Probability 17, 979 (1980).

 h^{12} *b* is $\nu(1-z/2)$ in the notation of Ref. 5.

 13 M. F. Sykes and J. W. Essam, Phys. Rev. Lett. 10 , 3 (1963).

¹⁴This instability has been noticed by Obukhov in $5 - \epsilon$

dimensions.

 15 J. P. Straley, Phys. Rev. B 15 , 5733 (1977).

 16 S. Redner and A. C. Brown, J. Phys. A 14 , L285 (1981).

 17 S. Redner, to be published.

New Formula for the Cabibbo Angle and Composite Quarks and Leptons

Yoshio Koide

Laboratory of Physics, Shizuoka Women's University, Yada 409, Shizuoka 422, Japan (Received 24 April 1981)

A composite model for quarks and leptons is proposed. An SU(3)-generation symmetry, which is badly broken not only by the generator F_8 but also by the generator F_3 , is introduced. The model leads to a new formula for the Cabibbo angle θ_C represented in terms of lepton masses, which predicts $tan\theta_c = 0.225$.

PACS numbers: 12.30.-s, 12.40.Cc

It has, for many years, been a longed-for goal of investigations for the unified description of the weak interactions to give both a successful calculation of nonleptonic weak interactions and a reasonable estimate of the Cabibbo angle. Recently, for the purpose of a unified description of leptons and quarks, many authors' have discussed subquark models where quarks and leptons are made of more fundamental constituents (subquarks), but few authors have directed their attention to those two problems^{2,3} on the weak interactions.

The present author⁴ has very recently proposed a composite quark model which provides a further enhancement of the $SU(4)$ 20 piece by a factor of $2\cos^2\theta_{\rm w}$ and a further suppression of the SU(4) 84 piece by a factor of $2 \sin^2 \theta_w$ in the effective nonleptonic weak Hamiltonian as compared with the conventional Glashow-Iliopoulos -Maiani (GIM) model. ' In that model, the quarks are made of a color-triplet fermion (v_a, l_a) , whose left-handed components form doublets of $SU(2)_w$, and a boson θ^f (f=1,2,3) as follows:

$$
u_{a}^{\ \prime} = \nu_{a}\theta^{1}, \quad c_{a}^{\ \prime} = \nu_{a}\theta^{2}, \quad t_{a} = \nu_{a}\theta^{3},
$$

\n
$$
d_{a}^{\ \prime} = l_{a}\theta^{1}, \quad s_{a}^{\ \prime} = l_{a}\theta^{2}, \quad b_{a} = l_{a}\theta^{3},
$$
\n(1)

where $u' = u \cos \alpha - c \sin \alpha$, $c' = u \sin \alpha + c \cos \alpha$, $d' = d \cos\beta - s \sin\beta$, $s' = d \sin\beta + s \cos\beta$, and the Cabibbo angle θ_C is given by $\theta_C = \alpha - \beta$. The additional enhancement and suppression are caused by a rearrangement of the fermions (v_a, l_a) at the nonleptonic weak vertex.

In this paper, the rest of the problem (on the origin of the Cabibbo angle) is discussed on the basis of the model proposed previously, $⁴$ but by</sup>

pushing further the speculation and regarding leptons and the bosons θ^f as composite systems made of some fundamental constituents. We will obtain a new formula for the Cabibbo angle θ_c represented in terms of lepton masses:

$$
\tan\theta_{\rm C} = \frac{\sqrt{3}(m_{\mu}^{1/2} - m_{e}^{1/2})}{2m_{\tau}^{1/2} - m_{\mu}^{1/2} - m_{e}^{1/2}},
$$
 (2)

which predicts $\tan\theta_c = 0.225$.

