

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

VOLUME 48

28 JUNE 1982

NUMBER 26

Perfect Screening for Charged Systems

L. Blum

Department of Physics, University of Puerto Rico, Rio Piedras, Puerto Rico

and

C. Gruber

Département de Physique, École Polytechnique Fédérale, Lausanne, Switzerland

and

J. L. Lebowitz

Department of Mathematics and Physics, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

and

P. Martin

Département de Physique, École Polytechnique Fédérale, Lausanne, Switzerland

(Received 20 April 1982)

It is proven that when correlations in an equilibrium classical system in ν dimensions, $\nu \geq 2$, containing charges decay faster than (distance) $^{-(\nu+1)}$ then the charge cloud surrounding particles has no multipole moments of order $k \leq l$. This yields $l+1$ sum rules with $l = \infty$ when the decay is exponential. This extends previous results for $l \leq 2$ sum rules and also generalizes them to systems containing fixed dipoles (or higher multipoles). Some consequences are described.

PACS numbers: 05.30.Fk, 71.45.Gm

We study ν -dimensional, $\nu \geq 2$, classical charged systems described by correlation functions satisfying the equilibrium Born-Green-Yvon (BGY) hierarchy. These equations are satisfied by finite-volume canonical ensembles and are expected (in many cases can be proven) to hold also for all limiting states. We proved earlier^{1,2} that if the correlations have good clustering behavior then the net charge and dipole and quadrupole moments of the density engendered by specifying the positions of any n particles must vanish. We called the resulting conditions on the $(n+1)$ th correlation function the $l=0, 1, 2$ sum rules. Only the $l=0$ sum rule seems to

be generally known. This is the only nontrivial one for $n=1$ in a homogeneous system where it is called the electroneutrality condition. For inhomogeneous systems or for $n > 1$ the $l=1, 2$ sum rules are also relevant and useful.^{3,4}

The origin of the sum rules lies in the long-range nature of the Coulomb forces. They are unaffected by any finite-range interactions, e.g., hard cores, between the particles. They express the fact that correlations cannot decay "faster" than the total, i.e., direct plus induced, interaction. Indeed the arguments show that systems with power-law potentials, e.g., Lennard-Jones, have similar power-law decay of the correlations.¹

What is remarkable about Coulomb systems, i.e., real matter, is that correlations can and often do decay much faster than any power law. Exponential or faster decay can be proven rigorously in one dimension, for a one-component plasma in $\nu=2$ at $\beta e^2=2$ (Ref. 4), and at high temperatures and low densities in all dimensions.⁵ Exponential decay of correlations is in fact expected to hold generally in the fluid phase of charged systems—an expectation based on experiment, computer simulation, and approximate theories.⁶

In this note we extend our previous results for $l \leq 2$ to arbitrary l : Whenever the correlations decay faster than $r^{-(l+\nu)}$ then the charge density in the vicinity of any particle contains no multipoles of order less than or equal to l . In particular, exponential decay implies an infinite number of such sum rules. Another extension of our results is the inclusion of particles with permanent dipoles (or higher multipoles) in the charged system (pure dipoles are known not to screen).

While our proof is based on the classical BGY hierarchy we expect the results to hold also for quantum systems. We consider a mixture of charged particles and permanent dipoles moving in the whole ν -dimensional space \mathbb{R}^ν or in a restricted domain \mathfrak{D} defined by appropriate walls.

The particles of species α carry a charge e_α and a permanent dipole moment of strength d_α ; for some α , d_α or/and e_α can be zero. We denote by r and ω , respectively, the position of the particle and the orientation of its dipole moment $\mu = d_\alpha \omega$, and we use the notation $q = (\alpha, r, \omega)$ and

$$\int dq = \int_{\mathfrak{D}} dr \int d\omega \sum_{\alpha}, \quad \int d\omega \cdot \underline{1} = 1.$$

