which implies

$$S_{\hat{A}}(|\psi\rangle) + S_{\hat{B}}(|\psi\rangle) \ge \mathfrak{B}(\hat{A}, \hat{B}) \ge 2\ln \frac{2}{1 + \sup\{|\langle a|b\rangle|\}}.$$
(13)

Since the right-hand side of (13) has all the properties requisite of  $\mathfrak{B}$ , it follows that  $\mathfrak{B}$  does also. Equation (13) is a satisfactory quantitative expression of the uncertainty principle.

I am indebted to Professor B. S. DeWitt and Professor J. A. Wheeler for discussions which led to this article. This work was supported in part by National Science Foundation Grant No. PHY-8205717.

<sup>1</sup>N. Bohr and L. Rosenfeld, K. Dan. Vidensk. Selsk. Mat.-Fys. Medd. <u>12</u>, No. 8 (1933).

<sup>2</sup>B. S. DeWitt, in *The Many-Worlds Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics*, edited by B. S. DeWitt and N. Graham (Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1973).

<sup>3</sup>D. Deutsch, "Quantum Theory as a Universal Physical Theory" (to be published).

<sup>4</sup>W. Heisenberg, Z. Phys. <u>43</u>, 172 (1927); H. P. Robertson, Phys. Rev. <u>34</u>, 163 (1929); E. Schrödinger, Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin <u>1930</u>, 296.

## Exact Solution of a Nonlinear Eigenvalue Problem in One Dimension

B. Sriram Shastry Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay 400005, India (Received 22 July 1982)

An exact solution of the eigenvalue problem

 $\left\{-d^2/dx^2 + \Delta [1 - a_0 \rho(x)]\right\} \psi_n(x) = E_n \psi_n(x)$ 

with  $\rho(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} |\psi_n(x)|^2$  and with periodic boundary condition is presented. The solution gives rise to a density wave with  $[\operatorname{const} - \rho(x)]$  proportional to  $\operatorname{sn}^2[(x/\lambda)|m]$  for suitable values of the parameters  $\lambda$  and m. The solution rests upon some remarkable properties of the solutions of Lamé's equation.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ge, 02.30.+g, 71.10.+x

The present work is concerned with an exact solution of a Hartree-like nonlinear eigenvalue problem (the *N*-component nonlinear Schrödinger equation) in one dimension,

$$\left\{-d^2/dx^2 + \Delta \left[1 - a_0 \rho(x)\right]\right\} \psi_n(x) = E_n \psi_n(x) , \qquad (1)$$

where  $0 \le x \le L$ ,  $1 \le n \le N$ ,  $a_0 = L/N$ ,  $\Delta$  is a coupling constant.

$$\rho(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} |\psi_n(x)|^2$$
(2)

and  $\psi_n$ 's are orthonormal and obey periodic boundary conditions  $\psi_n(x + L) = \psi_n(x)$ .

This problem has been considered approximately in various contexts earlier. In the context of the nuclear many-body problem<sup>1</sup> Eqs. (1) appear as Hartree's equations for N spinless fermions constrained to a box of size L, interacting through a two-body attractive  $\delta$ -function potential with strength  $\Delta$ . In an important paper entitled "Structure of Nuclear Matter" Overhauser<sup>1</sup> presented a weak-coupling theory  $(\Delta - 0)$  and showed that there exist solutions with broken translation invariance, in addition to the trivial solutions  $\psi(x) \sim \exp(iKx)$ , which are always lower in (total) energy. Overhauser found that the fermion density develops a sinusoidal shape with an amplitude that depends nonanaltyically on the coupling  $\Delta$  as  $\Delta - 0$ . These "charge-density waves" have found wide application in condensed-matter physics. Exact solutions of the equations do not appear to be known for large N, although the time-dependent version of the problem with boundary conditions

