Quantitive Theory of the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam Recurrence in the Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation

E. Infeld

Institute of Nuclear Research, 00-681 Warsaw, Poland (Received 16 June 1981)

By limiting attention to the lowest-order Fourier modes we obtain a theory of the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam recurrence that gives excellent agreement with recent numerical results. Both the predicted period of the recurrence and the temporal development of the $n = 0$ mode are very good fits. The maximum of the $n = 1$ mode, however, is off by about 30%. (The nonlinear Schrödinger equation governs the development of the envelope of the electric field of a nonlinear Langmuir wave in the plasma-physics context. It also describes gravity waves in deep water.)

PACS numbers: 52.35.Fp, 52.25.Ps

Recently Yuen and Ferguson' demonstrated the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam (FPU) recurrence' for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, which equation is of primary importance in plasma physics and fluid dynamics. The essence of the recurrence phenomenon is that linearly unstable modes demonstrate a "superperiodicity" on a sufficiently long time scale when treated nonlinearly. When this superperiodicity is common to all Fourier modes, the initial conditions will be reproduced every once in a while.

To start the FPU recurrence off we need a linearly unstable mode. In the case of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation this indicates wave number k in a range $0 \le k \le k_c$. Recently Rowlands and Janssen' independently used the fact that for k very near k_c calculations can be somewhat simplif ied. They obtained the long-time behavior of the modulation of the linearly unstable mode in this limit. However, as both authors pointed out, their approach could only lead to a qualitative confirmation of the numerical results of Yuen and Ferguson, as none of the k values used by those authors was sufficiently near k_c . In this Letter we give a more general calculation in which k is

not restricted. The results of Rowlands and Janssen are recovered as a limiting case, and the numerical findings of Yuen and Ferguson are described quantitatively as well as qualitatively.

We take the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the form

$$
i(\partial A/\partial t) - \frac{1}{8}(\partial^2 A/\partial x^2) - \frac{1}{2}|A|^2 A + \frac{1}{2}a_0^2 A = 0 \qquad (1)
$$

[obtained from the more common form, in which the last term is absent, via the substitution a $=\exp(-ia_0^2t/2)A$, and assume

$$
A = A_0(t) + A_{-1}(t) \exp(-ikx) + A_1(t) \exp(ikx),
$$

\n
$$
A_0(0) = a_0, A_{-1}(0) = A_1(0) = a_1.
$$
\n(2)

For $a_1 = 0$ the constant solution is exact. Our calculation is easily extendable to $A_{-1}(0) \neq A_{1}(0)$. We neglect the generation of modes $\sim \exp(n k x)$, $n \ge 2$ but do not assume either $k_c - k$ or $|A_1/A_0|$ small. This method is sometimes used in fluid dynamics.⁴ (If we did take $|A_1/A_0|$ small and linearized around a_0 , we would obtain linear instability of the $n = 1$ modes with $k_c = 2\sqrt{2}a_0$.

Equations (l) and (2) yield, for the time development of the $n = 0$ mode,

$$
i\dot{A}_c + \tfrac{1}{2}a_o{}^2A_o = \tfrac{1}{2}{A_o}^2A_o{}^* + A_{-1}A_1A_o{}^* + A_{-1}A_{-1}{}^*A_o + A_oA_1A_1{}^*
$$

 (3)

and two similar equations for the development of A_{-1} and A_{1} . All three can be obtained from a Lagrangian

$$
L = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{l=-1}^{1} (A_l * A_l - A_l * A_l) + \sum_{l} (\frac{1}{2} a_0^2 + \frac{1}{8} k^2 l^2) |A_l|^2 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l} |A_l|^4 - \sum_{i < j} |A_i|^2 |A_j|^2 - \frac{1}{2} F,
$$
\n
$$
F = A_0 * A_{-1} A_1 + A_{-1} * A_1 * A_0^2, \quad F(0) = 2a_0^2 a_1^2.
$$
\n
$$
(4)
$$

Time invariance yields Noether's theorem in the form

$$
\sum_{l=-1}^{1} (\dot{A}_l L_{\dot{A}_l} + \dot{A}_l L_{\dot{A}_l} - L) = \text{const},
$$
\n(5)

1981 The American Physical Society 717

whereas invariance under the phase transformation $A_1 - A_1 \exp(i\epsilon_i)$, $2\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_1 = 0$ yields the Noether equation

$$
\sum_{l=-1}^{1} (\epsilon_{l} A_{l} L_{A_{l}} - \epsilon_{l} A_{l} * L_{A_{l}}*) = \text{const.}
$$
 (6)

