## Electronic Raman Scattering by Superconducting-Gap Excitations in Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn and V<sub>3</sub>Si

S. B. Dierker and M. V. Klein

Department of Physics and Materials Research Laboratory, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801

and

G. W. Webb and Z. Fisk<sup>(a)</sup>

Institute for Pure and Applied Physical Sciences, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093 (Received 13 December 1982)

> Raman-scattering measurements on Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn and V<sub>3</sub>Si show new peaks in the superconducting state close in energy to the superconducting gap  $2\Delta$ . These are attributed to direct electronic Raman scattering by pairs of superconducting quasiparticles. A theory is presented which fits the data well and provides evidence for anisotropy of the gap  $(\sim 20\%$  in Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn) and of the matrix element for electronic Raman scattering.

PACS numbers: 74.30.Gn, 78.30.Er

Direct electronic Raman scattering by superconducting-gap excitations was first predicted over two decades ago<sup>1</sup>; however, its observation has been hampered by experimental difficulties. Theoretical calculations of the Raman-scattering spectrum of a superconductor<sup>1-3</sup> have all been made in the limit where the optical penetration depth,  $\delta$ , is small compared to the superconducting coherence length,  $\xi_0$ . They predict a discontinuous turnon at the gap,  $2\Delta$ , which asymptotically approaches the linear background of the normal metal. In this Letter, we report observations of direct electronic Raman scattering by superconducting-gap excitations. New peaks appear, close in energy to  $2\Delta$ , in disagreement with existing theories, but adequately described by a theory for the limit  $\delta \gg \xi_0$ . These results provide evidence for anisotropy of both the gap (~20% in  $Nb_3Sn$ ) and the Raman-scattering matrix element (which is closely related to the inverse effective-mass tensor). Thus, detailed information on the basic microscopic interactions responsible for superconductivity can be derived from the Raman-scattering spectrum. A brief report of some aspects of this work has already appeared<sup>4,5</sup>; the theoretical interpretation given below now rests on a firmer foundation.

The A-15 compounds Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn and V<sub>3</sub>Si are noteworthy for their high-temperature superconductivity and various electronic and phonon anomalies.<sup>6</sup> For the  $O_h$  point group only excitations with  $E_g$ ,  $T_{2g}$ , or  $A_{1g}$  symmetry can be Raman active. One  $E_g$ , one  $T_{2g}$ , and no  $A_{1g}$  optical phonons are expected in the A-15 structure. Raman studies of the  $E_{\sigma}$  optical phonon show that it has a strongly temperature-dependent, asymmetric line shape-evidence of strong electron-phonon

coupling.<sup>7-10</sup>

All data were obtained with 514-nm laser light incident at a pseudo-Brewster angle of  $\sim 70^{\circ}$ ; the 40-mW beam formed an  $0.1 \times 2.5$ -mm<sup>2</sup> illuminated area. The scattered light was collected in a direction normal to the surface. When the samples were not immersed in superfluid helium, laser heating was sufficient to drive them normal.

Figure 1 shows Raman spectra from a Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn



FIG. 1. Raman spectra of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn. Lower curves in (a) and (b) are at 40 K and upper curves are at 1.8 K. Data in (c) and (d) are at 1.8 K. Symmetries are (a), (d)  $E_{g}$ ; (b)  $T_{2g}$ ; (c) top,  $E_{g} + A_{1g}$ ; middle,  $E_{g}$ ; bot-tom,  $A_{1g}$ . The  $A_{1g}$  data in (c) are obtained by subtraction from the upper two curves in (c). All solid lines except the upper two in (c) are theoretical fits.

single crystal in various polarization geometries at 40 and 1.8 K. The sample was grown by closedtube vapor transport. Other samples from the same growth were measured to have  $T_c = 18.0$  K and a residual resistivity ratio (RRR) extrapolated to 0 K of 50, and underwent a martensitic transformation at  $T_m = 50.6$  K. The Raman-active  $E_{\rm g}$  optical phonon appears near 175 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the  $E_{\rm g}$  and  $E_{\rm g} + A_{1\rm g}$  spectra. This mode can be thought of as a charge-density wave (CDW) with  $q = \pi/a$ where a is the Nb-Nb intrachain separation. Weak scattering from the  $T_{2g}$  optical phonon near 150 cm<sup>-1</sup> is observed in the  $T_{2g}$  spectrum. When the sample is immersed in superfluid helium at 1.8 K new peaks appear at 40-50 cm<sup>-1</sup>, close in energy to  $2\Delta$ , which is ~54 cm<sup>-1</sup> from tunneling measurements.<sup>11</sup> The position, width, and intensity of the new peaks are symmetry dependent, with  $E_{g}$ ,  $T_{2g}$ , and  $A_{1g}$  components.

