## Quantitive Theory of the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam Recurrence in the Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation

E. Infeld

Institute of Nuclear Research, 00-681 Warsaw, Poland (Received 16 June 1981)

By limiting attention to the lowest-order Fourier modes we obtain a theory of the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam recurrence that gives excellent agreement with recent numerical results. Both the predicted period of the recurrence and the temporal development of the n = 0 mode are very good fits. The maximum of the n = 1 mode, however, is off by about 30%. (The nonlinear Schrödinger equation governs the development of the envelope of the electric field of a nonlinear Langmuir wave in the plasma-physics context. It also describes gravity waves in deep water.)

PACS numbers: 52.35.Fp, 52.25.Ps

Recently Yuen and Ferguson<sup>1</sup> demonstrated the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam (FPU) recurrence<sup>2</sup> for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, which equation is of primary importance in plasma physics and fluid dynamics. The essence of the recurrence phenomenon is that linearly unstable modes demonstrate a "superperiodicity" on a sufficiently long time scale when treated nonlinearly. When this superperiodicity is common to all Fourier modes, the initial conditions will be reproduced every once in a while.

To start the FPU recurrence off we need a linearly unstable mode. In the case of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation this indicates wave number k in a range  $0 \le k \le k_c$ . Recently Rowlands and Janssen<sup>3</sup> independently used the fact that for k very near  $k_c$  calculations can be somewhat simplified. They obtained the long-time behavior of the modulation of the linearly unstable mode in this limit. However, as both authors pointed out, their approach could only lead to a qualitative confirmation of the numerical results of Yuen and Ferguson, as none of the k values used by those authors was sufficiently near  $k_c$ . In this Letter we give a more general calculation in which k is not restricted. The results of Rowlands and Janssen are recovered as a limiting case, and the numerical findings of Yuen and Ferguson are described quantitatively as well as qualitatively.

We take the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the form

$$i(\partial A/\partial t) - \frac{1}{8}(\partial^2 A/\partial x^2) - \frac{1}{2}|A|^2 A + \frac{1}{2}a_0^2 A = 0$$
 (1)

[obtained from the more common form, in which the last term is absent, via the substitution  $a = \exp(-ia_0^{2t}/2)A$ ], and assume

$$A = A_0(t) + A_{-1}(t) \exp(-ikx) + A_1(t) \exp(ikx),$$
  

$$A_0(0) = a_0, \quad A_{-1}(0) = A_1(0) = a_1.$$
(2)

For  $a_1 = 0$  the constant solution is exact. Our calculation is easily extendable to  $A_{-1}(0) \neq A_1(0)$ . We neglect the generation of modes ~ exp(*inkx*),  $n \ge 2$  but do not assume either  $k_c - k$  or  $|A_1/A_0|$  small. This method is sometimes used in fluid dynamics.<sup>4</sup> (If we did take  $|A_1/A_0|$  small and linearized around  $a_0$ , we would obtain linear instability of the n = 1 modes with  $k_c = 2\sqrt{2}a_0$ .)

Equations (1) and (2) yield, for the time development of the n = 0 mode,

$$i\dot{A}_{c} + \frac{1}{2}a_{0}^{2}A_{0} = \frac{1}{2}A_{0}^{2}A_{0}^{*} + A_{-1}A_{1}A_{0}^{*} + A_{-1}A_{-1}A_{0} + A_{0}A_{1}A_{1}^{*}$$

(3)

and two similar equations for the development of  $A_{-1}$  and  $A_{1}$ . All three can be obtained from a Lagrangian

$$L = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{l=-1}^{1} (A_{l} * \dot{A}_{l} - \dot{A}_{l} * A_{l}) + \sum_{l} (\frac{1}{2} a_{0}^{2} + \frac{1}{8} k^{2} l^{2}) |A_{l}|^{2} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l} |A_{l}|^{4} - \sum_{i < j} |A_{i}|^{2} |A_{j}|^{2} - \frac{1}{2} F, \qquad (4)$$

$$F = A_{0} *^{2} A_{-1} A_{1} + A_{-1} * A_{1} * A_{0}^{2}, \quad F(0) = 2a_{0}^{2} a_{1}^{2}.$$

Time invariance yields Noether's theorem in the form

$$\sum_{l=-1}^{1} (\dot{A}_{l} L_{\dot{A}_{l}} + \dot{A}_{l} * L_{\dot{A}_{l}} - L) = \text{const},$$
(5)

717

whereas invariance under the phase transformation  $A_1 \rightarrow A_1 \exp(i\epsilon_l)$ ,  $2\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_1 = 0$  yields the Noether equation

$$\sum_{l=-1}^{1} (\epsilon_l A_l L_{A_l} - \epsilon_l A_l * L_{A_l}^*) = \text{const.}$$
 (6)

Using Eqs. (5) and (6) (the latter in two versions, one with all  $\epsilon_i$  equal, and the other with  $\epsilon_0 = 0$ ,  $\epsilon_1 = -\epsilon_{-1}$ ) we obtain three distinct conservation laws. These are best expressed in terms of the deviation of  $|A_0|^2$  from its initial value,

$$x = a_0^2 - |A_0|^2$$
.

