Measurement of D^+ , F^+ , and Λ_c^+ Charmed-Particle Lifetimes

N. Ushida, T. Kondo, G. Fujioka, H. Fukushima, Y. Takahashi, S. Tatsumi, C. Yokoyama, Y. Homma,

Y. Tsuzuki, S. Bahk, C. Kim, J. Park, J. Song, D. Bailey, S. Conetti, J. Fischer, J. Trischuk,

H. Fuchi, K. Hoshino, M. Miyanishi, K. Niu, K. Niwa, H. Shibuya, Y. Yanagisawa, S. Errede,

M. Gutzwiller, S. Kuramata, N. W. Reay, K. Reibel, T. A. Romanowski, R. Sidwell,

N. R. Stanton, K. Moriyama, H. Shibata, T. Hara, O. Kusumoto, Y. Noguchi,

M. Teranaka, H. Okabe, J. Yokota, J. Harnois, C. Hebert, J. Hebert,

S. Lokanathan, B. McLeod, S. Tasaka, P. Davis, J. Martin,

D. Pitman, J. D. Prentice, P. Sinervo, T. S. Yoon,

H. Kimura, and Y. Maeda

Aichi University of Education, Kariya, Aichi, Japan, and Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510, and Physics Department, Kobe University, Rokkodai, Nada, Kobe 657, Japan, and Faculty of Liberal Arts,

Kobe University, Tsurukabuto, Nada, Kobe 657, Japan, and Department of Physics, Korea University, Seoul 132, Korea, and Department of Physics, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec H3A 278, Canada, and Department of

Physics, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464, Japan, and Physics Department, Ohio State

University, Columbus, Ohio 43210, and Physics Department, Okayama University, Okayama, Japan, and

Physics Department, Osaka City University, Sugimoto-cho, Sumiyoshi-ku, Osaka 558, Japan, and

Science Education Institute of Osaka Prefecture, Karita-cho 4, Sumiyoshi-ku, Osaka 558, Japan,

and Department of Physics, University of Ottawa, Ottawa 2, Ontario K1N 6N5, Canada, and

Institute for Cosmic Ray Research, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan, and Physics

Department, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario M5S1A7, Canada, and

Faculty of Education, Yokohama National University,

Hodogava-ku, Yokohama, Japan

(Received 16 May 1980)

From the analysis of 685 neutrino and antineutrino interactions in an emulsion target, fifteen candidates for the multiprong decay of charged charmed particles are found. This Letter presents lifetimes for the D^{\pm} , F^{\pm} , and Λ_c^{+} states based on the fitted events of the sample.

PACS numbers: 14.40.Pe, 13.20.Jf, 13.25.+m

Several experiments have reported measurements of charged-, charmed-particle decay times. The first example of a possible charged decay in emulsion was published in 1971 by Niu, Mikumo, and Maeda.¹ By 1975 ten possible decays had been reported from cosmic-ray exposures.² Accelerator experiments have seen decays in the 15-ft bubble chamber at Fermilab,³ the streamer chamber,⁴ and in hybrid emulsion spectrometers at Fermilab and CERN.^{5,6} Only one of the above events was fully reconstructible and was reported to be a Λ_c ⁺ decay.⁶ The measured decay times ranged from 0.2×10^{-13} sec to greater than 2.0×10^{-12} sec.

We have found 25 multiprong-charm-decay candidates in the hybrid-emulsion-spectrometer experiment at Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory described in the preceding Letter.⁷ Lifetimes of the charged charmed particles, based on the 15 charged multiprong decays, are reported here. Approximately 60% of the expected data from the first exposure for the experiment has been scanned. The charged-particle decays were located by following all charged tracks leaving the neutrino interaction vertex (with $\theta < 0.2$ rad) for a distance of 6 mm, and by following back spectrometer tracks into the emulsion. We have scanned 13.9 m of hadronic track length and have observed 53 nuclear interactions (57 are expected), 24 singleprong or kink events, and 15 charged multiprong decay candidates (all three prong).⁸ The background from nuclear interactions in the threeprong-decay sample is less than 0.8 events.⁹ None of the multiprong decays are consistent with the decay of a charged strange particle.

For determining lifetimes it is important to have clean samples of events. Of the 15 multiprong-decay candidates of charged particles, 11 are unambiguous, or at most twofold ambiguous in assignment of parent particle type and are listed in Table I. All decay hypotheses with a 3constraint confidence level (C.L.) greater than 1% are shown. Decay tracks which have been identified at the 90% confidence level as to particle type are underlined. Particles in parenthe-

TABLE I. Charged decay candidates. (The event numbers and the corresponding record numbers are as follows: 1, 527-3682; 2, 597-1851; 3, 598-1759; 4, 512-5761; 5, 580-4508; 6, 546-1339; 7, 663-7758; 8, 610-4088; 9, 650-6003; 10, 549-4068; and 11, 476-4449.)