Earlier Sooryakumar and Klein<sup>12</sup> observed new Raman peaks close to  $2\Delta$  in superconducting 2H-NbSe<sub>2</sub> and presented evidence that these peaks acquired their Raman activity by coupling to nearby Raman-active CDW phonons.<sup>12-14</sup> Two theoretical models for this coupling have been proposed.<sup>15,16</sup> However, in our case, the presence of the new peaks is not dependent on the existence of CDW phonons, since only the  $E_g$  phonon is of that type. Furthermore, the presence of the  $A_{1g}$ component and the absence of any Raman-active  $A_{1g}$  phonons demonstrate that the gap excitations have a direct Raman activity of their own.

We have also studied a single-crystal sample of  $V_3Si$  ( $T_c = 16.9$  K, RRR = 15,  $2\Delta \sim 41$  cm<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>11</sup> which is not believed to undergo a martensitic transformation. The  $E_g$  phonon appears near ~ 275 cm<sup>-1</sup> with an intensity of ~ 1.5 counts/s. The  $T_{2g}$  phonon is unobservable. At 1.8 K new peaks, similar to the  $A_{1g}$  spectrum of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn in Fig. 1(c), appear at ~ 40 cm<sup>-1</sup> with an intensity of ~ 3.5 counts/s. Only  $E_g$  and  $A_{1g}$  components of the  $2\Delta$  peak are observed; the  $T_{2g}$  peak is absent. Hackl, Kaiser, and Schicktanz<sup>17</sup> recently reported similar results on martensitically transforming V<sub>3</sub>Si, including a study of the temperature dependence.

In a light-scattering experiment in metals,  $q_{\parallel}$ , the component of the transferred wave vector  $\vec{q}$ parallel to the surface, is conserved. For our experiments  $q_{\parallel} = 1.2 \times 10^5$  cm<sup>-1</sup>. The perpendicular component  $q_{\perp}$  is not conserved but is spread about zero with a width given by  $1/\delta$ . The lightscattering event creates an electron-hole pair with respective wave vectors at, say  $\vec{k} + \vec{q}$  and  $\vec{k}$ .

In the normal state the excitation energy is  $\epsilon_{k+q}$  $-\epsilon_k \approx \vec{v}_k \cdot \vec{q}$ , where  $\epsilon_k$  is the Bloch energy and where  $\vec{v}_k$  is the group velocity at  $\vec{k}$  on the Fermi surface. In the superconducting state electrons and holes become mixtures of quasiparticles, and the electron-hole pair spectrum acquires a threshold at the local gap  $2aW_k$ . The spectrum depends on whether the characteristic frequency  $\omega_c = qv$  is large or small compared with  $2\Delta$ . Here v and  $\Delta$  are averages of  $v_k$  and  $\Delta_k$  over the Fermi surface. Since  $\xi_0 = v/2\Delta$ , this is equivalent to  $\xi_0$ being large or small compared to  $\delta$ . For Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn and V<sub>3</sub>Si  $\omega_{c\parallel} = q_{\parallel}v$  is 4-5 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and  $\omega_{c\perp} = v/\delta$  is 25-30 cm<sup>-1</sup>.<sup>18</sup> Thus, the two A-15 samples are probably in the "intermediate-q" limit  $(qv \sim \Delta)$ , at least for an average electron in these complex materials.

