

a function of  $p_\Sigma$ , the momentum of the  $\Sigma^+$ , are plotted in Fig. 4, along with comparable data for  $\Lambda$ .<sup>6</sup> The magnitude of the  $\Sigma^+$  polarization is the same as that of the  $\Lambda$  at the same momentum. The most remarkable feature of this new data is that the sign of the polarization is opposite that of  $\Lambda$ .

The simple quark model referred to above assumes that one quark in the incident proton is lost through a hard collision, leaving a spectator diquark ( $uu$  or  $ud$ ) which then picks up an  $s$  quark to form the forward out-going hyperon. Thus  $uud \rightarrow uus$  produces a  $\Sigma^+$ , while  $uud \rightarrow uds$  produces either a  $\Lambda$  or a  $\Sigma^0$ . Assume that the  $s$  quark is polarized by some unspecified mechanism. Then  $P_\Lambda = P_s$ , because the  $(ud)$  spectator is in a singlet state. For the  $\Sigma^+$  and  $\Sigma^0$  the nonstrange quarks must be in a triplet state, so that the polarization of the composite baryon is opposite to that of the strange quark:  $P_{\Sigma^+} = P_{\Sigma^0} = -\frac{1}{3}P_\Lambda$ . The observed sign reversal is thus expected from the model,

although the predicted magnitude  $|P_{\Sigma^+}| = \frac{1}{3}|P_\Lambda|$  is smaller than the measured one.

We gratefully acknowledge the help of the staff of Fermilab, and particularly the Meson Laboratory. Much of the equipment was constructed by E. Behr, G. Ott, J. Jaske, and S. Fraser. Professor R. Thun kindly supplied the drift chambers. This work was supported in part by the U. S. Department of Energy and the National Science Foundation.

<sup>1</sup>K. Heller *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **41**, 607 (1978).

<sup>2</sup>The model is discussed in Ref. 1. See also B. Andersson *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **85B**, 417 (1979).

<sup>3</sup>P. Skubic *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **18**, 3115 (1978).

<sup>4</sup>R. L. Kelly *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Rev. Mod. Phys. **52**, No. 2, Pt. 2, S1 (1980). This number is the average of several experiments.

<sup>5</sup>R. Settles *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **20**, 2154 (1979).

<sup>6</sup>L. C. Schachinger, Ph.D. thesis, Rutgers University, 1978 (unpublished).

## Construction of Exact Yang-Mills-Higgs Multimono- poles of Arbitrary Charge

M. K. Prasad

*Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139*

and

P. Rossi<sup>(a)</sup>

*Center for Theoretical Physics, Laboratory for Nuclear Science and Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139*

(Received 12 December 1980)

Exact axially symmetric multimono- pole solutions of arbitrary topological charge are presented and their relevant features discussed.

PACS numbers: 14.80.Hv, 11.10.Np

Ever since the pioneering work of 't Hooft and Polyakov<sup>1</sup> there has been considerable interest in static finite-energy magnetic-mono- pole solutions of classical Yang-Mills-Higgs gauge theories. More than five years ago, in the limit of vanishing Higgs potential, an exact analytic charge-one mono- pole solution was discovered.<sup>2</sup> Since then many people have tried, unsuccessfully, to find exact analytic multimono- pole solutions. However, recently Ward<sup>3</sup> made a major breakthrough by presenting for the first time an exact analytic charge-two mono- pole solution. Inspired by Ward's work, we have now constructed, for the first time, exact mono- pole solutions of arbitrary charge. It is this construction we wish to describe in this

Letter. The calculations leading to our construction will be briefly sketched. The details are quite involved and are presented elsewhere.<sup>4</sup>

Let us define in four-dimensional Euclidean space  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$  the SU(2) gauge potentials  $A_\mu^a$  when  $a = 1, 2, 3$  and  $\mu = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . The gauge field strength is defined by

$$F_{\mu\nu}^a \equiv \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + \epsilon^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c. \quad (1)$$

Multimono- pole solutions, with magnetic charge  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , may be found<sup>5,6</sup> within the frame- work described in Refs. 5 and 6. This means we want to solve the self-duality equations:

$$F_{\mu\nu}^a = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma}^a \quad (2)$$

(our convention is  $\epsilon_{1234} \equiv +1$ ) with the requirement that  $A_\mu^a$  be static (independent of  $x_4$ ), real, and regular. We also require that  $A_4^a A_a^a \rightarrow 1 - 2n/r + O(r^{-2})$  as  $r \equiv (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^{1/2} \rightarrow \infty$ . (Note that  $A_4^a$  is just the Higgs field.) Provided these conditions are met the solutions will correspond to finite-energy,  $E = \frac{1}{4} \int F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\mu\nu}^a d^3x = 4\pi n$ , magnetic-monopole solutions with magnetic charge  $n$ .

