Italy, and Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, I-56100, Pisa, Italy.

^(b)Also at Fermilab, Batavia, Ill. 60510.

¹C. Quigg and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rep. <u>56</u>, 169 (1979).

²Ch. Berger et al., Phys. Lett. 76B, 243 (1978);

C. W. Darden et al., Phys. Lett. 76B, 246 (1978); J. K.

Bienlein et al., Phys. Lett. 78B, 360 (1978); C. W.

Darden et al., Phys. Lett. 78B, 364 (1978).

³D. Andrews et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>44</u>, 1108 (1980).

⁴T. Böhringer et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>44</u>, 1111 (1980).

⁵S. W. Herb *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 39, 252 (1977);

W. R. Innes et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 39, 1240, 1640(E)

(1977); K. Ueno *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>42</u>, 486 (1979). ⁶E. Eichten *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D <u>17</u>, 3090 (1978), and 21 203 (1980)

21, 203 (1980). ⁷An example is the $\psi(3770)$. See P. A. Rapidis *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 39, 526 (1977).

⁸Ch. Berger *et al.*, Phys. Lett. <u>78B</u>, 176 (1978), and <u>82B</u>, 449 (1979); F. H. Heimlich *et al.*, Phys. Lett. <u>86B</u>, 399 (1979).

⁹G. Bhanot and S. Rudaz, Phys. Lett. <u>78B</u>, 119 (1978). ¹⁰D. Andrews *et al.*, preceding Letter [Phys. Rev. Lett. 45, 219 (1980)].

CP Nonconservation without Elementary Scalar Fields

Estia Eichten, Kenneth Lane,^(a) and John Preskill

Lyman Laboratory of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138 (Received 10 April 1980)

Dynamically broken gauge theories of electroweak interactions provide a natural mechanism for generating CP nonconservation. Even if all vacuum angles are unobservable, strong CP nonconservation is not automatically avoided. In the absence of strong CP nonconservation, the neutron electric dipole moment is expected to be of order $10^{-24} e \cdot cm$.

PACS numbers: 11.30.Er, 11.10.Np, 12.20.Hx

In this Letter, we show that there is a natural mechanism for generating CP nonconservation in dynamically broken electroweak gauge theories. Our proposal requires no new gauge interactions beyond those discussed previously.¹⁻⁴ Spontaneous CP nonconservation can appear when we carry out Dashen's⁵ procedure to identify the correct chiral vacuum. Whether CP nonconservation occurs is determined in principal by *only* the gauge group and the fermion representation content of the theory.

These theories have no elementary scalar fields and no fermion bare masses. Even though there are no observable vacuum angles,⁶ strong *CP* nonconservation⁷ is not automatically avoided.⁸ We state a criterion for the absence of strong *CP* nonconservation; if it is satisfied, *CP*nonconserving phases in the quark mass matrix are naturally suppressed by a factor of order 10^{-9} . Additional *CP* nonconservation appears in the electroweak interaction and in the gauge interaction responsible for chiral symmetry breaking. We predict that the electric dipole moment of the neutron is of order $10^{-24} e \cdot \text{cm}$.

In a theory of weak interactions without elementary scalar fields, a new gauge interaction^{1,2} ("hypercolor," with gauge group G_H) is required, in addition to the familiar color $[G_C = SU(3)]$ and electroweak $[G_W = SU(2) \otimes U(1)]$ interactions. Hypercolor becomes a strong interaction at mass scale $m_H \simeq 1$ TeV, and drives the breaking of G_W down to U(1)_{EM}.

A theory with gauge group $G_H \otimes G_C \otimes G_W$ alone cannot be realistic. A "sideways" interaction^{3,4} (with group G_S) is needed to break explicitly all chiral symmetries not gauged by G_W . G_S is dynamically broken to a subgroup containing $G_H \otimes G_C$ at a mass scale $m_S \simeq 100$ TeV. (We need not speculate here on the origin of the G_S breakdown.)^{4,9,10}

All fermions are in at most four irreducible representations of G_s .³ In the effective gauge theory which describes physics below 100 TeV, each of these representations transforms reducibly under $G_H \otimes G_C$. If we neglect the broken sideways interactions and the weak interactions, the $G_H \otimes G_C$ -invariant effective Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_0 respects a global (chiral) flavor-symmetry group G_f . G_W is a subgroup of G_f .