Event number	Flight path (µm)	p_{μ} (GeV/c)	Hypothesis	3-C C.L.	<i>p</i> (GeV/ <i>c</i>)	Mass (2-C fit)	Decay time (10 ⁻¹³ sec)	Hypothesis used in lifetime
1	670	+ 30	$F \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^0$	0.51	12.25	2026 ± 56	3.70 ± 0.12	F -
2	130	not seen	$F^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \overline{K}^0$	0.68	9.70	2089 ± 121	0.91 ± 0.12	F^+
3	1802	-11	$D^+ \rightarrow \overline{K} \overline{K} \overline{K} \overline{\pi}^+ \pi^0$	0.52	17.0	1862 ± 25	6.60 ± 0.17	D^+
•			$F^{+} \rightarrow K^{-} K^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{0} (\pi^{0})$	• • •	18.7	• • •	6.53 ± 0.33	• • •
4	457	p > 150	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^0$	0.07	10.1	1829 ± 35	2.82 ± 0.09	D^+
-			$F^+ \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^+ \pi^0$	0.10	• • •	2011 ± 33		•••
5	2307	+ 7	$D^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{-} K^{+} e^{-} (\overline{\nu})$	•••	9.4	• • • •	15.3 ± 1.68	D^{-}
6	2145	- 7	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ (\nu)$	• • •	16.1	•••	8.33 ± 1.03	D^+
Ŭ			$F^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \mu^+ (\nu)$		13.0		11.19 ± 0.16	•••
					36.8	• • •	3.95 ± 0.14	•••
7	13000	p > 150	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ e^+ (\nu)$		118		6.86 ± 0.69	D^+
•			$F^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ e^+ (\nu)$	• • •	101.5	•••	8.66 ± 0.76	•••
8	221	- 8	$\Lambda_c \xrightarrow{+} \pi^+ \pi^- \overline{\pi^+} \Lambda^0$	0.01	4.70	2382 ± 90	3.58 ± 0.29	Λ_{c} +
9	40.6	-15	$\Lambda_c^{+} \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \overline{\Lambda}^0$	0.25	5.73	$\boldsymbol{2164\pm88}$	0.54 ± 0.14	Λ_c +
10	20.6	- 11	$\Lambda_{c}^{*} \xrightarrow{+} \overline{K}^{-} p \pi^{+} (\overline{\pi}^{0})$	•••	2.23	• • •	$\boldsymbol{0.70 \pm 0.07}$	Λ_{c}^{+}
11	27.7	- 59	$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p \pi^+ \pi^- (\overline{K}^0)$	• • •	2.9	• • •	0.73 ± 0.08	Λ_c +
		••••	· , <u></u>	•••	5.0	• • •	0.42 ± 0.05	-

ses are assumed in order to balance transverse momentum. The two momentum solutions for kinematically ambiguous events are shown if they differ in decay time by more than 10%. For these unconstrained events only decay hypotheses with $\Delta C = \Delta S$ are considered.

A photomicrograph of the first event is shown in Fig. 1. This figure has been slightly retouched to improve contrast.

 F^{\pm} decays.—In the first event in Table I timeof-flight (TOF) identifies two of the decay particles as charged pions, and a π^0 (seen as two γ 's in the lead-glass counters) is necessary to balance transverse momentum. If we assume the unidentified negative track is a pion, the constrained mass of the decaying particle is 2026 \pm 56 MeV/ c^2 consistent with the *F* mass.¹⁰ The confidence level for a Cabibbo-unfavored *D* decay is 0.0001 and even smaller for the Cabibbofavored mode (not allowed by TOF in any case). We note that the neutral mass combinations of the decay particles are $M\pi^{+}\pi^{-} = 611 \pm 27$ and 780 ± 17 MeV/ c^{2} and $M\pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{0} = 1296 \pm 59$ and 1338 ± 41 MeV/ c^{2} , not consistent with any known particles with appreciable $s\bar{s}$ quark components.¹¹

In the second event all the charged decay tracks are identified by TOF. In addition a neutral hadron which balances transverse momentum is seen in the calorimeter. If this neutral particle is assumed to be a K_L^0 the event fits the hypothesis of an F^+ decay. A neutron hypothesis would require a doubly Cabibbo-forbidden Λ_c^+ decay and has a confidence level of less than 10^{-4} .

