sponsible for the  $K_{l3}$  decays is tenable. If this were the case, the possibility that the vector current is quasi-conserved in the presence of both  $\pi$  and K interactions would be particularly appealing. On the other hand, if the  $\Delta Q = -\Delta S$ decays do not exist and the other predictions of the pure  $I=\frac{1}{2}$  hypothesis are established, it might be interesting to consider the possibility that the vector part of such currents is quasiconserved only in the presence of the  $\pi$ -baryon interactions of the Yukawa type. In fact, such a restricted conservation requirement implies the absence of  $I=3/2$  currents.<sup>1</sup>

\*Work supported by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission. Present address: Physics Department, University of Pennsylvania, Philadephia, Pennsylvania.

~Ford Foundation Fellow. On leave of absence from New York University, New York, New York.

<sup>1</sup>R. E. Behrends and A. Sirlin, Phys. Rev. (to be published) .

 ${}^{2}$ F. Gürsey (to be published).

<sup>3</sup>A. Pais (to be published).

48. Okubo, R. E. Marshak, E. C. G. Sudarshan,

W. B. Teutsch, and S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. 112, 665 (1958).

<sup>5</sup>M. Bardon, K. Lande, and L. M. Lederman, Ann. Phys. 5, 156 (1958).

<sup>6</sup>M. Gell-Mann and A. H. Rosenfeld, Annual Review of Nuclear Science {Annual Reviews, Inc. , Palo Alto, California, 1957), Vol. 7, p. 407.

 ${}^{7}F.$  S. Crawford, M. Cresti, R. L. Douglass, M. L. Good, G. R. Kalbfleisch, and M. L. Stevenson, Phys. Rev. Letters 2, 361 (1959).

REACTION  $\mu$  +N  $\rightarrow$  e +N': INTERMEDIATE BOSON THEORY<sup>\*</sup>

Frederick J. Ernst Columbia University, New York, New York (Received October 18, 1960)

A  $\mu$ <sup>-</sup> bound in a 1S state around a nucleus may decay via the process  $\mu \rightarrow e + \nu + \overline{\nu}$  or may be absorbed by one of the nuclear protons to form a neutron plus a neutrino. However, if there exists a mechanism giving rise to a nonvanishing  $\mu$  -e - $\gamma$ matrix element, then one would expect a third process  $\mu + N - e + N'$  to occur. The  $\mu^-$  may absorb a virtual photon from the Coulomb field of the original nucleus  $N$ , producing an electron and a recoil nucleus  $N'$  having equal and opposite momenta of magnitude 106 Mev/ $c$ . Because the photon involved is virtual rather than real, the experimental absence of  $\mu \rightarrow e + \gamma$  decay does not necessarily preclude the existence of the process  $\mu + N - e + N'$ . In fact, it is possible that instances of such a reaction have been observed by Sard bet al., who set an upper limit  $4 \times 10^{-6}$  upon the branching ratio  $\omega(\mu + N - e + N')/\omega_{\text{abs}}$ , although Conversi et al. have established a comparable EURVELST ET  $\frac{d}{dx}$  and  $\frac{d}{dx}$  is established a comparable upper limit  $4.3 \times 10^{-6}$  upon the branching ratio without having seen any  $\mu + N - e + N'$  events.<sup>1</sup> Because simple arguments allow one to suppose that the branching ratio predicted by an intermediate Boson theory of weak interactions will be close to the upper limit established by these

experiments, it is appropriate at this time to investigate in detail the dependence of the predicted branching ratio upon the value of the highmomentum cutoff and the Boson mass. Furthermore, the calculation will be of value in predicting the rates of other processes like  $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ , which can also proceed through a  $\mu$  -e - $\gamma$  vertex.

A nonvanishing  $\mu$  -e - $\gamma$  matrix element could arise via a charged vector Boson of reasonably large mass  $M$  coupled to Fermion pairs with a coupling constant  $g<sup>2</sup>$ . The induced four-Fermion interaction would have the right strength, G  $\approx 10^{-5}/M_{\rm nucleon}^2$ , providing g is chosen so that

$$
(8)^{1/2}G = (g/M)^2.
$$
 (1)

The three Feynman graphs which contribute to the  $\mu$  -e - $\gamma$  matrix element in the lowest order of perturbation theory are shown in Fig. 1. Denoting the muon momentum by  $p_{\mu\lambda}$ , the electron momentum by  $p_{e\lambda}$ , and the momentum transfer by

$$
q_{\lambda} = p_{e\lambda} - p_{\mu\lambda}, \tag{2}
$$

the three contributions to the matrix element may be written as follows:

$$
\langle e | J_{\lambda}^{-1} | \mu \rangle = -(2\pi)^{-7} e g^2 \overline{u}_e^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_5)} \int d^4 k [\delta_{\alpha\beta} (p_e + p_\mu - 2k)_\lambda - (p_\mu - k)_\alpha \delta_{\beta\lambda} - (p_e - k)_\beta \delta_{\alpha\lambda} - (1+\mu)(q_\alpha \delta_{\beta\lambda} - q_\beta \delta_{\alpha\lambda})]
$$

