dan and W. Luken, J. Chem. Phys. 64, 2760 (1976)]. Narrow resonances in the photodetachment spectrum of large organic molecules have been described as dipole bound states coupled to the continuum [D. L. Jackson. A. H. Zimmerman, and J. I. Braumann, J. Chem. Phys. 71, 2088 (1979); W. R. Garrett, J. Chem. Phys. 73, 5721 (1980)]. But the ground negative ion state of these organic molecules is not dipole bound.

⁹Carlsen, Peterson, Lineberger, Ref. 8; Jordan and Luken, Ref. 8.

^{10a} Jackson, Zimmerman, Braumann, Ref. 8. ^{10b}Garrett, Ref. 8.

¹¹F. H. Stillinger and C. W. David, J. Chem. Phys. 73. 3384 (1980).

^{12a}J. Jortner and A. Gaathon, Can. J. Chem. 55, 1801 (1977).

^{12b}P. C. Webster, in Annual Reports C of The Royal Society of Chemistry (London), 1979 (unpublished), p. 287.

¹³P. P. Wegner, Molecular Beams and Low Density Gasdynamics (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1974).

^{14a}I. Dzidic, D. I. Carroll, R. N. Stillwell, and E. C.

Hornig, Anal. Chem. 48, 1763 (1976).

^{14b}M. W. Siegel and W. L. Fite, J. Phys. Chem. 80, 2871 (1976).

^{14c}A. M. Lovett, N. M. Reid, J. A. Buckley, J. B. French, and D. M. Cameron, Biomed. Mass Spectrom. <u>6, 91 (1979).</u>

¹⁵W. C. Wiley and I. H. McIaren, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 26, 1150 (1955); V. I. Karataev, B. A. Mamyrin, and D. V. Shmikk, Zh. Tekh. Fiz. 41, 1498 (1971) | Sov. Phys. Tech. Phys. 16, 1177 (1972)].

¹⁶M. Armbruster, H. Haberland, and H. G. Schindler, to be published; M. Armbruster and H. G. Schindler, Diplomarbeit, Freiburg University, Freiburg, 1981 (unpublished).

¹⁷A. Bernas and T. B. Truong, Can. J. Chem. 55, 2044 (1977).

¹⁸C. E. Klots, J. Chem. Phys. 71, 4172 (1979).

¹⁹J. A. D. Stockdale, R. N. Compton, and P. W. Reinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 21, 664 (1968).

²⁰K. W. Schwarz, Adv. Chem. Phys. 33, 1 (1975). ²¹J. Gspann and H. Vollmar, J. Chem. Phys. 73, 1657 (1980).

Influence of Singlet-Triplet Mixing on the Hyperfine Structure of 5snd Rydberg States in ⁸⁷Sr

R. Beigang, E. Matthias, and A. Timmermann

Institut für Atom- und Festkörperphysik, Freie Universität Berlin, D-1000 Berlin 33, West Germany

(Received 1 June 1981)

A systematic study of the hyperfine structure of 5snd $^{1}D_{2}$ and $^{3}D_{2}$ states of 87 Sr was carried out by Doppler-free two-photon excitation. In the region of strong singlet-triplet mixing around n = 16, the hyperfine structure exhibits a marked n dependence which is related to the amount of singlet-triplet mixing.

PACS numbers: 31.30.Gs, 35.10.Fk

For excited states of two-electron systems the hyperfine structure (hfs) provides a sensitive probe both for the type of coupling and for possible admixtures of other states. A typical example is the hyperfine structure of 1snd ¹D levels in ³He which has been shown to arise from admixture of ³D states.¹ In their recent study of the hfs of various levels of ³He, Liao *et al.*² emphasized the crucial role of the Fermi contact interaction of the inner s electron to the electronic structure of high-n Rydberg states. The singlettriplet mixing effects observed in ³He are expected to also occur in other two-electron systems. It is therefore of interest to investigate the hfs of Rydberg states of alkaline-earth atoms, particularly in regions of the principal quantum number *n* where mixing of states is indicated by other experiments. The first results on a few levels in Ba point indeed towards strong perturbations of

the levels investigated.³ A more favorable case is Sr, for which Esherick⁴ has shown that strong singlet-triplet mixing occurs in 5snd states around n = 16, while for $n \le 10$ and $n \ge 30$ the ¹D and ${}^{3}D$ can be regarded as being "pure" states. Wynne, Armstrong, and Esherick⁵ verified that the g factors of 5snd J = 2 states accurately reflect the change of admixture. For the same reason we expect the hfs of ⁸⁷Sr to vary with the principal quantum number between n = 10 and 30. It is the purpose of this Letter to present a first systematic study of the hfs of 5snd Rydberg states of ⁸⁷Sr for a wide range of n and to demonstrate the drastic influence of singlet-triplet mixing on the hfs. We expect that this will be of importance also for other two-electron systems.