In this model, there exist 21 subquarks of spin $\frac{1}{2}$

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \nu_a \\ l_a \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \nu_\alpha \\ l_\alpha \end{pmatrix}, \quad h_\alpha{}^i \; ; \tag{3}
$$

$$
a = 1, 2, 3, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,
$$

where a, α , and i are SU(3)-color, SU(3)-subcolor, and SU(3)-generation indices, respectively. The left-handed components of (ν_a, l_a) form doublets of the gauge group $SU(2)_w$. We regard $\text{SU(2)}_w\!\otimes\!\text{U(1)}, \ \text{SU(3)}_c, \ \text{and} \ \text{SU(3)}_{sc} \ \text{as local gauge}$ groups, while we consider $SU(3)_c$ as merely a phenomenological symmetry which is badly broken. The charges of the subquarks (ν_a, l_a) , (ν_α, l_α) , and h_{α}^i are $(\frac{2}{3}, -\frac{1}{3})$, $(\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3})$, and $-\frac{1}{6}$, respectively, in units of e .

In this model, a lepton is a subcolor-singlet state which is composed of the fermion (ν_α, l_α) and two-fermion hh . Since the state hh is antisymmetric in subcolor, the state must be symmetric in SU(6) which is composed of $SU(3)_G$ \otimes SU(2)_{spin}, so that the ground state (spin-0) of $\{hh\}$ belongs to 3* of SU(3)_G. Therefore leptons of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ have three generations:

$$
\nu_e = \{ \nu h^2 h^3 \}, \quad \nu_\mu = \{ \nu h^3 h^1 \}, \quad \nu_\tau = \{ \nu h^1 h^2 \},
$$

$$
e^- = \{ \ln^2 h^3 \}, \quad \mu^- = \{ \ln^3 h^1 \}, \quad \tau^- = \{ \ln^1 h^2 \},
$$
 (4)

where the curly brackets denote subcolor-singlet state and the subcolor index is omitted. We cannot, of course rule out the possibility that there exist leptons of spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ with six generations.

A quark is made of the fermion (ν_a, l_a) and a subcolor-singlet boson (hh) . We assume, for the present, that the physical quarks are restricted to those composed of the diagonal elements of $(h\bar{h})$, that is, $(h^{1}\bar{h}^{1})$, $(h^{2}\bar{h}^{2})$, and $(h^{3}\bar{h}^{3})$. The bosons θ^1 , θ^2 , and θ^3 in Eq. (1) are regarded as the three $(h\bar{h})$ bosons $(\Phi^{(8)})_{10}$, $(\Phi^{(8)})_{00}$, and $(\Phi^{(1)})_{00}$, respectively, where

$$
(\Phi^{(8)})_{10} = (h^1 \hbar^1 - h^2 \hbar^2) / \sqrt{2},
$$

\n
$$
(\Phi^{(8)})_{00} = (h^1 \hbar^1 + h^2 \hbar - 2h^3 \hbar^3) / \sqrt{6},
$$

\n
$$
(\Phi^{(1)})_{00} = (h^1 \hbar^1 + h^2 \hbar^2 + h^3 \hbar^3) / \sqrt{3}.
$$
\n(5)

Mixings of t and b with u , c and d , s, respectively, are neglected, since we consider that the $SU(3)_G$ singlet quarks t and b are very massive relative to the $SU(3)_G$ -octet quarks.

In order to understand the generation mixing, we assume that the generation symmetry $SU(3)_G$ is badly broken not only by the generator F_8 but also by the generator F_3 , and introduce the spurion

$$
S = c_0 + c_8 F_8 + c_3 F_3,
$$
 (6)

where $\overline{F}_i = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_i$, and by which the mass matrix of the fermion h is badly broken. We consider that the c_0 term is dependent on the representation of $SU(3)_G$ because the $c₀$ term contains the operator $F^2 = \sum F_i F_i$, while the coefficients c_8 and c_3 are constant through all the representations of $SU(3)_G$. We define "*i* spin" and the operators $i_3 = F_3$ and y = (2/ $\sqrt{3}$) $F\rm_s$ analogously to those of the usual hadronic SU(3) group.