$$
\times \left( \frac{\gamma_\beta + M^{-2} (p_e - k)_\beta (\gamma \cdot p_e - \gamma \cdot k)}{(p_e - k)^2 + M^2} \right) \frac{\gamma \cdot k}{k^2} \left( \frac{\gamma_\alpha + M^{-2} (\gamma \cdot p_\mu - \gamma \cdot k) (p_\mu - k)_\alpha}{(p_\mu - k)^2 + M^2} \right) u_\mu, \quad (3)
$$

$$
\langle e|J_{\lambda}^{2}|\mu\rangle = (2\pi)^{-7} i e g^{2} \overline{u}_{e} \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma_{5}) K(\rho_{e}) \frac{i \gamma \cdot \rho_{e} - m_{\mu}}{\rho_{e}^{2} + m_{\mu}^{2}} \gamma_{\lambda} u_{\mu},
$$
  

$$
\langle e|J_{\lambda}^{3}|\mu\rangle = (2\pi)^{-7} i e g^{2} \overline{u}_{e} \gamma_{\lambda} \frac{i \gamma \cdot \rho_{\mu} - m_{e}}{\rho_{\mu}^{2} + m_{e}^{2}} K(\rho_{\mu}) \frac{1}{2} (1 + \gamma_{5}) u_{\mu}.
$$
 (3)

Here  $\mu$  is the anomalous magnetic moment of the Boson in Boson magnetons, and

$$
K(p) = \int d^4k \frac{-2\gamma \cdot k + M^{-2}(\gamma \cdot p - \gamma \cdot k)\gamma \cdot k(\gamma \cdot p - \gamma \cdot k)}{[(p-k)^2 + M^2]k^2}
$$

Neglecting the electron mass  $m_e$  and assuming that  $(m_{11}/M)^2 \ll 1$  and that  $-q^2/M^2 \ll 1$ , the above integrals may be evaluated by expanding the denominators in powers of  $p_{\mu}$  and  $p_e$ . Although individually the contributions are not gauge invariant, the sum  $\langle e | J_{\lambda} | \mu \rangle$  is, and it may be written in the form

$$
\langle e|J_{\lambda}|\mu\rangle
$$
  
= -ie(2\pi)^{-3}\bar{u}\_{e}[f\_{0}(q^{2})(1-\gamma\_{5})(\gamma\_{\lambda}+iq\_{\lambda}m\_{\mu}/q^{2})  
+f\_{1}(q^{2})(1-\gamma\_{5})\sigma\_{\lambda\eta}q^{\eta}/m\mu\_{\mu}, (4)



FIG. 1. Feynman graphs which contribute to the  $\mu$  -e - $\gamma$  matrix element. The intermediate vector Boson is designated by a double line.

where  $f_0(q^2)$  and  $f_1(q^2)$  are form factors, which for  $-q^2 \ll M^2$  are given by

$$
f_0(q^2) = -2^{-9/2}\pi^{-2}Gq^2N',
$$
  
\n
$$
f_1(q^2) = -2^{-9/2}\pi^{-2}Gm_\mu^2N.
$$
 (5)

The dependence of the dimensionless constant N upon the ratio of cutoff to Boson mass  $\Lambda/M$ and upon the Boson anomalous moment  $\mu$  was investigated by Ebel and Ernst.<sup>3</sup> To study processes in which virtual photons take part it will<br>be necessary to include  $N'$  as well as  $N$ . For esses in which virtual photons take part it will be necessary to include  $N'$  as well as  $N$ . For tud<br>rt<br>N. these constants we find

$$
N = (\mu - 1)I_0 + (2\mu + 1)I_1 - 3I_2,
$$
  
\n
$$
N' = -\frac{1}{2}\mu I_{-1} - (\frac{3}{2}\mu + \frac{5}{3})I_0 + (2\mu + \frac{2}{3})I_1 + I_2,
$$
 (6)

where

$$
I_n = \frac{M^{2n}}{\pi^2 i} \int \frac{d^4 p}{\left(\rho^2 + M^2\right)^{2 + n}}.
$$
 (7)

Unless  $\mu = 0$  it is necessary to cope with a quadratically divergent integral  $I_{-1}$  as well as the logarithmically divergent integral  $I_0$  studied by Ebel and Ernst. Thus, for  $\mu = 0$  it suffices to introduce a cutoff factor such as  $\Lambda^2/(\rho^2 + \Lambda^2)$  in the definition of the integrals  $I_n$ , while for nonzero Boson anomalous moment it is necessary to use a stronger cutoff factor such as  $\Lambda^4/(\rho^2+\Lambda^2)^2$ . In Fig. 2 are given  $N$  and  $N'$  as functions of the ratio  $\Lambda/M$  for two values of anomalous moment,  $\mu$  = 0 and 0.7 Boson magneton. The latter choice of magnetic moment was shown in reference 1 to lead to a marked suppression of the muon decay mode  $\mu \rightarrow e + \gamma$ , i.e.,  $N \approx 0$ . The same conclusion is reached in the present case with the stronger cutoff factor. For any cutoff less than four or five times the Boson mass the rate for  $\mu \rightarrow e + \gamma$ is compatible with the experimental branching ratio  $\omega(\mu + e + \gamma)/\omega(\mu + e + \nu + \overline{\nu}) \leq 2 \times 10^{-6}$ .

