$0^+ \rightarrow 0^ \beta^+$ Decay of ¹⁸Ne and the Determination of F_{π}

E. G. Adelberger, C. D. Hoyle, H. E. Swanson, and R. D. Von Lintig Physics Department, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195 (Received 24 November 1980)

The β^+ branch of ¹⁸Ne to the 1081-keV $J^{\pi} = 0^{\dagger}$, $I = 0$ level of ¹⁸F is observed to have an intensity of $(1.71 \pm 0.41) \times 10^{-4}$ times that of the superallowed Fermi transition to the 1042keV $J^{\pi} = 0^+$, $I = 1$ level. This result plus the previously measured upper limit on parity mixing in the 0^+ , 0^- doublet of ¹⁸F yields an upper limit of $\pm (0.5 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-6}$ for F_π . This limit is largely independent of details of nuclear structure.

PACS numbers: 23.40.-s, 23.40.Hc, 27.20.+n

It has been recognized for several years that pion exchange should play a large and calculable role in A_0 , the time component of the nuclear axial current.^{1,2} The effects will be especiall large in 0^+ - $0^ \beta$ decays where the one-body (impulse) contributions to A_0 are suppressed, being proportional to $\bar{\sigma} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{v}}/c$ and $\bar{\sigma} \cdot \hat{r}k$. Both v (the nucleon velocity) and k (the momentum transferred to the leptons) are small in β decay. On the other hand, the π -exchange contribution to A_0 is not similarly suppressed since the π carries off the same quantum numbers $(\Delta J^{\pi} = 0^{-}; \Delta I = 1)$ that A_0 brings in. Unfortunately, very little is known experimentally about $0^+ \rightleftharpoons 0^ \beta$ decay. The β decay³ of $^{16}N^m$ is the only example in a light, mell-understood nucleus.

In this Letter we describe a measurement of the decay rate of 18 Ne ($J^{\pi} = 0^{+}$, $I = 1$) to the 1081keV $(J^{\pi} = 0^{-}, I = 0)$ level of ¹⁸F. This decay is particularly interesting for the following reasons:

(1) It is one of the rare cases of a $0^+ \rightarrow 0^-$ tran-

$$
M_{\beta^{\pm}}^{(2)} = \mp i \left(\frac{m_{\pi}}{M_N} \right)^2 \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \frac{1}{2F_A} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \left[\tau_i \otimes \tau_j \right]_{1, \pm 1} (\bar{\sigma}_i + \bar{\sigma}_j) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{r}}_{ij} \varphi_{\pi}(r_{ij}),
$$

while the π^* -exchange parity-mixing operator is

$$
H_{\text{PNC}} = iF_{\pi} \left(\frac{m_{\pi}^{2}}{M_{N}} \right) \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \atop j \atop j \atop k \neq j} \left[\tau_{i} \otimes \tau_{j} \right]_{1,0} (\bar{\sigma}_{i} + \bar{\sigma}_{j}) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{r}}_{i,j} \varphi_{\pi}(r_{ij})
$$

(see Ref. 4). In these expressions,

$$
\varphi_{\pi}(r_{ij}) = [\exp(-m_{\pi}r_{ij})/m_{\pi}r_{ij}](1 + 1/m_{\pi}r_{ij}),
$$

g is the strong πNN coupling constant and F_A $=-1.23.$

 F_{π} (the strength of the PNC π -exchange NN interaction) is poorly determined by existing data and analyses. The measured' circular polarization of the 1081-keV γ ray, $P_{\gamma} = (-0.7 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-3}$, yields a value $|\langle 0^{-} | H_{PNC} | 0^{+} \rangle| = 0.13 \pm 0.36$ eV. $|F_\pi|$ has been inferred from this measured matrix element by relying on shell-model calculations of the nuclear wave functions. However, to the extent that $\alpha = M g^{(2)}/M g^{(1)}$ (the ratio of the

sition in a theoretically tractable nuclear system. Shell-model wave functions (see Haxton') predict that pion-exchange currents increase the β -decay rate by a factor of approximately 2.5 compared with the impulse current alone.

(2) To the extent that exchange currents are important, knowledge of this β^* -decay rate removes most of the nuclear structure uncertainties in extracting F_{π} , the weak parity-nonconserving (PNC) πNN vertex.