When hypercolor and color become strong, G_f is dynamically broken to a subgroup S_f . Many Goldstone bosons result. Three of these are absorbed by the weak W^{\pm} and Z^0 bosons.^{1,2} The remaining Goldstone bosons acquire mass from the chiral-symmetry-breaking perturbation \mathcal{H}^r generated by the weak and sideways interactions.

The ground state of \mathcal{K}_0 is highly degenerate; the vacua are parametrized by the coset space G_f/S_f . The perturbation \mathcal{K}' lifts the degeneracy and picks out the true chiral-perturbative vacuum, the limit of the ground state of $\mathcal{H}_0 + \epsilon \mathcal{K}'$ as $\epsilon \to 0$. As Dashen⁵ explained, we can identify the correct vacuum by minimizing an effective potential which, in lowest-order perturbation theory, is

$$V(g) = \langle \Omega | U^{-1}(g) \mathcal{K}' U(g) | \Omega \rangle.$$
(1)

Here $g \in G_f$, U(g) represents G_f in the Hilbert space of states, and $|\Omega\rangle$ is the S_f -invariant vacuum. In the calculations described below, it is convenient to regard the symmetry group of the vacuum as fixed. Then, from among all G_f -equivalent perturbation $\mathcal{K}'(g) = U^{-1}(g)\mathcal{K}'U(g)$, we choose that one which minimizes the energy of the S_f -invariant vacuum $|\Omega\rangle$.

Now we see how spontaneous CP nonconservation can arise naturally. We assume that the fermion representation content under G_s vacuum angles⁶ can be simultaneously rotated to zero, and hence are unobservable. In particular, G_s might be simple.¹¹ We further assume that the breaking of G_S does not introduce CP nonconservation, so that the effective gauge theory below 100 TeV is CP invariant.^{12, 13} Thus the vacuum $|\Omega\rangle$ and the perturbation \mathcal{K}' are *CP* invariant, and the effective potential is CP symmetric. However, the energy might be minimized by a CPnonconserving $\mathcal{K}'(g)$; then V(g) has a degenerate minimum, and CP is spontaneously broken.¹⁴ The minimum of V(g), and whether spontaneous CP nonconservation occurs, are determined once the pattern of G_s and G_f breaking is known.

The approximate flavor group G_f is determined by the $G_H \otimes G_C$ representation content of the fermions. We will assume that all nontrivial representations of $G_H \otimes G_C$ are complex, that the color and hypercolor interactions are vectorial, and that the maximal isospin is left unbroken when hypercolor and color get strong. [These assumptions ensure that G_W breaks down to U(1)_{EM}, and that the relation $M_W/M_Z = \cos\theta_W$ is satisfied.²] Then the fermions may be denoted $\psi_{Lri}^{(\rho)}$, $\psi_{Rri}^{(\rho)}$. The index ρ identifies the irreducible representation $\mathfrak{T}^{(\rho)}$ according to which $\psi^{(\rho)}$ transforms under $G_H \otimes G_C$. The gauge group $G_H \otimes G_C$ acts on the index *i*. The index r ($r = 1, 2, ..., n_{o}$) labels the various flavors of fermions which transform as the representation $\mathfrak{T}^{(\rho)}$.

The flavor group is

$$G_{f} = \prod_{\rho} [\operatorname{SU}(n_{\rho}) \otimes \operatorname{SU}(n_{\rho}) \otimes \operatorname{U}_{V}^{\rho}(1)] \otimes \operatorname{U}_{A}(1)'s, (2)$$

which breaks down to $S_f = \prod_{\rho} [SU(n_{\rho}) \otimes U_V^{\rho}(1)].$

Under G_f , the fermions transform as

$$\begin{split} \psi_{Lr}^{(\rho)} &\to W_{r'r}^{L(\rho)} \psi_{Lr'}^{(\rho)}, \\ \psi_{Rr}^{(\rho)} &\to W_{r'r}^{R(\rho)} \psi_{Rr'}^{(\rho)}, \end{split}$$
(3)

where $W^{L(\rho)}$ and $W^{R(\rho)}$ are unitary $n_{\rho} \times n_{\rho}$ matrices. If S_f is the diagonal subgroup with $W^L = W^R$, then the elements of G_f/S_f can be labeled by a set of unitary matrices $\{W^{(\rho)} = W^{L(\rho)\dagger}W^{R(\rho)}\}$.