$$\psi_n(x) \xrightarrow[x \to \pm \infty]{} 0$$

seems to be better understood.<sup>2</sup>

These equations may be viewed as the Hartree-Fock (HF) equations for a system of  $2N \operatorname{spin} -\frac{1}{2}$  fermions on a ring with an attractive  $\delta$ -function interaction, which is of course a celebrated exactly solvable many-body problem.<sup>3,4</sup> Lieb and de Llano (LL) have studied the HF theory for this case rigorously.<sup>5</sup> The following results of LL are important in the present context: (a) HF theory exists in the sense that the total HF energy attains its minimum for orbitals which satisfy the HF equations. (b) For the case of 2N fermions, the optimal orbitals are solutions of the restricted HF equations which reduce essentially to Eqs. (1). (c) All the orbitals are real in the ground state. In addition LL solve Eqs. (1) for the case N = 1and 2.

$$E[\{\psi_n(x)\}, V(x)] = \int_0^L dx [\sum_n |\psi_n'(x)|^2 + V(x)\rho(x) + (2\Delta a_0)^{-1}V^2(x)].$$
(3)

<sup>|</sup>with

the energy functional

$$E_n = \lambda^{-2} \left\{ \epsilon_n + 2E/K - 2 \right\}. \tag{6}$$

related to the problem of Peierls distortion of

the linear chain. Here one considers fermions

(spinless for convenience) in a linear chain coup-

led to the lattice within the adiabatic approxima-

tion. This system was shown by Peierls to be unstable with respect to the formation of a period-

ic lattice distortion accompanied by the opening

of a gap in the spectrum at the Fermi level.<sup>6</sup> In

may be taken to be quadratic in momentum and

physical system modeled by Eqs. (1). Consider

the continum limit for the lattice leads to the

the limit of low concentration, the kinetic energy

Equation (5) is in the form of a Bloch-like periodic-potential problem with a period 2K(m)which should correspond to the mean particle separation  $a_0$  (i.e., commensurate) and hence we set

$$2K(m)\lambda = a_0. \tag{7}$$

I now show that the *Ansatz* is self-consistent. To this end note that Eq. (5) admits one (and only one) band gap leading to a single "valence band" and a single "conduction band." The valenceband wave functions may be written in the  $form^{12}$ 

$$\psi_{p}(u) \propto \frac{\theta_{1}(u+ip)}{\theta(u)} \exp\left\{iu\left[\frac{\pi p}{2KK'} + Z(p \mid m_{1})\right]\right\} \quad (8)$$

with eigenvalue

$$\epsilon_p = \mathbf{1} - m_1 \operatorname{cn}^2(p \mid m_1) \,. \tag{9}$$

Here  $m_1 = 1 - m$ ,  $K \equiv K(m)$ ,  $K' = K(m_1)$ ,  $\theta$  and Z are Jacobi's theta and zeta functions with parameter m,  $\theta_1(u) = \theta(u+K)$ , and p is the quantum number, necessarily real to ensure bounded solutions. It should be noted that distinct solutions correspond to -K' since*P*and <math>p + 2K' lead to essentially the same solution. In order to compute  $|\psi_{p}(u)|^{2}$  we use the addition theorem of Jacobi,

$$\theta_1(u+ip)\,\theta_1(u-ip) = \left[\pi/2K(m_1)^{1/2}\right] \left[\theta^2(u)\,\theta_1^{\,2}(ip) - H^2(u)\,H_1^{\,2}(ip)\right],\tag{10}$$

where H is the eta function. On dividing by  $\theta^2(u)$ , using the definition  $H^2(u)/\theta^2(u) = m^{1/2} \operatorname{sn}^2(u|m)$  and

The motivation for the study of this problem was in yet another context. Equations (1) are

$$E[\{\psi_n(x)\}, V(x)] = \int_0^L dx [\sum_n |\psi_n'(x)|^2 + V(x)\rho(x) + (2\Delta a_0)^{-1}V^2(x)].$$