Yang<sup>7</sup> has shown that by introducing complex coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2}p &= x_1 + ix_2, & \sqrt{2}\bar{p} &= x_1 - ix_2, \\ \sqrt{2}q &= x_3 - ix_4, & \sqrt{2}\bar{q} &= x_3 + ix_4, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and by choosing a certain gauge, the  $R$  gauge, any solution of Eq. (2) can be brought to the following form [ $A_\mu \equiv (\sigma^a/2i)A_\mu^a$ ]:

$$A_u = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\varphi_u}{2\varphi} & 0 \\ \frac{\rho_u}{\varphi} & \frac{\bar{\rho}_u}{2\varphi} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_{\bar{u}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\varphi_{\bar{u}}}{2\varphi} & -\frac{\bar{\rho}_{\bar{u}}}{\varphi} \\ 0 & -\frac{\varphi_{\bar{u}}}{2\varphi} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

where  $u = p, q$  and  $\varphi, \rho, \bar{\rho}$  satisfy the following coupled equations:

$$(\partial_p \partial_{\bar{p}} + \partial_q \partial_{\bar{q}}) \ln \varphi + \varphi^{-2} (\rho_p \bar{\rho}_{\bar{p}} + \rho_q \bar{\rho}_{\bar{q}}) = 0, \quad (5a)$$

$$(\varphi^{-2} \rho_p)_{\bar{p}} + (\varphi^{-2} \rho_q)_{\bar{q}} = 0, \quad (5b)$$

$$(\varphi^{-2} \bar{\rho}_{\bar{p}})_p + (\varphi^{-2} \bar{\rho}_{\bar{q}})_q = 0.$$

On the other hand, Ward,<sup>8</sup> using techniques of algebraic geometry and twistor theory, showed that all information of self-dual gauge fields can be "coded" into the structure of complex analytic vector bundles that are specified by a transition matrix  $G$ . In general there is no known procedure for explicitly extracting  $A_\mu$  from  $G$ . However, Atiyah and Ward<sup>9</sup> argued that if the transition matrix  $G$  is of the following form:

$$\tilde{G}^{(n)}(\omega_1, \omega_2, \xi) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi^n \tilde{\Omega}^{(n)}(\omega_1, \omega_2) & \\ 0 & \xi^{-n} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

where  $\sqrt{2}\omega_1 = (\bar{q} - p\xi)$ ,  $\sqrt{2}\omega_2 = -(q + \bar{p}\xi^{-1})$  and  $\xi$  is a complex parameter, then one can systematically find  $A_\mu$ . Corrigan *et al.*,<sup>10</sup> working in Yang's  $R$  gauge, started from Eq. (6) and found the following solutions of Eq. (5) for any  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} {}_n\tilde{\varphi} &= \frac{\tilde{H}_{k-1}^{n \times n}}{\tilde{H}_{k-1}^{n-1 \times n-1}}, & {}_n\tilde{\rho} &= (-1)^n \frac{\tilde{H}_{k-1}^{n \times n}}{\tilde{H}_{k-1}^{n-1 \times n-1}}, \\ {}_n\tilde{\bar{\rho}} &= (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\tilde{H}_{k-1}^{n \times n}}{\tilde{H}_{k-1}^{n-1 \times n-1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (7a)$$

where

$$\tilde{H}_{k-1+m}^{j \times j} = \begin{vmatrix} \tilde{\Delta}_m & \cdots & \tilde{\Delta}_{m-j+1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \tilde{\Delta}_{m+j-1} & \cdots & \tilde{\Delta}_m \end{vmatrix}, \quad (7b)$$

$$\tilde{\Delta}_i = (1/2\pi i) \oint \tilde{\Omega}^{(n)}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \xi^i (d\xi/\xi), \quad (7c)$$

so that

$$\partial_p \tilde{\Delta}_i = -\partial_{\bar{q}} \tilde{\Delta}_{i+1} \partial_q \tilde{\Delta}_i = \partial_{\bar{p}} \tilde{\Delta}_{i+1}. \quad (7d)$$

It remains to determine which of the above solutions indeed give static, real, and regular gauge fields.