Each representation $\mathfrak{T}^{(\rho)}$ of $G_H \otimes G_C$ has a hypercolor and color anomaly given by

$$\partial^{\mu} J_{5\mu}{}^{(\rho)} = T_{\rho}{}^{H} (g_{H}{}^{2} / 8\pi^{2}) \operatorname{tr} F_{H} \tilde{F}_{H} + T_{\rho}{}^{C} (g_{C}{}^{2} / 8\pi^{2}) \operatorname{tr} F_{C} \tilde{F}_{C}, \qquad (4)$$

where $T_{\rho}^{\ \mu}$ ($T_{\rho}^{\ c}$) is the trace of the square of the hypercolor (color) generators in the representation $\mathfrak{T}^{(\rho)}$. (We have assumed that G_H is simple.) Only those linear combinations of the $J_{5\mu}^{\ (\rho)}$'s with vanishing hypercolor and color anomalies generate $U_A(1)$ symmetries which are included in G_f . Thus the $W^{(\rho)}$'s satisfy the constraint

$$\prod_{\rho} [\det W^{(\rho)}]^{T_{\rho}H} = \prod_{\rho} [\det W^{(\rho)}]^{T_{\rho}C} = 1.$$
(5)

The weak interactions play a secondary role in determining the minimum of the effective potential¹⁵: we need consider only the broken sideways interactions. We integrate out the massive sideways gauge bosons to obtain a $G_H \otimes G_C \otimes G_W$ - invar iant effective Lagrangian. The leading G_f -breaking terms in the effective Lagrangian are fourfermion operators. Higher-dimension operators are suppressed by additional powers of m_s^{-1} . We simplify the discussion by assuming that $SU(2)_w$ commutes with G_s , so that there is an exact global U(1) symmetry which distinguishes weak doublets from weak singlets. After Fierz rearrangements, the most general four-fermion operator invariant under $G_H \otimes G_C \otimes G_W$ and the global U(1) which contributes to the effective potential has the form¹⁶

$$\mathcal{K}' = \Gamma_{rr'ss}^{\rho\sigma,a}, \overline{\psi}_{Lri}^{(\rho)} \psi_{Rr'j}^{(\rho)} \overline{\psi}_{Rsk}^{(\sigma)} \psi_{Ls'i}^{(\sigma)} t_{ijkl}^{\rho\sigma,a}.$$
 (6)

Here the $t_{ijkl}^{\rho\sigma,a}$ are $G_H \otimes G_C$ -invariant tensors, indexed by a, and $\Gamma_{rr'ss}^{\rho\sigma,a} = O(m_s^{-2})$ is a G_W -invariant tensor which can be calculated to arbitrary order in the sideways coupling g_s^{-2} . To lowest order in g_s^{-2} , we have $\mathcal{H}' = g_s^{-2}(\mu_s^{-2})_{ab} J_L^{\mu a} J_{R\mu}^{\ b}$, where μ_s^{-2} is the massive sideways gauge boson mass matrix, and the $J_{L(R)}^{\ \mu a}$ are the left- (right-) handed broken sideways currents. This interaction can be Fierz transformed into the form of Eq. (6).

To compute the effective potential, we note that the S_f -invariant vacuum $|\Omega\rangle$ has the property $\langle \Omega | \overline{\psi}_{Lri}{}^{(\rho)} \psi_{Rr'}{}^{(\sigma)} | \Omega \rangle = \delta^{\rho\sigma} \delta_{rr'} \delta_{ij} \Delta^{\rho}$. Therefore S_f invariance implies that

$$\langle \Omega | \overline{\psi}_{Lri}{}^{(\rho)} \psi_{Rr'j}{}^{(\rho)} \overline{\psi}_{Rsk}{}^{(\sigma)} \psi_{Ls'l}{}^{(\sigma)} t^{\rho\sigma,a}_{ijkl} | \Omega \rangle$$

$$= \Delta^{\rho\sigma,a} \delta_{rr'} \delta_{ss'} + \Delta'^{\rho,a} \delta^{\rho\sigma} \delta_{rs'} \delta_{r's}.$$

$$\langle \gamma \rangle$$

The second term on the right-hand side of Eq. (7) is G_f invariant. Hence, the leading term in the effective potential is

$$V(W) = \Delta^{\rho\sigma, a} \Gamma_{rr'ss}^{\rho\sigma, a}, W_{rr'}^{(\rho)} W_{ss'}^{(\sigma)\dagger} + \text{const.}$$
(8)