Here V(x) represents the strain field and extremizing E with respect to V(x) [subject to  $\int dx V(x)$ =0] and  $\psi_n(x)$  yields Eqs. (1). Sutherland and the present author studied a simplified version of the problem wherein the potential V was determined by solving a single-component version of Eqs. (1) exactly.<sup>7</sup> This study gave the functional form of V(x) [and hence  $\rho(x)$ ] involving Jacobian elliptic functions and led in a natural way to Lame's equation<sup>8-10</sup> (with n = 1 in the notation of Ref. 8). The equations solved in Ref. 7 may be obtained by replacing the sum over different orbitals in Eq. (3) by N times the Fermi orbital and then extremizing with respect to  $V_{\star}$  a process which clearly generates an upper bound to E. In the limit  $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$  the different orbitals are degenerate and hence the bound is expected to be saturated. The analytical form is then determined in the strong-coupling limit.

The present work is inspired by the results of Ref. 7 and is best viewed as an Ansatz for the functional dependence of V(x). Firstly scale the coordinate thus:  $u = x/\lambda$ . The Ansatz is

$$\lambda^{2} \Delta [1 - \rho(u)a_{0}] = 2m \operatorname{sn}^{2}(u \mid m) + 2(E/K - 1).$$
 (4)

Equations (3) and (4) contain two unknown parameters  $\lambda$  and *m* and the constant term in the righthand side of Eq. (4) is picked so that the average of the right-hand side is  $zero.^{11}$  Equation (4) transforms Eqs. (1) into the standard form for Lame's equation.<sup>8-10</sup>

$$\left[-d^{2}/du^{2} + 2m \sin^{2}(u \mid m)\right] \psi_{n}(u) = \epsilon_{n} \psi_{n}(u) , \qquad (5)$$

634

Jacobi's imaginary transformation we find

$$|\psi_{p}(u)|^{2} = n_{p} [\operatorname{dn}^{2}(p \mid m_{1}) - m \operatorname{sn}^{2}(u \mid m)].$$
 (11)

The remarkable factorization of  $|\psi_p(u)|^2$  in Eq. (11) into a space part independent of  $p[\operatorname{sn}^2(u|m)]$  and a *p*-dependent prefactor ensures that the *Ansatz* in Eq. (4) is functionally satisfied. Normalization yields

$$n_{p}^{-1} = L \left[ dn^{2} (p \mid m_{1}) + E/K - 1 \right],$$
(12)

where  $E \equiv E(m)$ .

The total density is obtained by summing (11) over occupied p's, and on comparing with Eq. (4) we find the self-consistency equation

$$\sum_{p \in \text{occ}} n_p = 2/\lambda^2 \Delta a_0.$$
 (13)

Turning to the determination of the allowed p's, we apply periodic boundary conditions  $\psi_p(u) = \psi_p(u + L/\lambda)$  to Eq. (8) which leads to the condition

$$\pi p / K' + 2KZ(p \mid m_1) = ka_0, \qquad (14)$$

where  $k = (2\pi/L) \times (\text{integer})$ . The relation (14) is particularly simple in the limit m - 1 (strong coupling) where  $KZ(m_1) \to 0$  and we get  $p \sim (ka_0/2)$ . In the limit  $L \to \infty$ , p's form a continuum. I denote by  $L\sigma(p)dp$  the number of p's between p and p + dp, with  $\sigma(p) = (2\pi)^{-1} dk/dp$ . By differentiating (14) and using

$$\frac{dZ(p \mid m_1)}{dp} = dn^2(p \mid m_1) - E' / K'$$
(15)

and Legendre's relation  $E'K + EK' - KK' = \pi/2$ , we find

$$\sigma(p) = (2\pi\lambda)^{-1} [ dn^2(p | m_1) + E/K - 1 ].$$
 (16)