The energy difference between the ground state and the 5snd states⁶ in strontium can be bridged by two-photon excitation. In our experimental

© 1981 The American Physical Society

VOLUME 47, NUMBER 5

setup, we closely followed the scheme of Harvey and Stoicheff⁷ in which the Doppler-free two-photon excitation was combined with thermionic detection.⁸ The application of a narrow-band cw dye laser yields the spectral resolution required to measure the hfs, which is typically between ten and a few hundred MHz. To obtain the power necessary for the two-photon process, a cw ring laser configuration was used. At the peak of Stilben 3 a typical single-mode output power of 150 mW in a bandwidth of 1 MHz was produced.⁹ The tuning range of this dye extends from 420 to 470 nm, and hence Rydberg states in the energy range from 42 500 cm⁻¹ to the first ionization limit⁴ at 45 932.19 cm⁻¹ were accessible in this experiment. A wave meter provided a wavelength scale with an absolute accuracy of ± 0.01 cm⁻¹. The frequency separation between the various hyperfine components was calibrated by means of a confocal Fabry-Perot interferometer with a free spectral range of 125 MHz.

Strontium vapor was produced in a stainlesssteel pipe heated to about 600 °C corresponding to a vapor pressure of 40 mTorr. No buffer gas was used. Excited Rydberg states were detected by a simple ionization probe. It consisted of a wire, inserted in the center of the pipe, that was charged with typically 0.5 V across a load resistor of 10 k Ω . Such a thermionic diode is particularly well suited for the detection of highly excited states. Its sensitivity increases with increasing n and therefore partly compensates for the decreasing transition probability. A sensitive detection technique was all the more important since the odd isotope ⁸⁷Sr has a natural abundancy of only 7.02% and, for J=2, its intensity is divided up into five hyperfine components. In our case, it was possible to observe the hfs of 5snd ${}^{3}D_{2}$ states with good singal-to-noise ratio up to $n \simeq 70$. For high-*n* states, however, great care was necessary to avoid Stark mixing.¹⁰ The influence of the electric field was checked for each n by varying the voltage from 0.1 to 10 V. Up to n = 50, no measurable change of the hfs was observed within the experimental accuracy. For higher n the lines were broadened and their absolute position was shifted but the relative hyperfine splitting remained unchanged.

Typical excitation spectra of the $5snd {}^{1}D_{2}$ and ${}^{3}D_{2}$ series are displayed in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively. The three even isotopes of strontium with an abundancy of 0.56% (⁸⁴Sr), 9.86% (⁸⁶Sr), and 82.56% (⁸⁸Sr) are clearly resolved, the two latter ones being off scale. The interest of this report



FIG. 1. Two-photon excitation spectra for $5s^2$ ¹S₀-5snd ¹D₂ transitions in natural strontium. Signals due to even isotopes are labeled by mass number, while hyperfine components of ⁸⁷Sr are marked by their F values.

focuses on the five hyperfine components of ⁸⁷Sr $(I = \frac{9}{2})$ with *F* values ranging from $\frac{5}{2}$ to $\frac{13}{2}$. The spectra in Figs. 1 and 2 were selected to demonstrate the strong dependence of the hfs on *n*. In Fig. 1 the vast increase of the splitting when going from n = 11 to 16 is shown for the singlet series. The decrease of the hfs in the triplet series for n > 16 is displayed in Fig. 2. The opposite sign of the hfs for triplet and singlet states is obvious from the two figures. For the triplet series there is a sign change of the hfs between n = 12 and 13, resulting in the same sign for both series below n = 12. Transitions to 5snd $^{3}D_{2}$ states were observed for $n \ge 11$, while 5snd $^{1}D_{2}$

All hyperfine spectra obey the interval rule, and hence the quadrupole coupling constant *B* was assumed to be zero. Under this assumption the magnetic coupling constant A_{exp} was extracted from the spectra by applying a least-squares-fit procedure to all ten possible combinations of hyperfine intervals. The resulting data for A_{exp} are plotted as a function of *n* in Fig. 3(b) for both the triplet and singlet series. The hfs shows a pronounced resonancelike behavior around n = 16while it remains independent of *n* for n > 30 where pure (ji) coupling exists. In this region, the hfs



FIG. 2. Two-photon excitation spectra of the $5snd \ ^{3}D_{2}$ series. The labeling of the lines is according to Fig. 1.

coupling constant $A({}^{3}D_{2})$ is given by $-a_{5s}/6$, where a_{5s} is the splitting factor describing the Fermi contact interaction of the 5s electron. According to conventional analysis¹¹ for unperturbed states this should be the largest possible value of $A({}^{3}D_{2})$. The fact that at the peak of the resonance the coupling constant is more than twice the value for (jj) coupling proves the existence of strong admixtures. This is clearly related to the avoided crossing of the $5snd {}^{1}D_{2}$ and $5snd {}^{3}D_{2}$ series. To make this point more obvious, we have plotted in Fig. 3(a) the admixture per state of the singlet into the triplet series, as taken from the multichannel quantum-defect theory (MQDT) analysis of Esherick.⁴