Abrikosov and Fal'kovskii<sup>1</sup> calculated the zerotemperature Raman spectrum in the large-q limit  $(qv \gg 2\Delta)$  using the BCS theory. The scattered intensity  $I_s$  shows a turnon and an overshoot: It rises discontinuously from zero to  $\pi/2$  times the normal-metal result  $(I_n)$  at  $\omega = 2\Delta$  and then continuously approaches  $I_n \propto \omega$  from above as  $\omega$  increases above  $2\Delta$ .<sup>2</sup> Abrikosov and Genkin<sup>3</sup> later showed that when the theory is corrected for Coulomb polarization effects the shape of  $I_s$  is the same but the Coulomb interaction screens the average matrix element for light scattering, leaving only the fluctuation  $\delta M_{\mu}^{ij} = M_{\mu}^{ij} - \langle M^{ij} \rangle$ . Here  $M_k^{ij}$  is the overall matrix element for scattering of light from polarization i to j with creation of an electron at  $\vec{k} + \vec{q}$  and a hole at  $\vec{k}$ . It is closely related to the inverse effective-mass tensor  $(m_k^{-1})^{ij}$ .  $\langle M^{ij} \rangle$  is the Fermi-surface average of  $M_{k}^{ij}$ .

In the small-q case screening produces a similar result, but the spectrum is different, having a true peak at  $2\Delta$ , rather than a turnon and overshoot.<sup>19</sup> When vertex and Coulomb corrections are made, a nonconstant  $M_k$  leads to a response function  $B_{\Gamma}(\omega)$  for each symmetry that is nonzero at frequencies of order  $2\Delta$  and is given approximately by<sup>19</sup>

$$B_{\Gamma}(\omega) = -2N(0)\langle f_k | \delta M_k^{\Gamma} |^2 \rangle, \qquad (1)$$

$$f_{k} = \beta_{k}^{-1} (1 - \beta_{k}^{2})^{-1/2} \arcsin \beta_{k} , \qquad (2a)$$

$$\beta_k = \omega / (2\Delta_k), \tag{2b}$$

where N(0) is the density of states for one spin. For  $A_{1g}$ ,  $E_g$ , and  $T_{2g}$  symmetry, we have for

TABLE I. Summary of results.						
	$\Delta_0 \pm \sigma$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	${ m Nb_3Sn}\ {2\Delta_0/kT_c}$	$2\Delta/kT_c$ <sup>a</sup>	$\Delta_0 \pm \sigma$	$V_3 Si$ $2\Delta_0/kT_c$	$2\Delta/kT_c$ a
$E_{g}$ $A_{1g}$ $T_{2g}$	$20.6 \pm 4.2 \\ 26.0 \pm 4.8 \\ 25.0 \pm 3.4$	$\left.\begin{array}{c}3.3\\4.2\\4.0\end{array}\right\}$	4.2-4.4	20.0±2.4 Seen, weak Not seen	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 3.4 \end{array} \right\}$	3.4-3.6

TABLE I. Summary of results.

<sup>a</sup> Tunneling results from Ref. 11.

 $|\delta M_{b}^{\Gamma}|^{2}$ 

$$|\delta M_k^{A}|^2 = \frac{1}{3} |\operatorname{Tr} \delta M_k|^2, \qquad (3a)$$

$$|\delta M_{k}^{E}|^{2} = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i < j} |M_{k}^{ii} - M_{k}^{jj}|^{2}, \qquad (3b)$$

$$|\delta M_k^T|^2 = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i < j} |\delta M_k^{ij}|^2.$$
 (3c)

The corrections to Eq. (1) are small for small gap anisotropy. They vanish for the BCS case  $\Delta_k = \text{const}$  and for  $\Gamma = E_{\sigma}$  or  $T_{2\sigma}$ .<sup>19</sup>

One can show that the Raman cross section for each symmetry is proportional to  $-\text{Im}B_{\Gamma}(\omega + i0^{+})$ , which can be written as

$$-\operatorname{Im}B_{\Gamma}(\omega) = 4\pi \langle |\delta_{M}^{\Gamma}|^{2} \rangle N(0) \int_{0}^{\omega/2} \frac{P_{M}^{\Gamma}(\Delta) \Delta^{2} d\Delta}{\omega (\omega^{2} - 4\Delta^{2})^{1/2}}, \qquad (4a)$$

where

$$P_{M}^{\Gamma}(\Delta) = N(0)^{-1} \sum_{k} \delta(\Delta_{k} - \Delta) \delta(\epsilon_{k})$$
$$\times |\delta M_{k}^{\Gamma}|^{2} \langle |\delta M^{\Gamma}|^{2} \rangle^{-1} \qquad (4b)$$

is a weighted distribution function for the gap  $\Delta_k$ . This theory implies that observation of direct electronic Raman scattering requires anisotropy in the matrix element  $M_k$ .<sup>3</sup>