The limits on p are from -K' to +K' (corresponding to  $-\pi/a_0 < k \le \pi a_0$ ) and it is readily checked from Eq. (16) that

$$L\int_{-\kappa}^{\kappa'} \sigma(p)dp = N,$$

thereby ensuring that the valence band can accommodate N fermions. Combining Eqs. (12) and (16) with (13) we get

$$2/\lambda^2 \Delta = (a_0/\pi\lambda)K'. \tag{17}$$

Eliminating  $\lambda$  between Eqs. (7) and (17) we get the remarkably simple final result

$$K'/K = 4\pi/\Delta a_0^2$$
. (18)

Equation (18) may also be written in terms of the "nome"  $q = \exp(-4\pi^2/\Delta a_0^2)$ , a form which is very useful for actual computations. The total energy per particle can be found from Eqs. (3), (6), (9), and (16) (with s = E/K - 1):

$$E_{\text{tot}}/N = (2/\lambda^2)(s+m_1) - (2/\lambda^2\pi) \left[ E'E + K'K(s+m_1)s - \frac{1}{3}E'K(2m_1^2 - 7m_1 + 3) - \frac{2}{3}EK'(1-m_1^2) \right], \tag{19}$$

and may be verified to be always lower than the trivial Hartree result  $(\pi^2/3a_0^2)$ .

The features of the solution are summarized in the following remarks. (1) The density has a periodic variation in space so that the particles experience a periodic potential. (2) The periodic potential is in Lame's form and has only one band gap; the valence band is completely filled and the conduction band empty. (3) In the weakcoupling limit  $\Delta \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $K' \rightarrow \infty$  and from Eq. (18)  $m - 16 \exp(-4\pi^2/\Delta a_0^2)$  leading to a sinusoidal density variation with an amplitude  $(2m\pi^2/a_0^2\Delta)$ which is nonanalytic in  $\Delta$ . This agrees with the result of Overhauser.<sup>1</sup> (4) In the strong-coupling limit  $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $K \rightarrow \infty$  and from Eq. (18) m  $-1 - 16 \exp(-\Delta a_0^2/4)$ . In this limit, the density is a very sharply peaked periodic function with amplitude ~  $\Delta a_0^2/8$  and the width of each peak is  $O(1/\Delta)$ , and all the valence-band eigenvalues are degenerate,  $E_n \sim \Delta - (\Delta a_0/4)^2 + O(\Delta^2 e^{-C\Delta})$ . This agrees with Ref. 7. (5) The form of the solution agrees with that of LL for N = 1 and 2. (6) The present solution, Eq. (8), is complex in general (except for p = 0 and p = K' which are real). However, in keeping with result (c) of LL, we can choose the orbitals to be real for any N in view of  $\psi_{b}^{*} = \psi_{-b}$ , and the fact that the occupied orbitals have labels  $[0, \pm p_1, \ldots, \pm p_{N/2-1/2}]$  for N odd and  $[0, \pm p_1, \dots, \pm p_{N/2-1}, K']$  for N even. (7) Although the general solution obtained here is valid for any N, I have concentrated on the thermodynamic limit (i.e.,  $L \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $L/N \rightarrow \text{const}$ ). The present solution exists for any  $\Delta (\geq 0)$  and evolves continuously from the weak-coupling to the strong-coupling limit. The states may thus be viewed as undergoing a smooth transition from an extended to a localized character. This behavior is in contrast with that before taking the thermodynamic limit, where, following LL, one may expect for odd N bifurcations for  $\Delta$  of  $O(1/L^2)$ .

I have not succeeded in proving that the solution presented here is minimal, but conjecture that it is so.

It is a pleasure to thank Bill Sutherland for enlightening discussions and a very helpful correspondence. I am indebted to the members of the theory group at the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research for helpful remarks, and to Professor Elliott Lieb for bringing Ref. 5 to my notice and an encouraging correspondence.

