

### Comment on "Resolution of the Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen and Bell Paradoxes"

In a recent Letter,<sup>1</sup> Pitowsky has given a model of electron spin in which "Every electron at each given moment has a definite spin in all directions" but which, he claims, does not imply Bell's inequality. A non-Kolmogorov probability theory in the model prevents the usual proofs of Bell's inequality from going through. I give here a very simple proof of a Bell-type inequality from the quoted statement. The inequality shows that the statement is inconsistent with quantum mechanics.

Let  $N$  pairs of electrons, each with total spin zero, emerge in opposite directions from an interaction. Let  $N(A^+ : C^+)$  be the number of pairs in which the left member has spin up in the  $A$  direction and the right member has spin up in the  $C$  direction. Let  $N(A^+ C^- :)$  be the number of pairs in which the left member has spin up in the  $A$  direction and spin down in the  $C$  direction. According to the quoted statement these are meaningful

quantities. Then

$$\begin{aligned} N(A^+ : C^+) &= N(A^+ C^- : ) \\ &= N(A^+ B^- C^- : ) + N(A^+ B^+ C^- : ) \\ &\leq N(A^+ B^- : ) + N(B^+ C^- : ) \\ &= N(A^+ : B^+) + N(B^+ : C^+). \end{aligned}$$

Quantum mechanics predicts that if  $N(A^+ : C^+)$  is measured then

$$N(A^+ : C^+) / N \approx \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \theta_{AC} / 2,$$

where  $\theta_{AC}$  is the angle between  $A$  and  $C$ . According to the quoted statement  $N(A^+ : C^+)$  exists independently of whether it is measured or not and so the approximation holds whether it is measured or not. The above inequality is inconsistent with the approximation for  $\theta_{AB} = \theta_{BC} = 60^\circ$  and  $\theta_{AC} = 120^\circ$ .

Alan Lang Macdonald

Department of Computer Science  
Luther College  
Decorah, Iowa 52101

Received 26 July 1982

PACS numbers: 03.65.Bz

<sup>1</sup>I. Pitowsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 1299 (1982).