The particles are subject to the action of external forces and interact by two-body forces of the form

$$\begin{aligned} F(q_1; q_2) &= F_s(q_1; q_2) + F_L(q_1; q_2) \\ &= F_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(r_1 - r_2; \omega_1, \omega_2). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The finite-range part F_s includes in particular strong local repulsion or hard-core effects and F_L consists of charge-charge, charge-dipole, and dipole-dipole terms. The external forces can include a fixed charge density in \mathfrak{D} , e.g., jellium.² We assume that the dielectric constant ϵ is the same inside and outside \mathfrak{D} and set $\epsilon = 1$. The case of different dielectric media will be treated elsewhere.⁴

We denote by $\rho(q_1)$, $\rho(q_1 q_2)$, ..., the singlet densities, the pair correlation functions, etc., and introduce the truncated (Ursell) functions

$$\rho^T(q_1 q_2) = \rho(q_1 q_2) - \rho(q_1)\rho(q_2), \quad (2)$$

$$\rho^T(q_1 q_2 q_3) = \rho(q_1 q_2 q_3) - \rho(q_1)\rho(q_2 q_3) - \rho(q_2)\rho(q_1 q_3) - \rho(q_3)\rho(q_1 q_2) + 2\rho(q_1)\rho(q_2)\rho(q_3). \quad (3)$$

As usual, the equilibrium ρ at temperature T are assumed to satisfy the stationary Bogoliubov-Born-Green-Kirkwood-Yvon (BBGKY) equations²

$$k_B T \nabla_1 \rho(q_1) = F(q_1)\rho(q_1) + \int dq F(q_1; q)\rho^T(q_1 q), \quad (4a)$$

$$k_B T \nabla_1 \rho(q_1 q_2) = [F(q_1) + F(q_1, q_2)]\rho(q_1 q_2) + \int dq F(q_1; q)[\rho(q_1 q_2 q) - \rho(q)\rho(q_1 q_2)], \quad (4b)$$

where $F(q_1)$ represents the total *average force* on particle 1.

We shall always assume that the truncated correlation functions are absolutely integrable,

$$\int dq_1 |\rho^T(q_1 \cdots q_n)| < \text{const}, \quad n \geq 2. \quad (5)$$

Let $\rho(q|q_1 \cdots q_n)$ be the *excess particle density* of species α given that there are particles of species $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ at r_1, \dots, r_n ,

$$\rho(q|q_1 \cdots q_n) = \left[\frac{\rho(q q_1 \cdots q_n)}{\rho(q_1 \cdots q_n) - \rho(q)} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \delta(q; q_\alpha) \right], \quad (6)$$

where $\delta(q; q_i) = \delta_{\alpha, \alpha_i} \delta(r - r_i) \delta(\omega - \omega_i)$. The (l, n) moment relation expresses the fact that $M(l; n)$, the multipole moment tensor of order l due to $\rho(q|q_1 \cdots q_n)$, vanishes,

$$M(l; n) = \int dq T_l(q) \rho(q|q_1 \cdots q_n), \quad (7)$$

$$T_0(q) = e_\alpha, \quad T_1^a(q) = e_\alpha r^a + d_\alpha \omega^a,$$

$$T_2^{ab}(q) = e_\alpha r^a r^b + \frac{1}{2} d_\alpha (\omega^a r^b + \omega^b r^a) - (1/\nu) (e_\alpha |r|^2 + d_\alpha \omega r) \delta^{a,b}, \quad a, b = 1, \dots, \nu.$$

If $d_\alpha = 0$ and $\nu = 3$, $T_l(q) = e_\alpha |r|^l Y_{ln}(\hat{r})$, where $Y_{ln}(\hat{r})$ are the spherical harmonics and $\hat{r} = r/|r|$. We also

write out more explicitly the $M(l; 2) = 0$ equation, $l \geq 1$ for the three-dimensional, homogeneous, one-component plasma (OCP):

$$\rho \int d^3 r_2 |r_2|^l P_l(\theta) [g_3(r_1 r_2) - g_2(r_1)] = - |r_1|^l g_2(r_1) \tag{8}$$

with $g_n(r_1, \dots, r_{n-1}) = \rho^{-n} \rho(r_1 \dots r_{n-1} | 0)$, $P_l(\theta)$ the l th order Legendre polynomial, and θ the angle between r_1 and r_2 .