Ward<sup>8</sup> has given precise and sufficient conditions which the transition matrix  $\tilde{G}^{(n)}$  must satisfy in order for the gauge potentials  $A_\mu^a$  to be static and in some gauge real. We have constructed a class of transition matrices which fulfill Ward's requirements that they be static and real. In our construction

$$\tilde{\Omega}^{(n)}(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \exp(\omega_1 + \omega_2) \left[ \frac{e^{\omega_1 + (-1)^n e^{-\omega_2}}}{2P_n(\omega)} \right], \quad (8)$$

where  $\omega = \omega_1 - \omega_2$  and  $P_n(\omega)$  is a polynomial of the  $n$ th order in  $\omega$  with real coefficients:  $P_n^*(\omega) = P_n(\omega^*)$ .

It remains to verify that the gauge fields are regular. For this purpose we need the following formula for the square of the Higgs field<sup>5</sup>:

$$h^2 = A_4^a A_a^a = 1 - \nabla^2 \ln \tilde{H}_{k-1}^{n \times n}. \quad (9)$$

Since  $h^2$  is gauge invariant a necessary condition for the gauge field to be regular is that  $\tilde{H}_{k-1}^{n \times n} \neq 0$  for all  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ . Now the class of solutions generated from the transition matrix defined by Eq. (8) can be shown to be axially symmetric and moreover mirror symmetric<sup>11</sup> which implies that  $\tilde{H}_{k-1}^{n \times n}$  can be identified as the "superpotential" in terms of which all gauge invariant quantities can be expressed. Thus in order to have regular gauge fields it is both necessary and sufficient that  $\tilde{H}_{k-1}^{n \times n} \neq 0$ .

We have found that to insure  $\tilde{H}_{k-1}^{n \times n} \neq 0$  on and around the  $x_3$  axis and the  $x_3 = 0$  plane we must assume that  $\tilde{\Omega}^{(n)}$  is generated by the following

“splitting rule”:

$$\tilde{\Omega}^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, \xi) = \tilde{\Omega}^{(n-1)}(x_1, x_2, x_3 - \frac{1}{2}i\pi, \xi) + \tilde{\Omega}^{(n-1)}(x_1, x_2, x_3 + \frac{1}{2}i\pi, \xi), \tag{10}$$

so that starting from the one-monopole solution, as given by Ward<sup>3</sup>,

$$\tilde{\Omega}^{(1)}(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \exp(\omega_1 + \omega_2)(\sinh\omega/\omega), \tag{11}$$

we are led to

$$P_n(\omega) = [(n-1)! \pi^{n-1}]^{-1} \prod_{k=1}^n (\omega - Z_k), \tag{12}$$

where  $Z_k = i\pi[(\frac{1}{2}n+1) - k]$ . We then find, using Eqs. (7c) and (12)

$$\tilde{\Delta}_l = \exp(ix_4 - il\theta)(-1)^{\frac{l}{2}} \int_{-1}^1 dt \exp(-tx_3)(2 \cos \frac{1}{2}\pi t)^{n-1} \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t}\right)^{l/2} I_l[s(1-t^2)^{1/2}], \tag{13}$$

where  $s^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2$ ,  $\tan\theta = x_2/x_1$  and  $I_l$  is the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order  $l$ .

The integral in Eq. (13) can now be evaluated by explicitly expanding  $I_l$  in its power series and it requires some algebra to show that for  $0 \leq l < n$

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\pm l} = (-1)^l \exp(ix_4)(x_1 \pm ix_2)^{-l} (1 \mp \partial_3)^l \tilde{\Delta}_l, \tag{14a}$$

$$\tilde{\Delta}_l = (\frac{1}{2}\pi)^{l/2} \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k r_k^{l-1/2} I_{l/2-l}(r_k), \quad \alpha_k = [(n-1)!/(k-1)!(n-k)!], \tag{14b}$$

and  $r_k^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + (x_3 - z_k)^2$ . Using the generating formula for modified spherical Bessel functions  $I_{l/2-l}$  one can prove by induction the following explicit formulas in terms of elementary functions:

$$\tilde{\Delta}_l = \Delta_l, \quad |l| < n; \quad \tilde{\Delta}_{\pm n} = \Delta_{\pm n} + (x_1 \pm ix_2)^{-n} [(2\pi)^{n-1}(n-1)!] \exp(ix_4 \mp x_3) \tag{15a}$$

$$\Delta_l = \exp(ix_4) \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \left\{ \left(\frac{x_3 - z_k - r_k}{\sqrt{2\rho}}\right)^l \frac{\exp(r_k)}{2r_k} - \left(\frac{x_3 - z_k + r_k}{\sqrt{2\rho}}\right)^l \frac{\exp(-r_k)}{2r_k} \right\}. \tag{15b}$$

