

Strong Enhancement of Weak Amplitudes and Helicity Dependence of Proton Total Cross Sections

Lockyer *et al.*¹ have reported the helicity dependence of the 6-GeV proton total cross section on water as $(\sigma_+ - \sigma_-)/2\sigma = (2.65 \pm 0.60) \times 10^{-6}$. There are several ways to see that this is a large effect: (1) The same effect has been measured at 15 MeV² and at 50 MeV,³ where it is an order of magnitude smaller, and in good agreement with potential model calculations which imply a maximum signal at 50 MeV.⁴ (2) Two meson-exchange model calculations^{5,6} yield predictions less than 10^{-7} . (3) The imaginary part of the helicity-dependent forward scattering amplitude implied by experiment is 10 times larger than the (purely real) forward amplitude for neutrino-nucleon charge-exchange scattering, which sets a natural scale for such amplitudes.⁷

Accepting that the reported effect is large, we may inquire whether comparably large effects have been seen in other nonleptonic weak processes. Nearly two decades ago this issue was a topic of considerable discussion.⁸⁻¹¹ Schwinger⁸ and Feynman¹¹ described explicit calculations doubtless performed by others as well. We adapt Feynman's result by asking, since $\Delta I = \frac{1}{2}$ amplitudes dominate the $K \rightarrow 2\pi$ and $\Lambda \rightarrow N\pi$ decays, how much must the $\Delta I = \frac{1}{2}$ parts of the "naive" amplitudes, $(K^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ W^-) \times (W^- \rightarrow \pi^-)$ and $(\Lambda \rightarrow p W^-) \times (W^- \rightarrow \pi^-)$, be enhanced to agree with experiment? The results are a factor of 10 for the $K \rightarrow 2\pi$ amplitude, and a factor of 8 for $\Lambda \rightarrow N\pi$.

Of course, the (four-body) nucleon scattering problem is quite different from the three-body decay problem, so that one could not have predicted the large experimental effect on the basis of the known enhancements of strangeness-changing decays. However, given that example, the reported helicity dependence deserves to be treated with no less confidence than would be accorded any result from a single experiment of such difficulty. The number, though large, is quite consistent with our still imperfect knowledge of weak interactions. A similar comment applies to the large circular polarization of γ rays reported in the reaction $n + p \rightarrow d + \gamma$ at low energy.¹²

In the experimentally well established case of strangeness-changing decays, there is a sub-

stantial literature of sophisticated calculations which come much closer to agreement with observations than the simple W exchange described above.¹³ Perhaps deeper study of theory for the newer experiments might reveal further cases of strong enhancement of weak interactions. Two of us (T.G. and D.P.) have undertaken such a study for helicity dependence of nucleon total cross sections.

We have benefited from conversations with P. Herczeg and D. E. Nagle. One of us (A.S.G.) appreciates the hospitality of the Medium Energy Physics Division of the Los Alamos National Laboratory. This work was supported in part by National Science Foundation Grant No. PHY-81-09110.

Alfred S. Goldhaber^(a)

T. Goldman

Dean Preston

Theoretical Division
Los Alamos National Laboratory
Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

Received 6 November 1981

PACS numbers: 13.75.Cs, 11.30.Er

^(a)Permanent address: Institute for Theoretical Physics, State University of New York, Stony Brook, N. Y. 11794.

¹N. Lockyer *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **45**, 1821 (1980).

²D. E. Nagle *et al.*, in *High Energy Physics with Polarized Beams and Targets*, edited by G. H. Thomas, AIP Conference Proceedings No. 51 (American Institute of Physics, New York, 1978), p. 224.

³R. Balzer *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **44**, 699 (1980).

⁴V. Brown, E. Henley, and F. Krejs, Phys. Rev. C **9**, 935 (1974).

⁵E. M. Henley and F. R. Krejs, Phys. Rev. D **3**, 605 (1975).

⁶A. Barroso and D. Tadić, Nucl. Phys. **A364**, 194 (1981).

⁷A. S. Goldhaber, Phys. Rev. D, to be published.

⁸J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **12**, 630 (1964).

⁹A. Salam, Phys. Lett. **8**, 216 (1964).

¹⁰R. Dashen, S. Frautschi, M. Gell-Mann, and Y. Hara, in *Proceedings of the Twelfth International Conference on High Energy Physics, Dubna, U.S.S.R., 1964* (Atomizdat, Moscow, 1966), Vol. 2, p. 192.

¹¹R. P. Feynman, in *Symmetries in Elementary Particle Physics*, edited by A. Zichichi (Academic, New York, 1965), p. 111.

¹²V. Lobashov *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. **A197**, 241 (1972).

¹³See, e.g., B. Guberina and R. D. Peccei, Nucl. Phys. **B163**, 289 (1980), and references therein.