The π^* -exchange component of the PNC NN force is pure $\Delta l=1$. The most sensitive existing measurement of this force' examined parity mixing of the 1042-keV $(J^{\pi} = 0^{+}, I = 1)$ and 1081-keV levels of ^{18}F . The $0^+ \rightarrow 0^-$ decay of ^{18}Ne connects these same levels (assuming isospin symmetry). Moreover the π -exchange contribution to the β^* decay rate and the π -exchange contribution to the parity mixing have *identical* dependence on the partly mixing nave *the mittal* dependence on the part of β^* -decay -ex
den:
^{4,6} exchange-current operator is

two-body to the one-body β -decay matrix elements) is known, $|F_\pi|$ can be obtained from P_γ and the β -decay rate ω in a way which is otherwise *completely independent* of the nuclear wave functions, i.e., $F_{\pi} = K[(\alpha+1)/\alpha] P_{\gamma}\sqrt{\omega}$, where K is a constant containing kinematic factors and known quantities such as F_A and g. We return to this point below.

We produced 18 Ne activity by bombarding natural O_2 gas with 12-MeV ³He ions. The irradiated gas was transferred from the bombardment cell to a Lucite chamber placed in a heavily shielded counting station. β -delayed γ rays were

I

FIG. 1. Spectrum of γ rays following ¹⁸Ne β ⁺ decays. This is a sum of data taken with two different detectors in order to improve statistics.

counted for 1.70 sec, beginning 0.10 sec, after each bombardment ended. γ rays were detected in Ge(Li) detectors equipped with pileup-rejection circuitry. The γ -ray spectrum was "hardened" by 1.27-cm-thick Pb absorbers placed in front of the detector. Qur gas-transfer system, which operated on a 2.00-sec cycle, is similar to those described in Refs. 6 and 7. Contaminant activities, principally ^{18}F , ^{17}F , and ^{15}O produced by the reactions $^{16}O(^{3}He, p)$, $^{16}O(^{3}He, d)$, and $^{16}O(^{3}He,$ α), respectively, were largely removed by three liquid-nitrogen traps, one of which was filled with a molecular sieve material. By multiscaling the γ rays we determined that the dominant background activity was 18 F produced by 18 Ne decays within the counting chamber. In addition, a small amount of ^{15}O was transmitted through the traps.

A composite spectrum of γ rays taken with two different detectors is shown in Fig. 1. Previously observed γ rays of 511, 659, 1042, and 1700 keV are prominent. Low-intensity peaks (see Fig. 2, also), present in data taken with each detector separately, are observed at 1080.6 ± 0.3 , 1357, and 1461 keV. Sliding pulser spectra ruled out any possibility that the 1081-keV peak was due to differential nonlinearity in the analogto-digital converter. We ascribe the 1357-keV peak to decays of "Q produced in the reaction $^{18}O(^{3}He, 2p)$. In a subsidiary measurement with the gas-transfer system operating on an 80-sec

cycle, we determined that the 1357-keV peak had a decay half-life of approximately 26 sec, in good agreement with the ^{19}O value of 26.8 sec. The 1461-keV peak arises from the 40 K background in the room. We conclude that the 1081-keV peak is from 18 Ne decay since its measured energy is in

FIG. 2. Partial spectrum showing the 1042- and 1081 keV lines. The smooth curves are Gaussian peaks fitted to the data. The width of the 1081 -keV peak was constrained to be identical to that of the 1042-keV line.

excellent agreement with the accepted value of 1080.5 \pm 0.1 keV for the corresponding ¹⁸F transition.

The relative efficiencies of our Ge(Li) detectors plus "hardeners" were measured with use of known decays⁸ of 56 Co and 207 Bi sources. Relative intensities of the 659-, 1042-, 1081-, and 1700 keV γ rays are 1.75 ± 0.05, 100.0, (1.92 ± 0.32) $\times 10^{-2}$, and 0.680 ± 0.011 , respectively. These intensities (except for the previously unobserved 1081-keV γ ray) are in good agreement with the $1001 - \text{keV}$ γ ray) are in good agreement with the lower-statistics results of Hardy *et al.*⁹ and of Nower-statistics results of Hardy *et al.*⁹ and
Yoshizawa *et al.*¹⁰ In addition, our value R $=\Gamma(1700-\text{keV level} \div g.s.)/\Gamma(1700-\text{keV level} \div \text{all})$ $= 0.280 \pm 0.007$ is in good agreement with the value $= 0.280 \pm 0.007$ is in good agreement with the val $R = 0.298 \pm 0.013$ presented by Rolfs *et al.*¹¹ The weak 1081-keV γ ray cannot be due to deexcitation of the 1700-keV level via the 1081-keV level since¹¹ $\Gamma(1700-\text{keV level} \rightarrow 1081-\text{keV level})/$ $\Gamma(1700-\text{keV level} \rightarrow \text{all}) < 2 \times 10^{-3}$. Therefore β^+ feeding of the 1700-keV level can account for at most 546 of the observed 2156 ± 355 counts in the 1081-keV peak. We assume that 273 ± 273 of the 2156 counts arise from decays of the 1700-keV level and ascribe the remaining 1883 ± 448 counts to direct feeding of the 1081 -keV level in 18 Ne decay.

