Superunification in $SU(5|1)$

J. G. Taylor

Department of Mathematics, King's College, London, England

(Received 14 May 1979)

This paper presents a superunified model in six dimensions using the superalgebra $SU(5|1)$ with the vector and Higgs mesons being the various components of the gauge fields. These are fixed by the principle of infinitesimal gauge invariance to give the standard symmetry-breaking mechanism. Superheavy vector-meson masses are obtained by an additional scalar matter 24-plet. Fermions are placed in the $10+\frac{5}{5}$ representation, and their masses examined; the theory is shown to be anomaly free.

Various attempts have been made recently to gauge the superalgebra $SU(2|1)$ in various extra gauge the superalgebra SU(211) in various extra-
dimensions, ¹⁻⁵ so as to obtain naturally the Weinberg angle $\theta_W = 30^\circ$. It is natural to extend these discussions to include quarks in a superunified model; I propose to do that here. Salam has suggested⁶ that the proper extension of $SU(2|1)$ is to SU(5\left), with the fermions in the $15 = 10 \oplus 5$ representation of SU(6). I have worked out here the consequences of this suggestion. It is indeed natural to choose initially the superunified group $SU(5)^7$ as the simplest possible. In so doing we have automatically the value $\sin^2\theta_w = 3/8$, but standard renormalization effects from superbosons will bring this value down closer to 0.2 by the usual arguments.

Arguments have been given elsewhere⁴ against the use of the "natural" invariant for a superalgebra, the graded trace, because of its negativeenergy features for $SU(n|m)$ and the loss of the kinetic energy terms for the Higgs sector (the gauge potential in the higher dimensions). The only suitable expression with which to commence appears to be'

$$
L = -\left(1/2g^2\right)\mathrm{Tr}F_{MN}F^{MN},\tag{1}
$$

where

$$
F_{MN} = \partial_M A_N - \partial_N A_M + i \left[A_M, A_N \right]
$$

is the gauge field strength constructed from the potential A_{M} and the bracket is that arising in the superalgebra. Following arguments given in Ref. 4 we take for a generic potential $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & ib \\ ib & c \end{pmatrix}$, where a is a Hermitian 5×5 matrix, Trc= Tra, and b is a complex quintuplet. For

$$
A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}, A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ib \\ ib^{\dagger} & 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$

then

$$
[A, B] = [A_0, B_0]_{+} + [A_0, B_1]_{+} + [A_1, B_0]_{+} i [A_1, B_1]_{+}.
$$

Under the graded gauge transformation

$$
\delta_u A_M = i [A_M, u] + \delta_M u,
$$

$$
\delta_u (AB) = (\delta_u A) B + (-1)^{au} A \delta_u B
$$

(where $au = 1$ only if A and u are odd), then⁴

$$
\delta_u F_{MN} = i[F_{MN}, u], \n\delta_u L = (1/g^2) \operatorname{Tr}(u_1[F_{MN0}, F^{MN}]_+).
$$
\n(2)

From (2) it is clear that $\delta_u L$ will be nonzero in general. I impose the requirement of "inifinitesimal" gauge invariance by requiring that the $A_{\scriptscriptstyle M}$ be chosen so that $\delta_u L = 0$; thus we need $F_{MNI} F^{MN}$ $= 0$. This can be solved⁴ by taking

$$
F_{\mu\nu\,1}=0, \ \ A_{M\,0}=gM_m\,\lambda_{\,6}\,,\tag{3}
$$

for $1 \leq \mu$, $\nu \leq 4$, $m \geq 5$ (*m* denoting the extra components of space-time), where M_m are constants, and $\lambda_{6'} = diag(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 5)$. The noninvariance of L under odd gauge transformations is now clear, since the form of these potentials is not then preserved. We thus have to consider the condition $\delta_u L = 0$ as a prescription for fixing the Higgs sector; since it leads to numerous experimental predictions' it can undoubtedly be checked over the next few years.

We evaluate the Lagrangian (1) in two extra we evaluate the Lagrangian (1) in two extr
timelike dimensions,³ using the values of the fields and potentials specified by (3) and

$$
A_{\mu} = \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{a=1}^{24} A_{\mu}{}^{a} \lambda_{a} + \frac{g}{2\sqrt{15}} B_{\mu} \lambda_{6'},
$$

\n
$$
A_{51} = \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\varphi_{5} \\ i\varphi_{5}^{+} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, A_{61} = \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\varphi_{6} \\ i\varphi_{6}^{+} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)
$$

\n
$$
M_{5} = M_{6} = \frac{1}{4} M_{6}
$$

The Lagrangian (1) then becomes

$$
L = -\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr} \left[F_{\mu\nu} (\underline{24}) \right]^2 - \frac{1}{4} (\partial_{\mu} B_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} B_{\mu})^2 + \frac{1}{2} |D_{\mu} \varphi_5|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |D_{\mu} \varphi_6|^2 - V, \qquad (5)
$$

where

$$
V = g^2 \{ 4 \mid (\varphi^\dagger \varphi)|^2 + 4 \mid (\psi^\dagger \psi)|^2 + 2(\varphi^\dagger \varphi)(\psi^\dagger \psi) + 6(\varphi^\dagger \psi)(\psi^\dagger \varphi) - 4M^2(\varphi^\dagger \varphi) \}, \quad (6)
$$