The smooth solid line in Fig. 1(c) is a leastsquares fit using Eqs. (4a) and (4b) with a Gaussian for  $P_{M}^{\Gamma}(\Delta)$ . For the  $E_{g}$  and  $T_{2g}$  spectra from  $Nb_3Sn$  the fits also included a phonon contribution and a linear background attributed to interband electronic excitations.<sup>9,10</sup> Their individual contributions are shown by the lower solid curves in Fig. 1(d). The one with a peak near 50  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  is from Eqs. (4a) and (4b). The phonon contribution gives the curve with the 175-cm<sup>-1</sup> peak whose width is attributed to interband electronic decays.<sup>10</sup> This and the linear electronic background are given the turnon and overshoot behavior near 50 cm<sup>-1</sup> expected for intraband Raman scattering in the large-q limit. (An interband process can be treated as an intraband umklapp process.) A

Gaussian distribution of  $\Delta_k$ 's was used for all these curves.

The results of the fits are compared to tunneling results<sup>11</sup> in Table I.  $\Delta_0$  is the mean and  $\sigma$  the standard deviation of the Gaussian used for  $P_M(\Delta)$ . It appears that the weighting in the  $E_g$  spectrum favors the lower part of the distribution of  $\Delta_k$ 's, compared with the  $A_{1g}$  and  $T_{2g}$  weighting. According to Eq. (3b), the  $E_g$  spectrum comes mainly from those parts of the Fermi surfaces where  $|M_k^{ii} - M_k^{ij}|^2$  is largest. Assuming  $M_k^{ij} \sim (m_k^{-1})^{ij}$ , and looking at the Fermi surface calculated by Mattheiss and Weber for Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn,<sup>20</sup> we note that  $|(m_k^{-1})^{ii} - (m_k^{-1})^{ij}|^2$  will be large around the necks of the  $e_{20}(\Gamma)$  sheet. We therefore speculate that the gap on these necks is about 20% less than the average gap.

For simplicity and brevity, the theory and fits were confined to either the small-q or large-q limit. We have also performed calculations in the more complicated intermediate-q case.<sup>19</sup> As  $qv/2\Delta$  becomes greater than 1, the  $2\Delta$  peak broadens and weakens but maintains its peaked character until  $qv/2\Delta \ge 10$ . The small-q approximation employed in the fits to the data somewhat overestimates the real  $\sigma$  but is not in serious error.

In conclusion, we have seen Raman peaks at  $2\Delta$  in Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn and V<sub>3</sub>Si due to direct coupling of light to superconducting electrons. This implies an anisotropic Raman matrix element. In Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn the symmetry dependence of the observed values of  $\Delta_0$  implies a reasonably large (~20%) gap anisotropy.

We thank G. W. Hull, J. E. Bernadini, T. H. Geballe, and J. H. Wernick for the  $V_3$ Si crystal. This work was sponsored by the National Science Foundation through the Materials Research Laboratories Program Grant No. DMR-80-20250. One of us (S.B.D.) was the recipient of University Fellowships and a General Electric Foundation Fellowship.

<sup>(a)</sup>Present address: CMB 5, MS 730, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545.

<sup>1</sup>A. A. Abrikosov and L. A. Fal'kovskii, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 40, 262 (1961) [Sov. Phys. JETP 13, 1979 (1961)].

<sup>2</sup>S. Y. Tong and A. A. Maradudin, Mater. Res. Bull. 4, 563 (1969). <sup>3</sup>A. A. Abrikosov and V. M. Genkin, Zh. Eksp. Teor.

Fiz. 65, 842 (1973) [Sov. Phys. JETP 38, 417 (1974)].

<sup>4</sup>M. V. Klein, in Superconductivity in d- and f-Band Metals, edited by W. Buckel and W. Weber (Kernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe GmbH, Karlsruhe, Federal Republic of Germany, 1982), p. 539.