<sup>1</sup>A. W. Overhauser, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>4</u>, 415 (1960). <sup>2</sup>Y. Nogami and C. S. Warke, Phys. Lett. <u>59A</u>, 251 (1976).

<sup>3</sup>M. Gaudin, Phys. Lett. <u>24A</u>, 55 (1967).

<sup>4</sup>C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19, 1312 (1967).

<sup>5</sup>E. H. Lieb and M. de Llano, J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.) 19, 860 (1978).

<sup>6</sup>R. E. Peierls, in *Quantum Theory of Solids* (Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1955), p. 108.

<sup>7</sup>Bill Sutherland and B. Sriram Shastry, to be published; the main results of this investigation were announced at the Rocky Mountain Theoretical Physics Conference, University of Utah, March 1982.

<sup>8</sup>E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, *A Course of Modern Analysis* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, England, 1969), 4th ed.

<sup>9</sup>B. Sutherland, Phys. Rev. A 8, 2514 (1973).

<sup>10</sup>A. L. Fetter and M. J. Stephen, Phys. Rev. <u>168</u>, 475 (1968).

<sup>11</sup>I follow the usual notation for Jacobian elliptic functions (as in Ref. 8) but indicate the parameter rather than the modulus, thus  $\operatorname{sn}(u|m) \equiv \operatorname{sn}(u, \sqrt{m})$ , etc. Unless otherwise indicated the parameter is m.

<sup>12</sup>This form can be obtained from Ref. 8 or Eq. (13) of Ref. 9 by putting  $\alpha_0 = K + iK' + ip$  and using the addition theorem of the zeta function.

## **Global Energy-Momentum Conservation for Scattering of Point Charges**

Martin Walker

Institut für Astrophysik, Max-Planck-Institut für Physik und Astrophysik, D-8046 Garching bei München, Federal Republic of Germany

(Received 21 December 1982)

The change in total energy-momentum of N classically scattering charged point particles is shown to equal a flux integral depending on the asymptotic retarded fields of the particles. This flux integral is the energy-momentum flux of the electromagnetic field if the charges scatter according to the Lorentz-Dirac equation. All integrals are finite.

PACS numbers: 03.50.De, 03.80.+r, 11.80.-m, 41.10.-j

The purpose of the Letter is to sketch a rigorous derivation of global conservation laws from field equations and equations of motion in the classical electrodynamics of point particles. These conservations laws assert that the net change of energy-momentum of a number of charged point particles. as a result of scattering. is equal to a certain flux integral of an expression in the asymptotic retarded fields of the particles over the hypersurface at future null infinity<sup>1</sup>  $\mathcal{G}^+$  of flat space-time. The integrand of this flux integral depends on the equation of motion assumed for the charges. It gives the usual Poynting energy-momentum flux of the electromagnetic field only if the particles scatter according to the Lorentz-Dirac equation. The global energy-momentum balance between sources and fields established here therefore provides a global version of the energy-momentum conservation arguments advanced<sup>2</sup> in favor of the Lorentz-Dirac equation of motion for point charges.

There are two difficulties associated with the

derivation of an energy-momentum balance between sources and fields in the classical electrodynamics of point particles. One is that the energy-momentum tensor of the electromagnetic field diverges quartically at the location of a point charge, and is therefore not integrable.<sup>3</sup> The other is that retarded and advanced fields are functionals of the world lines of the charges. Only in that case in which the retarded field of a single charge is evaluated on a light cone with vertex on the charge's world line do simple expressions for the radiated energy-momentum result.<sup>4</sup> In all other cases, the asymptotic retarded field of each charge at a single retarded time depends on the position, velocity, and acceleration of the charge over an interval of proper time along the charge's world line.

The former difficulty has been overcome by Gupta,<sup>5</sup> who exhibited a symmetric tensor bilinear, rather than quadratic, in the fields of a number of point charges. Gupta's tensor diverges only quadratically at the locations of the charges.