 $\varphi_5 = \varphi + \psi$, $\varphi_6 = \psi - \varphi$, and $F_{\mu\nu}(24)$ is the SU(5) gauge-invariant field strength arising from the 24-plet $\sum_{\mu}^{\infty} \lambda_{\alpha}$. The minimum of V in (6) occurs for $\psi = 0$, $(\varphi^{\dagger} \varphi) = \frac{1}{2} M^2$. Then ψ and the fifth component of Re φ are massive with mass $2Mg = 2M_w$, where W are the usual $SU(2)\otimes U(1)$ massive W mesons; this predicted mass is the same as for the original case of $SU(2|1)_s^3$. It is interesting to note that the same ratio of the Higgs mass to the W-meson mass occurs in any $SU(n|m)$ theory in six dimensions. Three further components of φ are absorbed by the W's so leaving six real $massless W$ fields.

To give mass to these latter fields and also to bring in the superheavy-mass scale I introduce a further scalar 25-plet as a matter field, Φ $=\sum \varphi^a \lambda^a + \varphi \lambda_{\beta'}$. It would have been possible to have introduced this multiplet as a higher-dimensional gauge-field component, but since it is even it would have been necessary to use at least two such components, say A_7 and A_8 , with A_{70} Φ_7 , $A_{71} = 0$, $A_{80} = \Phi_8$, $A_{81} = 0$. The resulting potential term in L of (1) would then have been $Tr([\Phi_7, \Phi_8])^2$. The stationary value of such a term is at $\Phi_7 = \Phi_8$, with value zero, so that no

further spontaneous symmetry breaking (SSB) can occur in this manner. Thus I introduce an additional term $\frac{1}{2} Tr(D_{\mu} \Phi)^2 - V(\Phi)$ in L, with $V(\Phi)$ being of form

$$
V(\Phi) = -\frac{1}{2}\mu^2 \operatorname{Tr}(\Phi^2) + P_4(\Phi), \tag{7}
$$

where $P_4(\Phi)$ is a positive fourth-order polynomial in Φ and μ is a mass of order 10¹⁵ GeV. It may not be necessary to introduce P_4 explicitly, since it may arise by a radiative-correction mechanism⁸; in either case we expect an SSB value of $\langle \Phi \rangle_{0} \sim \mu$. We have to note in addition the further scalar self-interaction term from $\frac{1}{2} Tr[(D_{\rm s} \Phi)^2]$ + $(D_e \Phi)^2$ of value

$$
-\frac{1}{2}[\varphi_{\mathbf{6}}^{\dagger} \Phi^2 \varphi_5 + \varphi_{\mathbf{6}}^{\dagger} \Phi^2 \varphi_6]
$$
 (8)

in V . The total expression (6) , (7) , and (8) has a minimum with ψ and φ parallel. Following Buras $et\ al$. There will be expected to be a minimum of the combined potential with $\psi = 0$, the masses of the 24 and 5 all being large except for the familiar $SU(2) \otimes U(1)$ Higgs particle. Thus the model will $SU(2) \otimes U(1)$ Higgs particle. Thus the model will be satisfactory in the meson sector as is $SU(5)$.¹⁰

Let us now turn to the fermion sector. This is described by a (5×5) _a and a 5 placed together to make the SU(5 $\overline{1}$) spinor-valued matrix

$$
\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(5 \times 5)_a}{-5\sqrt{2}} & \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{0} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$
 (9)

with the standard assignments

$$
\underline{5} = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \\ d_3 \\ e^+ \\ \nu \end{pmatrix}, \quad \underline{(5 \times 5)}_a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_3^c & -u_2^c & -u_1 & -d_1 \\ -u_3^c & 0 & u_1^c & -u_2 & d_2 \\ u_2^c & -u_1 & 0 & -u_3 & -d_3 \\ u_1 & u_2 & -u_3 & 0 & -e^+ \\ d_1 & d_2 & d_3 & e^+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}_L
$$

where I neglect the Cabibbo rotation. I also set to zero the extra left-handed antineutrino which really should have been included in the Ψ of (9) as $\nu' \lambda_{\rho'}$ to give the complete 16 of SU(5|1), but decouples from the theory due to its chirality and singlet character under SU(5). The fermion kinetic term in the Lagrangian will thus be i $Tr(\Psi \psi \Psi)$, where D_M is the usual covariant derivative, $\not{D} = D_M \Gamma_M$, the Γ_M being the Dirac matrices in the higher dimensions, and $\Psi = \Psi^{\dagger} \Gamma_0 = \Psi^{\dagger} \gamma_0$. It is possible to show the invariance of this term under infinitesimal graded gauge transformations by methods similar to that of the third paper in Ref. 3 only provided the grading in Ψ again follows chirality as it did in the case of the 3 for leptons in $SU(2|1)$. Thus