The resulting 18 Ne decay branching ratios (normalized to 100 for the superallowed transition to the 1042-keV level) are 100.0, $(1.71 \pm 0.41) \times 10^{-2}$, and 2.47 ± 0.05 for transitions to the 1042-, 1081-, and 1700-keV levels, respectively. Assuming that the superallowed Fermi transition has a partial half-life of 22.00 ± 0.61 sec and an ft value of 2977 ± 87 sec,⁷ we obtain a partial half-life of $(1.29 \pm 0.31) \times 10^5$ sec and $ft = (1.60 \pm 0.39) \times 10^7$ sec for the $0^+ \rightarrow 0^-$ transition. We have computed f, assuming an allowed β - spectrum shape, which is reasonable since the matrix elements of the π exchange and the $\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{v}/c$ one-body currents are both independent of the momentum transferred to the leptons. In what follows we neglect all terms (they are small) which are momentum-transfer dependent. For a more complete discussion including the k dependence, see Ref. 4.

Now we turn to some implications of our result. In the following paper, Haxton⁴ calculates the β^* decay rate expected with use of the wave functions employed in a recent analysis¹² of the PNC circular polarization in 18 F. His predicted rate, ω_{theor} = 7.5×10⁻⁵ sec⁻¹, is appreciably faster than our measured value, $\omega_{\text{expt}} = (5.4 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-6}$ sec⁻¹. Similar disagreement would occur with other calculations¹² of the parity mixing in 18 F.

Reasons for this deficiency are given in Ref. 4, along with a more realistic shell-model calculaalong with a more realistic shell-model calculation, $\omega_{\text{theor}} = 4.8 \times 10^{-6} \text{ sec}^{-1}$, which is in much better agreement with experiment. To what extent should one expect similar problems in the calculation of parity mixing in ^{16}O , ^{19}F , and ^{21}Ne ? Our result indicates that the predictions in these other systems must be reexamined and, wherever possible, subjected to independent experimental tests such as the one which we report here.

Haxton⁴ argues that, although the absolute 18 Ne maxion argues that, armough the absolute γ is sen-
0⁺ \rightarrow 0⁻ β ⁺-decay rate ω _{theor} $\propto |M_{\beta}^{(1)} + M_{\beta}^{(2)}|$ ² is sensitive to the shell-model configurations of the nuclear states, the ratio $\alpha = M_8^{(2)}/M_8^{(1)} \approx +0.67$ is not. If so, we can deduce $M_{\beta}^{(2)}$ from ω_{expt} . The expressions for H_{PNC} and $M_B^{(2)}$ given above yield

$$
F_{\pi} = \frac{\langle 0^{-} | H_{\text{PNC}} | 0^{+} \rangle}{M_N} \frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha} \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \frac{\pi}{2F_A} \left[\frac{(ft)_0 + 0^{-}}{(ft)_0 + 0^{-}} \right]^{1/2}.
$$

Inserting the values for $|\langle 0^{\dagger} | H_{\text{PNC}} | 0^{\dagger} \rangle|$ and $(rt)_{0^+}$ obtained from Ref. 5 and this work, respectively, and assuming that $\alpha \approx 0.67$, we find that $\pm F_{\pi} = (0.5 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-6}$. This is quite consistent with the "best value," $F_{\pi} = 1.08 \times 10^{-6}$, deduced from an analysis¹³ of hyperon decays with use of the Weinberg-Salam model of the weak interaction, and $[SU(6)]_{w}$ and the quark model of hadron structure. If we "correct" the prediction 12 for P_{γ} (1081) by the factor $(\omega_{\rm exp t}/\omega_{\rm theor})^{1/2}$, we obtoir r_{γ} (1001) by the factor (ω_{expt} , ω_{theor}) , we obtain $|P_{\gamma}$ theor(1081) = 1.6×10⁻³. Therefore a modest improvement in the experimental error of $P_{\gamma}(1081)$ may produce a detectable effect and permit us to measure F_{π} in a manner remarkably free of uncertainties due to nuclear structure.

We thank C. L. Bennett and M. M. Lowry for pointing out the similarity between H_{PNC} and $M_6^{(2)}$. We are grateful to W. C. Haxton for discussions of the physics and for his calculations of the decay rate. This work was supported in part by the U. S. Department of Energy.

 1 K. Kubodera, J. Delorme, and M. Rho, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40, 755 (1978).

 ${}^{2}P.$ A. M. Guichon, M. Giffon, and C. Samour, Phys. Lett. 748, 15 (1978).