 D^{\star} decays.—Events 3 through 7 are consistent with the decays of D^{\star} mesons. For all these events, the Λ_c hypothesis, obtained by postulating a missing neutron or by assuming an untagged positive hadron is a proton, is ruled out because



the minimum mass possible is more than 3 standard deviations above the mass of the Λ_c or $\overline{\Lambda}_c$. However, a small *F* background may exist and is estimated below on an event-by-event basis under the conservative assumption of equal *F* and D^* production.

For event 3 the only constrained hypothesis with confidence level greater than 0.002 is the Cabibbounfavored decay of a D^+ . A zero-constraint hypothesis, such as $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^0(\pi^0)$ or $F^+ \rightarrow K^- K^+$ $+ \pi^+ \pi^0(\pi^0)$ is kinematically possible, but no evidence for an additional π^0 is seen in the apparatus. We estimate the probability of such a π^0 avoiding detection is $\approx 20\%$, giving a maximum *F* background for this event of 10%. Event 4, a constrained fit, is ambiguous with *F* but the *D* hypothesis is favored by TOF at 80% confidence level. The only kinematically allowed hypothesis for event 5 is $D^- \rightarrow \pi^- \overline{K}^+ e^-(\overline{\nu})$. Events 6 and 7 may be either $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ l(\overline{\nu_1})$ or $F \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ l(\nu_1)(m\pi^0)$.

The total F contamination in the sample is thus

0.1 + 0.2 + 0 + 0.5 + 0.5 = 1.3 events

under the above assumptions. The most conservative method of estimating the effect of background of order one short-lived F in these data is to cast out the ambiguous event with the shortest decay time (event 4). Doing so raises the average decay time of the remaining events by 16%, a small effect compared to the statistical error on the lifetime computed below.

 Λ_c^{+} decays.—The last four events are consistent with the decays of Λ_c^{+} baryons. The first two Λ_c^{+} decays have a Λ^0 decay seen in the spectrometer which balances transverse momentum with the charged decay tracks. In events 10 and 11 one of



FIG. 2. Scanning efficiency for charged-particle decays.

the decay tracks is identified as a proton by time of flight. In both cases the three charged decay tracks do not balance transverse momentum, however, and a neutral decay particle must be assumed. The minimum mass for these two decays is such that additional missing neutrals are excluded. A higher-mass charmed-strange state cannot be excluded for event 10.

In summary, from 15 charged multiprong decays we have a clean F sample of two events, a clean Λ_c^+ sample of four events, and a D^+ sample of five events which has a possible F background of ≤ 1.3 events.

In fitting the lifetimes of the charmed particles the method of maximum likelihood is used as in the previous Letter. The scanning efficiency for charged-particle decays is shown in Fig. 2.

The effect of systematic 1-standard-deviation changes in the scanning efficiency is to shift the fitted lifetime of the D^+ by ±8%. For the Λ_c^+ the average of the two-decay-time solutions for event 11 is used in the fit. Choosing the high or low solution displaces the lifetime by ±3%.

We have tested the data sample for each particle type to check consistency with the hypothesis of a single lifetime. A test variable $T = \int_0^{\infty} [D(t) - \tilde{D}(t)]^2 dt$ is computed where D(t) is the set of decays seen and $\tilde{D}(t)$ is the spectrum expected for lifetime τ . A confidence level is generated by substituting random decay spectra for the experimental data set and comparing the *T* values generated with that of the experimental data. For the D^{\pm} , F^{\pm} , and Λ_c^{\pm} particles the confidence levels obtained are 33%, 85%, and 20%, respectively.

The lifetimes measured by this experiment for the Λ_c^+ , D^{\pm} , F^{\pm} , and D^0 (from Ref. 7) are summarized in Table II. The uncertainties indicated are ± 1 standard deviation and include only the statistical errors. We note that the D^0 , F, and Λ_c^+ are significantly shorter lived than the D^+

TABLE II. Charmed-particle lifetimes from this experiment. The value for the D^0 is from Ref. 7.

Charmed particle	Assumed mass (MeV/ c^2)	Fitted lifetime (10 ⁻¹³ sec)	Number of Events
Λ_c +	2285	$1.14^{+0.90}_{-0.44}$	4
D^{\pm}	1868	$10.3 \stackrel{+}{-} \stackrel{+}{}_{-} \stackrel{+}{-} \stackrel{-}{-} \stackrel{+}{-} \stackrel{+}{-} \stackrel{+}{-} \stackrel{+}{-} \stackrel{+}{-} \stackrel{-}{-} \stackrel{-}{-} \stackrel{+}{-} \stackrel{-}{-} \stackrel{-}{-} \stackrel{+}{-} \stackrel{+}{-} \stackrel{+}{-} \stackrel{-}{-} \stackrel{-}$	5
F^{\pm}	2030	$2.24^{+2.78}_{-1.05}$	Ź
D^{0}	1863	$1.00 \stackrel{+}{}_{-0.31}^{-0.52}$	7

and processes other than the simple radiative decay of the free charmed quark may be important in the calculation of decay rates for these particles. The existence of differing lifetimes for the D^+ and D^0 has been suggested by several authors.^{2,12-16}