!

$$
\delta_u \operatorname{Tr}(\overline{\Psi} \not\!\! D \Psi) = \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ 2i \, \overline{\Psi} \not\!\! D \Psi u_1 - 2i [\overline{\Psi}_0,u_1] \right. \not\!\! D \Psi - \overline{\Psi}_0 [\Psi_1,\beta u_1]_+ \right\}
$$

where Ψ_0 , Ψ_1 , are the even and odd parts of Ψ , respectively. Only provided Ψ_0 and Ψ_1 have opposite chiralities does the right-hand side vanish, as can be seen by inspection. The fermion mass term is therefore

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\{\overline{\Psi}(\Gamma_5[\langle A_5\rangle_0, \Psi] + \Gamma_6[\langle A_6\rangle_0, \Psi])\},\
$$

which leads to the mass values $m_d = m_e$, $m_u = 0$, as for the original $SU(5)$ model.⁷ Extension of the theory to higher dimensions, following the first paper of Ref. 3, would lead to $m_c = m_{\mu}$, m_5 = 0, m_b = m_{τ} , m_{τ} = 0. It is not possible to include

the term $\text{Tr}\bar{\Psi}[\varphi, \Psi]$ since this is not invariant under the infinitesimal elements of the algebra for the graded choice of Ψ given above (this would also exclude φ from being a Higgs scalar among the gauge components in the extended dimensions).

The mass values above could be modified by the further addition of a scalar quintuplet coupled to the fermions, if so desired, though radiative corrections will also be relevant here; I will not give details.

Finally I turn to the problem of anomalies
is question has been analyzed by Elias.¹¹ This question has been analyzed by Elias, 11 who on the suggestion of Salam, analyzed the anomalies assuming that the fermion $5 \oplus 10$ belong to a 15 of SV(6). He found there to be an anomaly arising from the generator T_{35} . For SU(511) this anomaly changes sign as a result of the graded tracelessness of the corresponding generator T_{35}^{-1} ; the anomaly still persists. It is not require to gauge T_{35}^{-1} , and so I will not do so here; thus my theory will be anomaly free. It is relevant to point out that there will be an anomaly in SU(211) since the equivalent generator to T_{35}^{-1} , T_8^1 , has to be gauged to keep the U(1)_{L+R} nontrivial. This anomaly arises since the relevant quantity $Tr[[\lambda_8^1, \lambda_8^1]_+, \lambda_8^1]_+ \neq 0$. Thus if superal

gebras are being used, but with the ordinary trace in Lagrangians, it is in any case necessary to go to a larger group which is anomaly free, such as $SU(5|1)$. It is clear that a Lagrangian built on the graded trace would still be preferable; I plan to return to this elsewhere.

The author would like to thank A. Salam for help in the execution of these ideas.

¹Y. Ne'eman, Phys. Lett. 81B, 190 (1979).

 2 D. B. Fairlie, Phys. Lett. 82B, 97 (1979).

 ${}^{3}S$. Bedding, C. Pickup, J. G. Taylor, and S. Down-Martin, Phys. Lett. 8SB, ⁵⁹ (1979); J. G. Taylor, Phys. Lett. 84B, 79 (1979), and "Do Electroweak Interactions

Imply Six Extra Time Dimension" (to be published). , 4 J. G. Taylor and C. Pickup, "Gauging Lie Superalge-

bras" (to be published). ${}^{5}P$. H. Dondi and P. D. Jarvis, Phys. Lett. 84B, 75

(1979).

 6A . Salam, private communication.

 7 H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 32, 488 (1974).

 8 A. Salam and J. Strathdee, Phys. Rev. D 18, 4713 (1978).

⁹A. J. Buras, J. Ellis, M. K. Gaillard, and Nanopoulos, Nucl. Phys. B185, 66 (1978).

 $10V$. Elias, private communication from A. Salam.

Vanishing Graphs, Planarity, and Reggeization

Giovanni M. Cicuta (a)

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305 (Received 4 June 1979)

An infinite class of nonplanar skeleton graphs is found to vanish in any non-Abelian gauge theory. Thus, the dominance of planar graphs is enhanced, particularly in processes where some momenta are very large.

Non-Abelian gauge theories have surprising properties which enhance the interest of the topological expansion. The techniques used in the present perturbative computations are known, yet the interplay of the group properties with the highenergy limit of the space-time factors seems very interesting. I shall then list the main results and sketch the derivations.

Every Feynman graph in a non-Abelian gauge theory is conveniently written as a product of a group weight factor, W_G , times a space-time factor, M_c . Diagrammatic methods which efficiently compute W_G were described by Cvitanović.¹ The first results (A) and (B) in this Letter easily follow from that paper.

(A) In every non-Abelian gauge theory there ex-

ists an infinite class of skeleton graphs uith vanishing group weight factor. The lowest-order vanishing graph in Fig. 1 of order g^5 . W_c vanishes

FIG. 1. The lowest-order graph with vanishing group weight.