Hermiticity and *CP* invariance of the effective Hamiltonian require $\Gamma_{rr}^{\rho\sigma}{}_{ss'}^{a} = \Gamma_{sr}^{\sigma\rho}{}_{sr}{}_{r}^{a} = \Gamma_{rr'ss}^{\rho\sigma}{}_{ss'}^{a}$. *CP* invariance of the vacuum $|\Omega\rangle$ implies $\Delta^{\rho\sigma,a}$ $= \Delta^{\rho\sigma,a}$. Therefore, $V(W) = V(W^*)$. In general, we expect that for some range of sideways gaugeboson masses, the minimum of V(W) occurs for *W* complex, $W \neq W^*$. Then the minimum is degenerate, and *CP* is spontaneously broken.

Now we minimize V(W) to determine the correct chiral perturbation $\mathcal{K}'(W)$. V(W) is stationary subject to the constraint in Eq. (5) if¹⁷

$$(M^{(\rho)} - M^{(\rho)\dagger})\Delta^{\rho} = i(\nu_{H}T_{\rho}^{H} + \nu_{C}T_{\rho}^{C})\mathbf{1},$$
(9)

where

$$\begin{split} M_{rr} \, {}^{(\rho)} \Delta^{(\rho)} &= W_{rm}^{(\rho)} \big(\partial V / \partial W_r \, {}^{(\rho)} \big) \\ &= \Delta^{\rho \sigma, \, a} \, \Gamma_{r\, mss}^{\rho \sigma, \, a} \, W_{rm}^{(\rho)} W_{ss} \, {}^{(\rho)\dagger} \, . \end{split}$$

Here ν_H and ν_C are Lagrange multipliers. [Taking the trace of both sides of Eq. (9) and summing on ρ we obtain a relation between ν_H and ν_C . If G_S is simple, then $\nu_H = -\nu_{C^\circ}$]

If we include contributions from higher-dimension operators to V(W), the condition for an extremum of V(W) is still of the form given in Eq. (9), but with a modified matrix $M^{(\rho)}$. For quarks, this matrix $M^{(a)}$ differs from the "current-algebra" quark mass matrix by approximately one part in 10°. This relation holds because the mass $m_C \sim 300$ MeV at which color becomes strong is small compared to the mass $m_H \sim 1$ TeV at which hypercolor becomes strong.

Physics below $m_H \sim 1$ TeV can be described by an effective theory involving only quarks, leptons, gluons, electroweak bosons, and pseudo-Goldstone bosons. This effective Lagrangian is obtained by integrating out hypergluons and hyperfermions, as well as massive sideways bosons. We must also sum up all hard (order m_H) gluon exchanges. A series of G_C -invariant operators is generated. The operators which contribute to the effective potential are $A_{r'r}\bar{q}_{Lr}q_{Rr'}$, $B_{r'r}\bar{q}_{Lr}\sigma_{\mu\nu}$ $\times \lambda^a q_{Rr'}G^{\mu\nu a}$, $C_{rr'ss'}\bar{q}_r q_r, \bar{q}_s q_{s'}$, and higher dimension operators. The coefficients A, B, and C depend on the hyperfermion $W^{(p)}$'s. A is the quark mass matrix; it contributes $2\Delta^{q} \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Tr}(W^{(q)}A)$ to V(W). Relative to this term, the contribution from four-quark operators is suppressed by $(m_{c}/m_{H})^{3} \sim 10^{-10}$. The operator $\overline{q}_{L}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\lambda^{a}q_{R}G^{\mu\nu a}$ is generated by graphs involving one hard-gluon exchange¹⁵; its contribution is suppressed by $[\alpha_{c}(m_{H})/2\pi](m_{c}/m_{H})^{2} \sim 10^{-9}$. Hence, the part of V(W) involving $W^{(a)}$ is $2\Delta^{q} \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Tr} W^{(a)} A[1+O(10^{-9})]$, and therefore $M^{(q)} = W^{(q)}A[1+O(10^{-9})]$.