They are

$$|A_{-1}|^2 = |A_1|^2 = a_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}x,$$
  

$$F = \frac{3}{4}x^2 + (\frac{1}{4}r^2 - a_0^2 + a_1^2)x + 2a_0^2a_1^2.$$

If we now multiply (3) by  $A_0^*$  and subtract its complex conjugate, square the result, and express all quantities in terms of x and known constants, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}^{2} &= 4 |A_{0}|^{4} |A_{1}|^{4} - F^{2} \\ &= \frac{7}{16} (x - x_{1}) (x - x_{2}) (x - x_{3}) (x - x_{4}), \end{aligned} \tag{7} \\ x_{1} &= (k^{2} - 4a_{1}^{2} - \sqrt{\Delta})/2, \quad \Delta = (k^{2} - 4a_{1}^{2})^{2} + 64a_{0}^{2}a_{1}^{2}, \\ x_{2} &= 0, \quad x_{2} \leq x \leq x_{3} \text{ when } x_{3} > 0, \\ x_{3} &= \frac{4}{7} (2a_{0}^{2} - 3a_{1}^{2} - \frac{1}{4}k^{2}), \\ \dot{x}_{4} &= (k^{2} - 4a_{1}^{2} + \sqrt{\Delta})/2. \end{aligned}$$

Equation (7) can be solved to give

$$\begin{split} &x = x_1 x_3 \, \operatorname{sn}^2(m \, | \, \alpha t) / [x_3 \, \operatorname{sn}^2(m \, | \, \alpha t) + x_1 - x_3], \\ &m = [x_3 (x_4 - x_1) / x_4 (x_3 - x_1)]^{1/2}, \\ &\alpha = [7 x_4 (x_3 - x_1) / 8^2]^{1/2}. \end{split}$$

The superperiod is  $T = 2K(m)/\alpha$ , and the maximum deviation of  $|A_0|^2$  is  $x_3$ . For  $k^2 > k_c^2 + 2a_1^2$  the FPU recurrence will not occur. This generalizes the cutoff of linear theory  $k_c^2$ . There are complications for  $k < \frac{1}{2}k_c$  found numerically<sup>1</sup> but not recovered by our theory, as the interplay with higher modes is involved. Finally we note that Janssen's equation [Eq. (16b) of Ref. 3] is recovered from our Eq. (7) by taking  $k_c - k - 0$ .

We are now in a position to compare our results with those of Yuen and Ferguson<sup>1</sup> (case 1, for which those authors give the most detail). To do this take  $a_0 = 1$ ,  $a_1 = 0.05$ , and k = 2. Figure 1 gives the comparison. Of course the n = 2 and n = 3modes are absent from our theory and that is its main shortcoming. On the other hand the period T is seen to be an excellent fit (within 1%). Ne-



FIG. 1. Normalized energy content of the lowestorder Fourier modes: (a) according to Yuen and Ferguson and (b) as predicted by theory for the same initial conditons. Difference in T cannot be seen on this scale.

glect of higher-order modes does not seem to affect T at all, no doubt because of enslavement (following of the n = 1 mode by those of higher order). Also, and perhaps more surprisingly, the dip in the energy content of the n = 0 mode  $x_3$  is also a good fit (correct within a few percent). The maximal energy content in the n = 1 modes, on the other hand, is down by about 30%. This is not surprising, as the interplay with higher modes has been neglected.

In conclusion, we now have a quantitative theory of the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam recurrence for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, at least for  $\frac{1}{2}k_c < k < k_c$ . Work on inclusion of n = 2, and hence a more realistic treatment of  $0 < k < \frac{1}{2}k_c$ , is now underway.

<sup>1</sup>H. C. Yuen and W. E. Ferguson, Jr., Phys. Fluids <u>21</u>, 1275 (1978).

<sup>2</sup>E. Fermi, J. Pasta, and S. Ulam, in *Collected Papers of Enrico Fermi*, edited by E. Segrè (Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1965), Vol. 2, p. 978.

<sup>3</sup>G. Rowlands, J. Phys. A <u>13</u>, 2395 (1980); A. E. M. Janssen, Phys. Fluids <u>24</u>, 23 (1981).

<sup>4</sup>D. J. Benney, J. Fluid Mech. <u>14</u>, 557 (1962); F. P. Bretherton, J. Fluid Mech. <u>20</u>, <u>457</u> (1964). See also B. Fornberg and G. B. Whitham, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London 289, 373 (1978).