Averaging semielectronic branching ratios measured by experiments at SLAC, ¹⁷ we obtain the branching ratio $R(D^+ \rightarrow X^0 e^+ \nu_e) = 0.20$. Combining this number with our measurement of the D^* lifetime, we obtain for the partial width $\Gamma(D^+ \rightarrow X^0 e^+ \nu_e) = 1.9^{+1.4}_{-1.0} \times 10^{11} \text{ sec}^{-1}$. This is in good agreement with several theoretical calculations.¹⁸ Assuming the D^+ and the D^0 have the same semielectronic partial widths, and using the D^0 lifetime, we obtain the branching ratio $R(D^0 \rightarrow X^- e^+ \nu_e) = 0.019^{+0.021}_{-0.009}$.

We are grateful to many people who have contributed to this experiment. In particular, the technical personnel of McGill, Ohio State, and Toronto Universities and the very patient and careful scanning staffs at Kobe, Nagoya, and Osaka Universities, and University of Ottawa have been vital to our success. We are grateful to the Accelerator and Neutrino Area Crews for our very smooth run during the many blizzards of the 1978-1979 winter. This work has been supported in part by the National Science Foundation and the U.S. Department of Energy, the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, the Department of Education of the Province of Quebec, Canada, the Ashigara Research Laboratories of the Fuji Photo Film Co., Ltd., the Mitsubishi Foundation, the Nishina Memorial Foundation, the Nissan Science Foundation, the Ministry of Education of Japan, the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, and finally, by the Japan-United States Cooperative Research Program for High Energy Physics.

¹K. Niu, E. Mikumo, and Y. Maeda, Prog. Theor.

Phys. <u>46</u>, 1644 (1971). For the interpretation of this event as the decay of a charmed particle, see T. Hayashi *et al.*, Prog. Theor. Phys. <u>47</u>, 280 (1972).

²K. Hoshino et al., in Proceedings of the Fourteenth International Conference on Cosmic Rays, Munich, West Germany, 1975 (Max-Planck-Institut für Extraterrestrische Physik, Garching, West Germany, 1975), Vol. 7, p. 2442; K. Niu, in Proceedings of the Nineteenth International Conference on High Energy Physics, Tokyo, Japan, 1978, edited by S. Homma, M. Kawaguchi, and H. Miyazawa (Physical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 1979), p. 447.

³H. C. Ballagh *et al.*, Phys. Lett. 89B, 423 (1980).

⁴J. Sandweiss *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>44</u>, 1104 (1980). ⁵E. H. S. Burhop *et al.*, Phys. Lett. <u>65B</u>, 299 (1976);

R. Ammar *et al.*, Fermilab Report No. 80/30-EXP, 1980 (to be published).
⁶C. Angelini *et al.*, Phys. Lett. <u>84B</u>, 150 (1979).

⁷See N. Ushida *et al.*, preceding Letter [Phys. Rev. Lett. 45, 1049 (1980)].

⁸The 24 single-prong events will be described in a later paper.

⁹For 5-30-GeV/c points the probability for the topology 0+3p is (2-4)% [Jain *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. <u>67</u>, 641 (1965)]. We take 3% as an upper limit. The softermomentum spectrum of the charged hadrons from the neutrino interaction relative to the decays reduces the background to 1.5%.

¹⁰For the 3-constraint fit the mass of the F meson was assumed to be 2030 MeV/ c^2 .

¹¹This result may suggest a contribution from the $c\overline{s}$ annihilation diagram which has received considerable theoretical attention. See, for example, H. Fritzsch and P. Minkowski, Phys. Lett. <u>90B</u>, 455 (1980); N. Deshpande, M. Gronau, and D. Sutherland, Phys.

Lett. <u>90B</u>, 431 (1980).

¹²T. Hayashi *et al.*, Prog. Theor. Phys. <u>49</u>, 350 (1973). ¹³G. Altarelli, N. Cabibbo, and L. Maiani, Nucl. Phys. B88, 285 (1975).

¹⁴M. Einhorn and C. Quigg, Phys. Rev. D <u>12</u>, 2015 (1975).

¹⁵R. L. Kingsley *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D <u>11</u>, 1919 (1975). ¹⁶M. K. Gaillard, B. W. Lee, and J. L. Rosner, Rev. Mod. Phys. <u>47</u>, 277 (1975).

¹⁷G. Donaldson, private communication.

¹⁸See, for example, N. Cabibbo and L. Maiani, Phys. Lett. <u>79B</u>, 109 (1978).