Equation (9) is solved by several sets of unitary matrices $W = \{W^{(\rho)}\}$. From all solutions, we choose the one (or ones) which minimizes V(W). The W which minimizes the effective potential will satisfy one of three conditions:

(i) $W = W^*$.—In this case, *CP* invariance is not spontaneously broken, and no *CP* nonconservation occurs at all.

(ii) $W \neq W^*$, $\nu_C \neq 0$.—In this case, strong *CP* nonconservation occurs. The effective Hamiltonian contains the *CP*-nonconserving term $\frac{1}{4}(\nu_C/\Delta^q)\overline{q}i\gamma_5 q$; a current-algebra calculation¹⁸ of the neutron electric dipole moment D_n yields $D_n \sim 2 \times 10^{-16}(\nu_C/m_u\Delta^q) \ e \cdot \text{cm}$, where $m_u \sim 5$ MeV is the up quark mass. The natural scale of $\frac{1}{4}\nu_C/\Delta^q$ is of order m_u and so we expect $D_n \simeq 10^{-15} \ e \cdot \text{cm}$, which exceeds the experimental bound^{19,20} by a factor of 10⁹.

(iii) $W \neq W^*$, $\nu_c = 0$.—In this case, *CP* invariance is spontaneously broken, but there is no large contribution to D_n . The coefficients B and C have phases of order 1, but since $M^{(q)}$ is Hermitian, $W^{(q)}A$ is Hermitian up to corrections of order 10⁻⁹. The anti-Hermitian part of the quark mass matrix contributes of order 10⁻²⁴ $e \circ \operatorname{cm}$ to $D_{n^{\circ}}$ A similar contribution comes from the operator $\bar{q}_L \sigma_{\mu\nu} q_R F^{\mu\nu}$, where $F^{\mu\nu}$ is the photon field. Its coefficient is expected to have a phase of order 1 and a magnitude $\left[\alpha_{C}(m_{H})/2\pi\right]$ $\times (m_{\mu}/m_{H}^{2})e^{\simeq}10^{-24} e \cdot \text{cm}^{15,21}$ The Lagrange multiplier ν_c vanishes if the minimum of the effective potential remains a stationary point when we remove the constraint that $U_A(1)$ rotations with a color anomaly are not allowed.

In any given model, the dynamics determines which possibility is realized. Only models of type (iii) can have *CP*-nonconserving interactions consistent with experiment.

In perturbation theory about the true chiral vacuum, the $W^{(\rho)}$'s appear explicitly in the weak and sideways currents. Minimizing the effective potential determines $W^{(\rho)} = W^{L(\rho)\dagger}W^{R(\rho)}$, but does not determine $W^{L(\rho)}$ and $W^{R(\rho)}$ separately. For

quarks, we define $W^{L(q)}$ and $W^{R(q)}$ by demanding that the mass matrix $W^{(q)}A$ be diagonal. The quark matrices $W^{L, R(q)}$ commute with electric charge; there are unitary matrices $W_u^{L, R}$, $W_d^{L, R}$ for up and down quarks. The Kobayashi-Maskawa²² mixing matrix is $W_u^{L\dagger}W_d^L$. If it contains phases which cannot be removed by redefining the phases of the quark fields, then the weak interactions violate *CP* invariance.

Mixing matrices appear in the broken sideways currents also. Typically, phases in these matrices cannot be absorbed by redefining fields, because both left-handed and right-handed fermions transform nontrivially under G_S . Sideways gauge bosons can couple to flavor-changing neutral currents. If the operator $C\overline{s}d\overline{s}d$ occurs in the effective interaction, ImC must be suppressed. If C is comparable to the coefficient of the term which generates the down quark mass,^{10, 23} and $\arg C \approx 1$, this operator induces a CP-nonconserving part of $K^0-\overline{K}^0$ mixing which is larger by 10^4 than what is observed.