For a wide range of elements from copper to lead, Weinberg and Feinberg have estimated the branching ratio for the reaction  $\mu + N \rightarrow e + N'$ 



FIG. 2. Cutoff dependence of the dimensionless constants N and N' for Boson anomalous moment  $\mu = 0$  and uto<br>N' 0.7 Boson magneton.  $N \approx 0$  for  $\mu = 0.7$ .

compared with  $\mu$  absorption to be approximately<sup>4</sup>

$$
\omega(\mu + N + e + N') / \omega_{\text{abs}} \approx \xi_0^2 / 4G^2 m_{\mu}^4,
$$
  

$$
\xi_0^2 = |f_0(-m_{\mu}^2) + f_1(-m_{\mu}^2)|^2.
$$
 (8)

Therefore, the branching ratio according to the intermediate Boson theory is given by

$$
\omega(\mu + N \to e + N') / \omega_{\rm abs} \approx 2^{-11} \pi^{-4} (N - N')^2, \qquad (9)
$$

which has been tabulated for  $\mu = 0.7$  (see Table I). In particular, for a cutoff equal to the Boson

Table I. The branching ratio for reaction  $\mu + N \rightarrow e + N'$ for various choices of cutoff  $\Lambda$  if the Boson anomalous moment  $\mu$  is 0.7 Boson magneton. M is the Boson mass.

$\Lambda/M$	$\omega(\mu + N \rightarrow e + N') / \omega_{\text{abs}}$
0.5	$.4.1 \times 10^{-8}$
	$0.85 \times 10^{-6}$
2	$2.0 \times 10^{-5}$
	$2.9 \times 10^{-4}$

mass, we have

$$
\omega(\mu + N - e + N')/\omega_{\text{abs}} \approx 0.8 \times 10^{-6}
$$
.  $[\mu = 0.7]$  (10)

On the other hand, it is to be noted that for  $\mu = 0$ ,  $N \approx N'$ , and hence there is a marked suppression of the reaction  $\mu +N \rightarrow e+N'$  compared with the value given in Eq. (10). Of course, the choice  $\mu = 0$  gives much too large a probability for  $\mu \rightarrow e + \gamma$ . Therefore, if one insists that  $\mu = 0$ . it is necessary to impose the condition  $N = 0$  and employ the intermediate Boson theory to calculate  $N'$  only. If this is done the branching ratio for  $\mu = 0$  becomes (at  $\Lambda = M$ )

$$
\omega(\mu + N \to e + N')/\omega_{\text{abs}} \approx 2 \times 10^{-6}
$$
.  $[\mu = 0]$  (11)

In conclusion, insofar as it is possible to adjust the intermediate Boson theory to account for the absence of  $\mu \rightarrow e+\gamma$ , this theory predicts a branching ratio  $\omega(\mu + N - e + N')/\omega_{\text{abs}}$  of order  $10^{-6}$ , although the result is very much dependent upon the choice of cutoff.

Finally, one may use Egs. (4) and (5) in conjunction with the graphs in Fig. 2 to evaluate the rate for any other process which goes via the  $\mu$  -e - $\gamma$  interaction. For example, Bander and Feinberg' have estimated the branching ratio for  $\mu - 3e$  compared with  $\mu - e + \nu + \overline{\nu}$  to be

$$
\omega(\mu - 3e)/\omega(\mu - e + \nu + \overline{\nu})
$$
  
 
$$
\approx 6 \times 10^{-3} \xi_0^2/G^2 m_{\mu}^4,
$$
 (12)

where  $\xi_0$  has been defined in connection with Eg. (8). For an anomalous moment of 0.<sup>7</sup> Boson magneton and for a cutoff equal to the Boson mass, we have

$$
\omega(\mu \to 3e)/\omega(\mu \to e + \nu + \overline{\nu}) \approx 2 \times 10^{-8}.
$$
 (13)

The author would like to thank Dr. G. Feinberg for helpful discussions concerning the reaction  $\mu$  +N  $\rightarrow$  e +N' and  $\mu$   $\rightarrow$  3e decay.

\*Work supported by the U. S. Air Force Office of Scientific Research.

 ${}^{1}R$ . D. Sard, K. M. Crowe, and H. Kruger, Phys. Rev. (to be published); M. Conversi, L. Di Lella, A. Egidi, C. Rubbia, and M. Toiler (to be published). See also J. Steinberger and H. Wolfe, Phys. Rev. 100, 1490 (1955).

<sup>2</sup>G. Feinberg, Phys. Rev. 110, 1482 (1958).

 ${}^{3}$ M. E. Ebel and F. J. Ernst, Nuovo cimento 15, 173 (1960).

<sup>4</sup>S. Weinberg and G. Feinberg, Phys. Rev. Letters 3, 111, 244(E) (1959). The same notation has been adopted in the present paper.

<sup>5</sup>M. Bander and G. Feinberg, Phys. Rev. 119, 1427 (1960).