We now state our main theorem. Let $\mathfrak{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^\nu$, $\nu \geq 2$, be an unbounded region containing an open ν -dimensional cone (infinite solid angle of directions) in which the asymptotic densities of charged particles do not all vanish. If the correlations satisfy the condition

$$|r^{l+\nu+\epsilon} \rho^T(q_1 \dots q_k)| < \text{const} \tag{9}$$

for some

$$\epsilon > 0, \quad r = \sup_{i,j} |r_i - r_j|, \quad i, j = (1, \dots, k), \quad k = 2, \dots, n+1,$$

then all the moments $M(l^1; n^1)$, $l^1 \leq l$, $n^1 \leq n$ vanish.

We sketch the proof of $M(l; 1) = 0$ for a system of pure charges in $\nu = 3$. The general case is similar. Combining (3) and (4) gives

$$\rho(q_1) \rho(q_2) \int F(q_1; q) \rho(q) dq = k_B T \nabla_1 \rho^T(q_1 q_2) - [F(q_1) + F(q_1; q_2)] \rho^T(q_1 q_2) - \int F(q_1; q) \rho^T(q q_1 q_2) dq. \tag{10}$$

Let $\hat{r}_1 = r_1 / |r_1|$ be a fixed unit vector in the open cone contained in \mathfrak{D} . Lemma 1 and 2 of Ref. 2 show, using (9), that the right-hand side of (10) decays faster than $|r_1|^{-(\nu+l-1)}$ as $|r_1| \rightarrow \infty$. This yields $M(0; 1) = 0$.

Proceeding by induction, let us assume that

$$M_m(k; 1) = \int dq e_\alpha |r|^k Y_{k,m}(\hat{r}) \rho(q | q_2) = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, l-1, \quad |m| \leq k,$$

where $Y_{k,m}$ are the spherical harmonics. The multipole expansion of the Coulomb potential gives the identity

$$\frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \partial_{a_1 \dots a_k} \left(\frac{\hat{r}_1}{|r_1|^{\nu-1}} \right) \int dq e_\alpha r^{a_1} \dots r^{a_k} \rho(q | q_2) = \left(\frac{4\pi}{2k+1} \right) \sum_{m=-k}^k \nabla_1 \left(\frac{Y_{lm}^*(\hat{r}_1)}{|r_1|^{k+1}} \right) M_m(k; 1). \tag{11}$$

One can therefore subtract in the integrand on the left-hand side of (10) the $l-1$ first terms of the Taylor expansion of the force $F(q; q_1)$ about r_1 . Lemma 1 of Ref. 2 implies then

$$\partial_{a_1 \dots a_l} \left(\frac{\hat{r}_1}{|r_1|^{\nu-1}} \right) \Big|_{|r_1|=1} \int dq e_2 r^{a_1} \dots r^{a_l} \rho(q | q_2) = 0.$$

Taking the scalar product of the above equation with \hat{r}_1 and using (11) yields

$$\sum_{m=-l}^l Y_{lm}^*(\hat{r}_1) M_m(l; 1) = 0 \tag{12}$$

for an open set of unit vectors \hat{r}_1 , and hence $M(l; 1) = 0$. It is here where the open-cone condition is necessary.

As already mentioned in the introduction there is a wide range of physical conditions in which systems containing free charges are expected and in some cases are proven to cluster exponentially fast. In these circumstances the shielding of fixed charges is perfect—the excess particle density carries no multipole moments of any order. This was indeed verified explicitly by Jancovici⁴ for the $\nu = 2$ OCP at $\beta e^2 = 2$.