<sup>5</sup>S. B. Dierker, M. V. Klein, G. Webb, Z. Fisk,

J. Wernick, G. Hull, J.-E. Jørgensen, and S. E. Rasmussen, in Ref. 4, p. 563.

<sup>6</sup>See, for example, J. Mueller, Rep. Prog. Phys. 43, 641 (1980), and references therein.

<sup>7</sup>H. Wipf, M. V. Klein, B. S. Chandrasekhar, T. H. Geballe, and J. H. Wernick, Phys. Rev. Lett. 41, 1752 (1978).

<sup>8</sup>S. Schicktanz, R. Kaiser, E. Schneider, and W. Glaser, Phys. Rev. B 22, 2386 (1980).

<sup>9</sup>R. Merlin, S. B. Dierker, M. V. Klein, J.-E. Jørgensen, S. E. Rasmussen, Z. Fisk, and G. Webb, J. Phys. (Paris), Colloq. 42, C6-392 (1981).

- <sup>10</sup>S. B. Dierker, R. Merlin, M. V. Klein, G. W. Webb, and Z. Fisk, Phys. Rev. B 27, 3577 (1983).
- <sup>11</sup>D. F. Moore, R. B. Zubeck, J. M. Rowell, and M. R. Beasley, Phys. Rev. B 20, 2721 (1979).

 $^{12}$ R. Sooryakumar and M. V. Klein, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>45, 660 (1980).</u>

<sup>13</sup>R. Sooryakumar and M. V. Klein, Phys. Rev. B <u>23</u>, 3213 (1981).

<sup>14</sup>R. Sooryakumar, M. V. Klein, and R. F. Frindt, Phys. Rev. B 23, 3222 (1981).

<sup>15</sup>C. A. Balseiro and L. M. Falicov, Phys. Rev. Lett.

45, 662 (1980). <sup>16</sup>P. B. Littlewood and C. M. Varma, Phys. Rev. Lett. 47, 811 (1981).

<sup>17</sup>R. Hackl, R. Kaiser, and S. Schicktanz, in Superconductivity in d- and f-Band Metals, edited by W. Buckel and W. Weber (Kernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe GmbH, Karlsruhe, Federal Republic of Germany, 1982), p. 559.

<sup>18</sup> Average" value of v is about  $0.7 \times 10^7$  cm/s from critical-field data of T. P. Orlando et al., Phys. Rev. B 19, 4545 (1979). δ is about 140 Å, as determined from our own optical measurements.

<sup>19</sup>M. V. Klein and S. B. Dierker, unpublished results.  $^{20}L.$  F. Mattheiss and W. Weber, Phys. Rev. B  $\underline{25},$ 2248 (1982).

## Chaotic Noise Observed in a Resistively Shunted Self-Resonant Josephson Tunnel Junction

Robert F. Miracky and John Clarke

Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, and Materials and Molecular Research Division, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720

and

Roger H. Koch

IBM Thomas J. Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598 (Received 8 November 1982)

The current-voltage characteristics of Josephson tunnel junctions shunted by a resistance with a substantial self-inductance exhibit stable negative-resistance regions. Very large increases in the low-frequency voltage noise with temperatures of  $10^6$  K or more observed near these regions arise from switching between subharmonic modes. Moderate increases in the noise, with temperatures of about  $10^3$  K, arise from chaotic behavior. These results are substantiated by analog and digital simulations.

PACS numbers: 74.50.+r

Huberman, Crutchfield, and Packard<sup>1</sup> were the first to point out that a resistively shunted Josephson junction with appropriately chosen parameters should exhibit chaotic behavior when driven by an external rf field. Subsequently, other authors<sup>2-6</sup> have developed and extended this work using simulations. Apart from the inherent interest in chaotic behavior, these ideas may be highly relevant

to the design of devices based on the Josephson effect; for example, it is likely that the large levels of excess noise observed in parametric  $amplifiers^{7-9}$  were due to chaos. We have chosen to study possible chaotic effects in a different system, consisting of a Josephson tunnel junction with capacitance C shunted by a resistance R with a self-inductance L. In experiments on many