Using Eqs. (5) and (6) (the latter in two versions, one with all ϵ_i equal, and the other with $\epsilon_0 = 0$, ϵ_i $=-\epsilon_{-1}$) we obtain three distinct conservation laws. These are best expressed in terms of the deviation of $|A_0|^2$ from its initial value,

$$
x = a_0^2 - |A_0|^2.
$$

They are

$$
|A_{-1}|^2 = |A_1|^2 = a_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}x,
$$

$$
F = \frac{3}{4}x^2 + (\frac{1}{4}r^2 - a_0^2 + a_1^2)x + 2a_0^2a_1^2.
$$

If we now multiply (3) by A_0^* and subtract its complex conjugate, square the result, and express all quantities in terms of x and known constants, we obtain

$$
\dot{x}^{2} = 4 |A_{0}|^{4} |A_{1}|^{4} - F^{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{7}{16} (x - x_{1})(x - x_{2})(x - x_{3})(x - x_{4}), \qquad (7)
$$
\n
$$
x_{1} = (k^{2} - 4a_{1}^{2} - \sqrt{\Delta})/2, \quad \Delta = (k^{2} - 4a_{1}^{2})^{2} + 64a_{0}^{2}a_{1}^{2},
$$
\n
$$
x_{2} = 0, \quad x_{2} \le x \le x_{3} \text{ when } x_{3} > 0,
$$
\n
$$
x_{3} = \frac{4}{7} (2a_{0}^{2} - 3a_{1}^{2} - \frac{1}{4}k^{2}),
$$
\n
$$
\dot{x}_{4} = (k^{2} - 4a_{1}^{2} + \sqrt{\Delta})/2.
$$
\n(7)

Equation (7) can be solved to give

$$
x = x_1 x_3 \operatorname{sn}^2(m|\alpha t) / [x_3 \operatorname{sn}^2(m|\alpha t) + x_1 - x_3],
$$

\n
$$
m = [x_3(x_4 - x_1) / x_4(x_3 - x_1)]^{1/2},
$$

\n
$$
\alpha = [7x_4(x_3 - x_1) / 8^2]^{1/2}.
$$

The superperiod is $T = 2K(m)/\alpha$, and the maximum deviation of $|A_0|^2$ is x_3 . For $k^2 > k_c^2 + 2a_1^2$ the FPU recurrence will not occur. This generalizes the cutoff of linear theory k_c^2 . There are complications for $k \leq \frac{1}{2}k_c$ found numerically¹ but not recovered by our theory, as the interplay with higher modes is involved. Finally we note that Janssen's equation $[Eq. (16b)$ of Ref. 3 is recovered from our Eq. (7) by taking $k_c - k \rightarrow 0$.

We are now in a position to compare our results with those of Yuen and Ferguson' (case 1, for which those authors give the most detail). To do this take $a_0 = 1$, $a_1 = 0.05$, and $k = 2$. Figure 1 gives the comparison. Of course the $n = 2$ and $n = 3$ modes are absent from our theory and that is its main shortcoming. On the other hand the period T is seen to be an excellent fit (within 1%). Ne-

FIG. 1. Normalized energy content of the lowestorder Fourier modes: (a) according to Yuen and Ferguson and (b) as predicted by theory for the same initial conditons. Difference in T cannot be seen on this scale.

gleet of higher-order modes does not seem to af $fect T$ at all, no doubt because of enslavement (following of the $n = 1$ mode by those of higher order). Also, and perhaps more surprisingly, the dip in the energy content of the $n = 0$ mode $x₃$ is also a good fit (correct within a few percent). The maximal energy content in the $n = 1$ modes, on the other hand, is down by about 30%. This is not surprising, as the interplay with higher modes has been neglected.

In conclusion, we now have a quantitative theory of the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam recurrence for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, at least for $\frac{1}{2}k_c \le k \le k_c$. Work on inclusion of $n = 2$, and hence a more realistic treatment of $0 \le k \le \frac{1}{2} k_c$, is now underway.

 1 H. C. Yuen and W. E. Ferguson, Jr., Phys. Fluids 21, 1275 (1978).

 2 E. Fermi, J. Pasta, and S. Ulam, in Collected Papers of Enrico Fermi, edited by E. Segrè (Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1965), Vol. 2, p. 978.

 3 G. Rowlands, J. Phys. A 13, 2395 (1980); A. E. M. Janssen, Phys. Fluids 24, 23 (1981).

 4 D. J. Benney, J. Fluid Mech. 14, 557 (1962); F. P. Bretherton, J. Fluid Mech. 20, ⁴⁵⁷ (1964). See also B. Fornberg and G. B.Whitham, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London 289, 373 (1978).