It would seem useful and it may even be important to take this fact into account when constructing approximate theories of plasmas, ionic salts, molten metals, etc. If one already has a pair correlation function, obtained from some approximate theory, e.g., hypernetted chain, mean spherical,^{6,7} and wants to obtain information about the higher-order correlations, as would be necessary for obtaining microfield distributions in a plasma, then one should only use constructions which respect the sum rules. Similar caution needs to be used in deriving approximate integral equations for the pair correlation by making some closure *Ansatz* in the BGY hierarchy. In this connection it is interesting to ob-

serve that the Totsuji-Ichimura convolution approximation⁷ usually considered for the homogeneous OCP but readily extended to the general case,

$$\rho^T(q_1 q_2 q_3) = \rho^T(q_1 q_2) \rho^T(q_2 q_3) / \rho(q_2) + \rho^T(q_1 q_3) \rho^T(q_3 q_2) / \rho(q_3) + \rho^T(q_3 q_1) \rho^T(q_1 q_2) / \rho(q_1) + \int d q_4 \rho^T(q_1 q_4) \rho^T(q_2 q_4) \rho^T(q_3 q_4) / \rho^2(q_4), \quad (13)$$

does indeed satisfy $M(l; 2) = 0$ whenever $M(l; 1) = 0$. This is perhaps not surprising since (13) is correct to first order in the plasma coupling parameter but may be responsible for the good results one obtains with this approximation⁷ and should be preserved in modifications designed to improve its short-distance behavior.

It appears, rather surprisingly, that when \mathfrak{D} is equal to the half-space, i.e., $\nu^1 > 0$, then correlations "parallel to the wall" decay like $r_{\parallel}^{-\nu}$. This can be verified explicitly for the OCP in $\nu = 2$ at $\beta e^2 = 2$ and perturbationally in the general case.⁴ An extension of our theorem shows that this is sufficient for the $l = 0$ sum rule but not for $l > 0$.⁸ Indeed we argue^{3,8} that stronger decay which would imply the $l = 1$ sum rule would have some very unphysical consequences.

This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. CHE 80-01969, the U. S. Office of Naval Research under

Grant No. N-00014-81-C-0776, and the U. S. Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Grant No. 82-0016.

¹Ch. Gruber, Ch. Lugin, and Ph. A. Martin, *J. Stat. Phys.* **22**, 193 (1980).

²Ch. Gruber, J. L. Lebowitz, and Ph. A. Martin, *J. Chem. Phys.* **75**, 994 (1981).

³L. Blum, D. Henderson, J. L. Lebowitz, Ch. Gruber, and Ph. A. Martin, *J. Chem. Phys.* **75**, 5974 (1981).

⁴B. Jancovici, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **46**, 386 (1981), and *J. Stat. Phys.* **28**, 43 (1982), and to be published.

⁵D. Brydges and P. Federbush, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **73**, 197 (1980).

⁶M. Baus and J. P. Hansen, *Phys. Rev.* **59**, 1 (1980).

⁷H. Totsuji and S. Ichimaru, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **50**, 753 (1973); S. Ichimaru, to be published.

⁸L. Blum, Ch. Gruber, D. Henderson, J. L. Lebowitz, and Ph. Martin, to be published.

Fine Structure of Phase Locking

Leon Glass^(a)

Department of Physiology, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec H3G 1Y6, Canada

and

Rafael Perez

Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional de México, Mexico City, Mexico

(Received 9 April 1982)

A simple mathematical model is given which shows how phase locking, bistability, period-doubling bifurcations, and chaos may result from periodic stimulation of nonlinear oscillators. A new fixed-point theorem, which extends the classic results of Arnold, is used in the analysis.

PACS numbers: 05.40.+j, 03.40.-t, 87.10.+e

More than fifty years ago, in a study of electric circuits representing coupled pacemaker sites of the heart, it was demonstrated that as the frequency of periodic input to a nonlinear oscillator was changed, many types of phase-locked rhythms mimicking normal and pathologic cardiac rhythms could be observed.¹ Subsequent studies showed that periodic inputs to nonlinear oscillators could also lead to bistability (in which one of two dif-

ferent phase-locked patterns was observed, depending on the initial condition) and aperiodic dynamics.^{2,3} Recently, period-doubling bifurcations and aperiodic "chaotic" dynamics were observed from periodically driven nonlinear oscillators.⁴⁻⁷ The transition from periodic to aperiodic dynamics displays universal properties predicted theoretically.^{8,9}

Our interest in phase locking stems from stud-