The effective Lagrangian below 1 TeV contains pseudo-Goldstone bosons whose couplings to fermions can be CP nonconserving. Exchange of these bosons can generate milliweak CP nonconservation.²⁴

Spontaneous *CP* nonconservation typically does not occur if the sideways interaction is vectorial.¹⁵ But the sideways interaction must be nonvectorial to generate realistic quark masses.^{3, 4, 23} Toy models in which spontaneous *CP* nonconservation occurs will be exhibited in Ref. 15.

We have proposed a natural mechanism for generating CP nonconservation in a gauge theory without elementary scalar fields or fermion bare masses. Although the strong CP problem is not automatically solved, strong CP nonconservation may be avoided in particular models. However, CP nonconservation in the broken sideways interactions is unavoidable, if weak CP nonconservation occurs at all. Hence, if the electric dipole moment of the neutron is not discovered soon,²⁰ the general approach to CP nonconservation which we advocate in this paper will become untenable.

We thank S. Weinberg and K. Wilson for helpful conversations. This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY77-22864, by the Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-ACO2-76ERO1545, and by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation. ^(a)Present address: Department of Physics, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210.

¹S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D 13, 974 (1976).

²L. Susskind, Phys. Rev. D <u>20</u>, 2619 (1979); S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D 19, 1277 (1978).

 $\frac{3}{12}$ Dislam and K Lang Dhus Latt

³E. Eichten and K. Lane, Phys. Lett. <u>90B</u>, 125 (1980). ⁴S. Dimopoulos and L. Susskind, Nucl. Phys. B155,

237 (1979); S. Weinberg, unpublished.

⁵R. Dashen, Phys. Rev. D 3, 1879 (1971).

⁶G. 't Hooft, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>37</u>, 8 (1976); R. Jackiw and C. Rebbi, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>37</u>, 172 (1976); C. Callan, R. Dashen, and D. Gross, Phys. Lett. <u>63B</u>, 334 (1976).

⁷R. Peccei and H. Quinn, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>38</u>, 1440 (1977); S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>40</u>, 223 (1978); F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40, 279 (1978).

⁸Here we disagree with previous proposals for solving the strong CP problem within these theories; H. Pagels, Phys. Lett. <u>87B</u>, 222 (1979); S. Dimopoulos and L. Susskind, Columbia University Report No. 79-0196, 1979 (to be published).

⁹M. Peskin, unpublished.

¹⁰S. Dimopoulos, S. Raby, and L. Susskind, Stanford University Report No. ITP-653, 1979 (to be published). ¹¹Dimopoulos and Susskind, Ref. 8.

 12 The sideways interactions are isolated from the *CP*-nonconserving interactions which generate the baryon excess in the early universe. See M. Yoshimura, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>41</u>, 281 (1978), and <u>42</u>, 746(E) (1979). 13 A model in which *CP* invariance is spontaneously broken at 100 TeV is considered by B. Holdom, Harvard

University Report No. HUTP-80/A010 (to be published). ¹⁴This possibility was first suggested by Dashen, Ref.

5. See also T. D. Lee, Phys. Rev. D $\underline{8}$, 1226 (1973). ¹⁵E. Eichten, K. Lane, and J. Preskill, to be published.

¹⁶If $n_{\rho} = 2$ or 4, other forms occur, but our conclusions are not altered.

 17 This generalizes a theorem of J. Nuyts, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>26</u>, 1604 (1971).

¹⁸V. Baluni, Phys. Rev. D <u>19</u>, 2227 (1979); R. Crewther, P. Di Vecchia, G. Veneziano, and E. Witten, Phys. Lett. 88B, 123 (1979).

¹⁹W. B. Dress *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D <u>15</u>, 9 (1977); I. S. Altarev *et al.*, Leningrad Institute of Nuclear Physics Report No. 430, 1978 (to be published), p. 1.

²⁰N. F. Ramsey, Phys. Rep. <u>43C</u>, 409 (1978).

²¹Rare processes such as $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$, $\mu \rightarrow e$ conversion, and $K_L \rightarrow \mu e$ are also induced by operators appearing in the effective Lagrangian at rates comparable to present experimental upper limits.

²²M. Kobayashi and K. Maskawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. <u>49</u>, 652 (1973).

 $\overline{^{23}}$ V. Baluni, "Quark Mass Spectrum and *CP* Nonconservation" (to be published).

²⁴S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>37</u>, 657 (1976). See also Lee, Ref. 14.