ERRATA

VIOLATIONS OF SU(6) SELECTION RULES FROM QUARK HYPERFINE INTERACTIONS. Nathan Isgur, Gabriel Karl, and Roman Koniuk [Phys. Rev. Lett. 41, 1269 (1978)].

On page 1270, in Eq. (4) and the sentences immediately preceding and following it, the state denoted N^2D_M should read N^4D_M . In Eq. (13), $\frac{1}{6}\sin^2\theta$ should read $\frac{10}{6}\sin^2\theta$; this changes the predicted ratio of the neutron and proton charge radii to -0.13, bringing it into excellent agreement with the latest experimental results [L. Koester *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 36, 1021 (1976) for the neutron; F. Borkowski *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A222, 269 (1974) for the proton]. We are grateful to C. Forsyth for pointing out this latter error.

DOUBLY COHERENT PRODUCTION OF π BY ³He IONS OF 910 MeV. E. Aslanides, P. Fassnacht, F. Hibou, E. Chiavassa, G. Dellacasa, M. Gallio, A. Musso, T. Bressani, and G. Puddu [Phys. Rev. Lett. 43, 1466 (1979)].

The value $(0.42 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-36}$ cm²/(sr MeV/c), of the double differential cross section, was inadvertently given as the integrated cross section for the two-body reaction in units of cm²/sr. In fact, the differential cross section, $d\sigma/d\Omega$, for the two-body reaction is the double differential cross section integrated over the momentum resolution of the detector and should read in our case $\sim 10^{-35}$ cm²/sr.