ eV. Allowing for zero-point motion, the dissociation energy of the bound pair is $k_B T_d \approx V(R_0) + \hbar\omega_0/2$, giving $T_d \approx 151$ K, consistent with the observed temperature for the onset of photoconductivity.⁴

The rate, $1/\tau$, for the spontaneous photodecay process $S^+S^- \rightarrow S^0S^0 + h\nu$, where $h\nu = 4\Delta \exp(-R_0/\xi_0)$ is equal to the splitting of the gap states, is, for $T = 0$,

$$\hbar/\tau \approx (2e^2/3\epsilon R_0)(4\Delta\epsilon^{1/2}R_0/c\hbar)^3 \times \exp(-3R_0/\xi_0) \quad (3)$$

which yields $\tau \approx 3 \times 10^{-6}$ sec.

The effects of electron correlation and the possibility of the formation of a three-dimensional ionic lattice of solitons with the (highly repulsive) S^+S^- configuration of even parity is presently under investigation.

I thank Graciela Blanchet and Curt Fincher for directly stimulating this Comment.

M. J. Rice
Xerox Webster Research Center
Webster, New York 14580

Received 6 June 1983

PACS numbers: 72.80.Le, 72.15.Nj, 78.30.Cp

¹Graciela B. Blanchet, C. R. Fincher, T. C. Chung, and A. J. Heeger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50**, 1938 (1983).

²Y. R. Lin-Liu and K. Maki, *Phys. Rev.* **22**, 5754 (1980).

³R. Ball, W. P. Su, and J. R. Schrieffer, to be published.

⁴G. B. Blanchet and C. R. Fincher, private communication.