We assume that the mass $(M^{(8)})_{i,j}$ of the SU(3)_Goctet meson $(\Phi^{(8)})_i{}^j = h^i \overline{h}^j - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij} \sum h^k \overline{h}^k$ is given by

$$
(H(8))mass = \sum_{i,j} [(M(8))ij]2 (\Phi(8))ij (\Phi(8))ij = M02 Tr (\Phi S \Phi S),
$$
 (7)

that is, the mass $(M^{(8)})_{ii}$ of the "diagonal" meson $(\pmb{\Phi}^{\texttt{(8)}})_i^{i}$ is given by

$$
[(M(8)ii]2 = M02SiiSii
$$

= M₀² $\sum S_i{}^{j}S_j{}^{i} = M_0{}^{2} (S^2)_{i}{}^{i}$, (8)

where

$$
S^{2} = \left(c_{0}^{2} + \frac{{c_{8}}^{2} + {c_{3}}^{2}}{6}\right) + \left(2c_{0}c_{8} - \frac{{c_{8}}^{2} - {c_{3}}^{2}}{2\sqrt{3}}\right) + F_{8}
$$

$$
+ \left(2c_{0}c_{3} + \frac{2c_{8}c_{3}}{2\sqrt{3}}\right)F_{3}, \tag{9}
$$

and use has been made of the property that S is a diagonal matrix. The mass matrix (8) leads to the mixing between the $i = 1$ meson $(\Phi^{(8)})_{10}$ and the $i = 0$ meson $(\Phi^{(8)})_{00}$, and we get the relation for the the mixing angle θ ,

$$
\tan 2\theta = \frac{2c_8c_3}{c_8^2 - c_3^2}, \quad \text{or } \tan \theta = \frac{c_3}{c_8}, \tag{10}
$$

where it has been assumed that $c_0 \approx 0$ for the octet meson (hh) . Here we have neglected the mixing with the SU(3)_G-singlet meson ($\Phi^{(1)}$)₀₀ since we suppose that the state $(\Phi^{(1)})_{00}$ is very massive.

We suppose that the mass matrix of the $SU(3)_G$ -3* meson $(\Phi^{(3^*)})_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{i,jk} \varphi^k$ is given by

$$
Tr(\overline{\Phi}^{(3^*)}S\Phi^{(3^*)}S)
$$

= $\frac{1}{2}\sum (S_j^kS_i^l - S_j^lS_i^k)(\overline{\Phi}^{(3^*)})^{i j}(\Phi^{(3^*)})_{kl}$
= $\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j,k} \epsilon_{ijk}\overline{\varphi}_i\varphi^iS_j^jS_k^k$, (11)

analogously to that of the $(h\bar{h})$ boson given by Eq. (7), using the fact that the matrix S is diagonal. Therefore, it is assumed that the mass m_i , of the 3* lepton ψ_i , which is made of φ_i , is given by

$$
m_{i} = m_{0} \sum_{j,k} \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{i j k} S_{j}{}^{j} S_{k}{}^{k} + \text{const.}
$$

$$
= m_{0} \left[\left(c_{0}^{2} - \frac{c_{8}^{2} + c_{3}^{2}}{12} \right) - \left(c_{0} c_{8} + \frac{c_{8}^{2} - c_{3}^{2}}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) F_{8} - \left(c_{0} c_{3} - \frac{2 c_{8} c_{3}}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) F_{3} \right]_{i}^{i} + \text{const.}
$$
 (12)

Note that the mass matrix of $3*$ is diagonal, so that there is no mixing among the lepton generations. In order to simplify Eq. (12), we define

$$
m_i^{1/2} = m_0^{1/2} (d_0 - d_8 F_8 - d_3 F_3)_i^i.
$$
 (13)

Then, by comparing the square of Eq. (13) with Eq. (12), we get

$$
d_9 = c_8, \quad d_3 = c_3, \quad d_0 = \frac{1}{2}c_0,
$$

const = $\frac{1}{4}(c_8^2 + c_3^2 - 3c_0^2)$. (14)

Therefore, we obtain the relation

$$
\tan\theta = \frac{c_3}{c_8} = \frac{d_3}{d_8} = \frac{\sqrt{3}(m_2^{1/2} - m_1^{1/2})}{2m_3^{1/2} - m_2^{1/2} - m_1^{1/2}},
$$
(15)

 1.03

from Eqs. (10), (13), and (14).