 3 L. Palffy, J. P. Deutsch, L. Grenacs, J. Lehmann, and M. Steels, Phys. Rev. Lett. 34, 212 (1975).

 $4W$. C. Haxton, following Letter [Phys. Rev. Lett. 46, 698 (1981)]. The F_{π} used in his paper, in our present work, and in W. C. Haxton, B. F. Gibson, and E. M. Henley, Phys. Rev. Lett. 45, 1677 (1980), is related

to the f_{π} of C. A. Barnes, M. M. Lowry, J. M. Davidson, B. E. Marrs, F. B. Morinigo, B. Chang, E. G. Adelberger, and H. E. Swanson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40, ⁸⁴⁰ (1978), and of M. Gari, J.B. McGrory, and R. Offerman, Phys. Lett. 55B, 277 (1975), and B.A. Brown, W. A. Richter, and N. S. Godwin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 45, 1681 (1980), by $F_{\pi} = gf_{\pi}/(4\sqrt{2})$.

 5 See Barnes et al., Ref. 4.

 6 M. M. Lowry, C. L. Bennett, and K. Krien, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 25, 486 (1980).

 7 J. E. Esterl, R. G. Sextro, J. C. Hardy, G. J. Ehrhardt, and J. Cerny, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 97, ²²⁹

(1971).

- ${}^{8}Y.$ Yoshizawa et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods 174, 109 (1980).
- 9 J. C. Hardy, H. Schmeing, J. S. Geiger, and R. L. Graham, Nucl. Phys. A246, 61 (1975).

 10 S. Gorodetzky, E. Aslanides, A. Gallman, and

G. Friek, Nucl. Phys. A109, 417 (1968). $¹¹C$. Rolfs, H. P. Trautvetter, R. E. Azuma, and A. E.</sup>

Litherland, Nucl. Phys. $\underline{A199}$, 289 (1973).

 12 See Haxton, Gibson, and Henley, Ref. 4.

 13 B. Desplanques, J. F. Donoghue, and B. R. Holstein, Ann. Phys. (Paris) 124, 449 (1980).

Parity Nonconservation in ¹⁸F and Meson-Exchange Contributions to the Axial Charge Operator

W. C. Haxton

Department of Physics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907,^(a) and Theory Division
Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545 Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545 (Received 1 December 1980)

A simple relationship between the one-body and exchange-current contributions to the axial charge operator may allow a separation of these amplitudes in the β -decay transition 18 Ne($J^{\pi}I = 0^+1$) \rightarrow 18 F($J^{\pi}I = 0^-0$). Since the exchange-current operator is related by isospin rotation to the pion-exchange component of the parity-nonconserving nucleon-nucleon. potential, this suggests that the parity-nonconserving pion coupling can be extracted, with minimal dependence on nuclear models, from circular-polarization measurements for the analog γ decay in 18 F.

PACS numbers: 23.40.Bw, 23.40.-s, 21.30.+y, 27.20.+n

Strong arguments have been made for the importance, in the study of exchange currents in nuclei, of transitions between $J^{\pi} = 0^{+}$ and 0 states. First, because of the weakness of "background" terms involving isobars and heavy mesons, softpion theorems provide a model-independent description of the leading exchange-current contribution to the axial charge operator.¹ Second, the range of the resulting pionic currents is sufficient so that conventional nuclear physics treatments, with the effects of short-range correlations included perturbatively, should be adequate. And third, since the single-nucleon contribution to the axial charge operator enters only in order (\vec{p}/M) , the exchange-current influence on observed 0^+
 $\rightarrow 0^ \beta$ decay and muon-capture rates can be unusually large.

In this Letter, I consider the β decay of the $J^{\pi}T$ $=0$ ⁺1 ground state of ¹⁸Ne leading to the 0⁻0 1.08-MeV state in 18 F. A great deal of effort has been expended in searching for this weak-decay branch,² culminating in the measurement of Adelberger et al. reported in the preceding Letter.³ The reason for this strong interest is that the dominant

amplitude responsible for the parity-nonconserving (PNC) mixing of the 0^o and analog $0⁺1$ (1.04-MeV) levels in 18 F is related to the exchange-current contribution to the β -decay operator by isospin rotation. Thus, on the simplest level, this β -decay branch provides an important test of nuclear wave functions used in estimating PNC effects in 18 F. Wave functions used in recent calculations $4,5$ fail this test for reasons that will be clarified in this Letter. More importantly, a simple relationship depending only on gross features of the nucleus is shown to exist between the strengths of the leading term in the one-body β decay amplitude and the exchange current. The reported measurement³ thus provides a direct estimate of the PNC potential matrix element. A constraint on the PNC pion coupling independent of nuclear models then follows from the current upper limit on the γ -ray circular polarization in 18 F.

The differential rate for a nucleus to β -decay via a $0^+ \rightarrow 0^-$ transition is determined by multipole matrix elements of the axial charge and longitudinal current operators.⁶ These multipole

698 1981 The American Physical Society