A more quantitative interpretation can be reached by making the following assumptions: (i) The hyperfine interaction is caused by the 5selectron and contributions of the excited electron are negligible. (ii) There is a change of coupling between the two electrons. Below n = 10 both series consist of pure states in *LS* coupling. Above n = 30 all states can be described by (jj) coupling. (iii) In the region of the avoided crossing between singlet and triplet series there is an intermediate coupling situation. Here the hfs is predominantly



FIG. 3. (a) Amount of admixture of singlet into triplet states taken from the results of Esherick (Ref. 4). (b) *n* dependence of the experimentally observed hyper-fine coupling constants for $5snd \ ^1D_2$ and $5snd \ ^3D_2$ states. The uncertainty of the data is ± 3 MHz. The solid line was calculated with use of the relation given in the text. The dashed line represents the change of coupling necessary to fit the experimental data. The values of the hyperfine coupling constant for pure states in the LS – and (jj)-coupling limit are indicated.

determined by the mixing between the two series. (iv) There is a screening contribution since the promotion of one electron into higher n states causes a contraction of the 5s ion core, leading to an increased 5s electron density at the nucleus.

On these grounds the experimentally observed hyperfine coupling constant can be decomposed into three contributions,

$$A_{\exp} = A_n (1 + c z_{s, t}^2) + \Delta.$$

Here, A_n is the contribution of the pure state, determined by the hyperfine interaction of the 5s electron and the coupling between the 5s and *nd* electrons. The singlet-triplet mixing gives rise to the term $cA_n z_{s,t}^2$, where c is a constant factor, and $z_{s,t}^2$ is the admixture per state shown in Fig. 3(a). Finally, Δ describes the screening contribution. For simplicity we assume that Δ has reached its saturation value for n = 10 and will remain unchanged for higher n.

Using this phenomenological approach, the data in Fig. 3(b) can be quantitatively reproduced, as indicated by the solid line which was calculated for $\Delta = -22$ MHz, c = 8.0, and $a_{5s} = -492$ MHz. The value for a_{5s} was taken from the hfs of the triplet series for large n, assuming (jj) coup $ling^{11}$ [see Fig. 3(b)]. Unfortunately, this value could not be checked independently since no ${}^{3}D_{2}$ spectra were obtained for the pure LS-coupling case below n = 10, and the hfs of the Sr⁺ ground state does not seem to be known either. The screening contribution Δ manifests itself by the finite value of $A_{exp}({}^{1}D_{2})$ for n=9, since in pure LS coupling the hfs of singlet states should vanish.¹¹ This value for Δ is supported by the fact that $A_{exp}({}^{3}D_{2})$ also is much lower for n = 12 than the LScoupling value $a_{5s}/12$. The sign of Δ originates from the negative nuclear magnetic moment of ⁸⁷Sr. The dashed lines in Fig. 3(b) indicate the variation of the coupling constant A_n as is required to fit the data. Although the general trend is according to what is expected for a gradual change of coupling between the 5s and nd electrons, it seems to be a consequence of the singlet-triplet mixing that some interference effects occur in the intermediate coupling region. However, in view of the simple model applied here, this observation should not be overinterpreted and we leave this point to a more sophisticated treatment.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated in a systematic study the pronounced effect of singlettriplet mixing on the hfs of Rydberg states in ⁸⁷Sr. These results prove that hfs measurements are very well suited to detect channel mixing in twoelectron systems. A quantitative analysis of the data in terms of a simple model shows that the hyperfine coupling constant contains a contribution which is proportional to the amount of singlet-triplet mixing, as determined by MQDT.⁴ This suggests a refinement of MQDT by inclusion of the hyperfine interaction whenever the experimental precision calls for it.

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, Sonderforschungsbereich 161.

¹N. Bessis, H. Lefebvre-Brion, and C. M. Moser, Phys. Rev. <u>135</u>, A957 (1964).

²P. F. Liao, R. R. Freeman, R. Panock, and L. M. Humphrey, Opt. Commun. <u>34</u>, 195 (1980).

³W. Jitschin and G. Meisel, Z. Phys. A <u>295</u>, 37 (1980). ⁴P. Esherick, Phys. Rev. A <u>15</u>, 1920 (1977).

⁵J. J. Wynne, J. A. Armstrong, and P. Esherick,

Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>39</u>, 1520 (1977).

⁶J. R. Rubbmark and S. A. Borgström, Physica Scr. 18, 196 (1978). ⁷K. C. Harvey and B. P. Stoicheff, Phys. Rev. Lett.

⁷K. C. Harvey and B. P. Stoicheff, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>38</u>, 537 (1977).

⁸P. Esherick and J. J. Wynne, Comments At. Mol. Phys. 7, 43 (1977), and references therein.

⁹A. Timmermann, Z. Phys. A <u>296</u>, 93 (1980).

¹⁰F. B. Dunning and R. F. Stebbings, Comments At. Mol. Phys. 10, 9 (1980).

¹¹H. Kopfermann, *Nuclear Moments* (Academic, New York, 1958).