We suppose that such a symmetry-breaking effect appears only in the bound state with (v, l) and therefore the magnitudes of c_8 and c_3 are dependent of the weak-isospin component I_{w3} . We assume that $c_3 = 0$ in the "up" quarks and "up" leptons, that is, $m(\nu_e) = m(\nu_\nu)$ (=0 or \simeq 0). Therefore there is no mixing between u and c quarks. (The $u-c$ mass difference is induced by $c_e \neq 0$, but the numerical value cannot be determined from the lepton masses because of arbitrariness of the additional parameters.) On the other hand, in the "down" quarks and "down" leptons, the symmetry-breaking c_3/c_8 is nonvanishing, that is, $m_e \neq m_\mu$, and therefore we obtain the relation⁶ (2) by putting $\theta = \theta_{\rm C}$, $m_1 = m_e$, $m_2 = m_\mu$, and m_3 $=m_z$ into Eq. (15).

In conclusion, this model can successfully provide a new SU(4)-20 enhancement and SU(4)-84 suppression mechanism' in the nonleptonic weak Hamiltonian and a new formula for the Cabibbo angle represented in terms of lepton masses. However, some problems to be investigated still remain in the model: What force combines (ν_a) , l_a) with $(h\bar{h})$? Why are other SU(3)_{sc}-singlet states [bosonic leptons $(\nu_{\alpha} \overline{h_i}^{\alpha}), \ldots$, nondiagonal $\text{SU}(3)_G$ -octet quarks $\nu_a(h^1\hbar^2), \ldots$, and so on] absent? In order to reply to these questions, we must presumably introduce further a new binding force.⁸

 2 For earlier work on the nonleptonic weak interaction based on a composite-quark model, see, for example, T. Hayashi, Y. Koide, and S. Ogawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. 39, 1372 (1968).

 3 For recent work on the Cabibbo angle based on a composite-quark model, see, for example, H. Terazawa and K. Akama, University of Tokyo Report No. INS-396, 1980 (unpublished).

 4 Y. Koide, Phys. Rev. D 23, 2081 (1981).

⁵S. L. Glashow, J. Iliopoulos, and L. Maiani, Phys. Rev. D 2, 1286 (1970).

 6 Phenomenologically, there is another good-fitting relation of the Cabibbo angle to the lepton masses. If we assume, a $priori$, the lepton mass matrix m_i $= m_0(c_0 + c_8^2 F_8 + c_3^2 F_9)_i^i$, then the Cabibbo angle is given by

$$
\tan^2\theta_{\rm C} = \frac{c_3^2}{c_8^2} = \frac{\sqrt{3}(m_{\mu} - m_e)}{2m_{\tau} - m_{\mu} - m_e},
$$

which predicts $\tan\theta_c = 0.229$. It is an open question whether or not this coincidence is accidental.

 7 Introduction of the subcolor to the model is not essential in the derivation of the formula for the Cabibbo angle. We may substitute the usual color index a for subcolor index α in $(\nu_{\alpha}, l_{\alpha})$ and h_{α}^{i} , and identify (ν, l) inside a lepton with (ν_a, l_a) inside a quark. The purpose of the introduction of the subcolor is as follows: We consider the rearrangement of (ν_a, l_a) at the nonleptonic weak vertex, while we neglect such a rearrangement of $(\nu_{\alpha},l_{\alpha})$ at the semileptonic and pure leptonic weak vertices, by assuming that the subcolorsinglet state is a very tightly bound state, so that the rearrangement of (ν_a, l_a) from $(h\bar{h})$ is only allowed.

⁸For example, we suppose that each of h^i has independently a sort of charge and (v, l) has all the three charges but with opposite signs so that $h^1 - \overline{h}^1$, $h^2 - \overline{h}^2$, $h^3-\overline{h}^3$, $\nu-h^i$, and $l-h^i$ are attractive, while the other combinations are repulsive or not interactive. We assume that all the subquarks in the physical states must be combined by this attractive force: For example, as $h^2 - \nu - h^3$ in a lepton, $\nu - h^1 - \overline{h}^1$ in a quark and so on.

¹See, for example, H. Terazawa, Phys. Rev. D 22 , 184 (1980), and references therein. For recent work, for example, see R. Casalbuoni and R. Gatto, Phys. Lett. 1008, 135 (1981).