We can use Eq. (15) to compute  $\tilde{H}_{k-l}^{n \times n}$  in the region when the exponentially damped corrections  $O[\exp(-2r_k)]$  can be dropped. To do this we replace  $\tilde{\Delta}_l$  by  $\tilde{\Delta}_l^A$  where

$$\tilde{\Delta}_l^A = \exp(ix_4) \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \left(\frac{x_3 - z_k - r_k}{\sqrt{2\rho}}\right)^l \frac{\exp(r_k)}{2r_k} \quad (-n < l < n) \tag{16}$$

in which case the determinants  $\tilde{H}_{k-l}^{n \times n}$  (with  $\tilde{\Delta}_l \rightarrow \tilde{\Delta}_l^A$ ) greatly simplify and can be reduced to the evaluation of Vandemonde determinants and we find

$$\tilde{H}_{k-l}^{n \times n}(\tilde{\Delta}_l \rightarrow \tilde{\Delta}_l^A) = \exp(inx_4) \prod_{j=1}^n \left[ \alpha_j \frac{\exp(r_j)}{2r_j} \right] \prod_{i < j} \left[ 1 - \frac{x_3 - z_i - r_i}{x_3 - z_j - r_j} \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{x_3 - z_j - r_j}{x_3 - z_i - r_i} \right]. \tag{17}$$

From Eqs. (17) and (9) it follows that

$$h = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{r_k} + O[\exp(-2r_k)]. \tag{18}$$

In Refs. 5, 6, and 11 it is shown how to explicitly find a complex gauge transformation that turns all the fields into real fields. The resulting solutions correspond to  $n$  magnetic charges superimposed at the origin and turn out to be axisymmetric and mirror symmetric.<sup>11</sup> Solutions to the Ernst equations of general relativity are also generated in a straightforward way.<sup>11</sup> As a mathematical by-product of our results, we can also prove the formal equivalence of the  $\tilde{H}_{k-l}^{n \times n}$  determinant to the partition function of a special class of  $U(n)$  invariant lattice QCD<sub>2</sub> theories with fer-

mions.

Finally, we would like to mention that because of Eq. (9),  $h$  in general will not be a rational function. On the  $x_3$  axis and on the  $x_3 = 0$  plane, however,  $h$  does become rational and it turns out to be

$$h(x_1 = x_2 = 0) = \left| (\tanh x_3)^{(-1)^n} - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{x_3 - z_k} \right|, \tag{19a}$$

$$h(x_3 = 0) = \left| \frac{(1 - \partial_3)_{n\rho}}{n\varphi} \right|_{x_3=0} = \left| \frac{(1 + \partial_3)_{n\bar{\rho}}}{n\varphi} \right|_{x_3=0}. \tag{19b}$$

This work is supported in part through funds provided by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. NSF 7807450-PHY and by the U. S.

Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-76ER03069.

---

<sup>(a)</sup>On leave of absence from the Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, Italy.

<sup>1</sup>G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B79, 276 (1974); A. M. Polyakov, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 20, 430 (1974) [JETP Lett. 20, 194 (1974)].

<sup>2</sup>M. K. Prasad and C. M. Sommerfield, Phys. Rev. Lett. 35, 760 (1975).

<sup>3</sup>R. S. Ward, "A Yang-Mills-Higgs Monopole Solution of Charge 2" (to be published).

<sup>4</sup>M. K. Prasad and P. Rossi, Massachusetts Institute of Technology Center for Theoretical Physics Report No. MIT-CTP-903, 1980 (unpublished).

<sup>5</sup>M. K. Prasad, "Exact Yang-Mills-Higgs Monopole Solutions of Arbitrary Topological Charge" (to be published).

<sup>6</sup>M. K. Prasad, A. Sinha, and L. L. Chau Wang, Phys. Rev. D (to be published).

<sup>7</sup>C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 1377 (1977).

<sup>8</sup>R. S. Ward, Phys. Lett. 61A, 81 (1977).

<sup>9</sup>M. F. Atiyah and R. S. Ward, Commun. Math. Phys. 55, 117 (1977).

<sup>10</sup>E. F. Corrigan, D. B. Fairlie, R. G. Yates, and P. Goddard, Commun. Math. Phys. 58, 223 (1978).

<sup>11</sup>M. K. Prasad and P. Rossi, Phys. Rev. D (to be published).