versations with Dr. Scott Kirkpatrick, Dr. Chris Guy, and Dr. Jean-Louis Tholence.

- ¹G. J. Nieuwenhuys, Adv. Phys. <u>24</u>, 515 (1975).
- ²D. Fay and J. Appel, Phys. Rev. B <u>16</u>, 2325 (1977).

³K. Levin and O. Valls, Phys. Rev. <u>B</u> <u>17</u>, 191 (1978).

⁴G. J. Nieuwenhuys, Phys. Lett. <u>67A</u>, 237 (1978).

⁵R. A. Webb, J. B. Ketterson, W. P. Halperin, J. J. Vuillemin, and N. B. Sandesara, J. Low Temp. Phys. <u>32</u>, 659 (1978).

⁶R. P. Giffard, R. A. Webb, and J. C. Wheatley,

J. Low Temp. Phys. <u>6</u>, 533 (1972).

⁷N. B. Sandesara and J. J. Vuillemin, Metall. Trans.

- <u>8B</u>, 693 (1977).
- ⁸R. A. Webb, R. P. Giffard, and J. C. Wheatley,

J. Low Temp. Phys. <u>13</u>, 383 (1973).

- ⁹C. Uher and P. A. Schroeder, J. Phys. F <u>8</u>, 1 (1978). ¹⁰G. K. White and S. B. Woods, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London 251A, 273 (1959).
- ¹¹P. A. Schroeder and C. Uher, Phys. Rev. B <u>18</u>, 3884 (1978).

¹²J. Crangle and W. R. Scott, J. Appl. Phys. <u>36</u>, 921 (1965).

¹³J. L. Tholence and R. Tournier, J. Phys. (Paris), Colloq. <u>35</u>, C4-229 (1978).

¹⁴V. Cannella and J. A. Mydosh, Phys. Rev. B <u>6</u>, 4220 (1972).

¹⁵C. N. Guy, J. Phys. F <u>8</u>, 1309 (1978).

First-Order Phase Transitions and the Three-State Potts Model

H. W. J. Blöte

University of Rhode Island, Kingston, Rhode Island 02881, and Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973

and

R. H. Swendsen Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973

(Received 30 April 1979)

We have used the Monte Carlo renormalization-group method to study the three-state Potts model in three and four dimensions. In both cases, we find a first-order transition without an associated discontinuity fixed point. The transition in three dimensions is "almost second order" in the sense that some evidence was found for the existence of second-order fixed points associated with singularities in the metastable region just beyond the first-order transition.

The three-dimensional, three-state, ferromagnetic Potts model¹ is described by

$$H = K_{nn} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \delta_{\sigma_i \sigma_j}, \qquad (1)$$

where $\sigma_i = 1$, 2, or 3, the sum is over the nearest-neighbor pairs, and K_{nn} includes a factor of $-1/k_BT$. It is expected to describe the critical properties of a number of physical systems such as ferromagnets with cubic anisotropy in a magnetic field.² Although Landau theory predicts a first-order phase transition (which is certainly correct for sufficiently high dimensionality), the transition is known to be second order in two dimensions.³ Several attempts have been made to determine the nature of the transition in three dimensions, but it proved to be difficult to find, theoretically or experimentally, an unambigous answer to the problem,⁴⁻²⁰ although the experimental results point to a first-order transition.^{21,22} We have studied the three-state Potts model in both three and four dimensions using the Monte Carlo renormalization-group (MCRG) method.²³⁻²⁶ We have been able to determine that the transition is first order in both cases. In addition, the rather novel position-space renormalizationgroup flows obtained from the MCRG analysis have clarified the nature of these first-order transitions (including the existence of metastable branches) and explained the difficulties encountered by other methods. We believe that these results should have broad application to the general theory of first-order transitions.

The usual description of first-order transitions in the context of position-space renormalizationgroup theory (which includes the MCRG) was first given by Nienhuis and Nauenberg.²⁷ They showed that a *sufficient* condition for a first-order transition is the existence of a "discontinuity fixed point," characterized by an eigenvalue of the linearized RG transformation equal to b^d , where bis the scale factor and d is the dimensionality. The existence of such a fixed point in an RG analysis is necessary to describe the divergence of the susceptibility along the coexistence line in the d=3 Heisenberg model.²⁸⁻³⁰ A discontinuity fixed point describing the low-temperature phase of the d=2 Ising model has also been found by the MCRG method²⁵ as predicted by Klein, Wallace, and Zia,³¹ who linked it to the presence of essential singularities in the free energy as a function of the magnetic field.

In contrast to this traditional picture, we find that there is no discontinuity fixed point associated with the first-order transition in either three or four dimensions. Instead, the MCRG analysis for the ordered phase in both the stable and metastable regions resulted in flows toward the strong-coupling fixed point, while the corresponding MCRG flows for the disordered phase approached the weak-coupling fixed point. This implies that the first-order transition corresponds to a simple crossing of two branches of the free energy—the thermodynamic properties can be analytically continued through the first-order transition into the metastable phases without encountering any singularities associated with the transition.

We also find evidence that the transition in the three-dimensional Potts model is "almost second order" in the sense that there are second-order fixed points which could, in principle, be reached from the metastable regions. Experiments on such a system would show thermodynamic properties (in the stable phases) that are describable by power-law singularities with the apparent location of the singularity in the metastable region just beyond the first-order transition.

A schematic diagram of the MCRG flows for the disordered phase is presented in Fig. 1. The vertical axis shows the nearest-neighbor coupling and the horizontal axis represents all other possible interactions. The solid lines are flows from the stable phase that eventually go to the weak-coupling fixed point. The flow from K_1 (the first-order transition temperature) is not qualitatively different from flows immediately above or below—it is only distinguished by having a free energy equal to that of the ordered phase at the same temperature.

Table I contains MCRG data for the renormal-



FIG. 1. Schematic RG flow diagram for the disordered branch of the three-dimensional, three-state, nearest-neighbor, Potts model. K_1 is the nearest-neighbor coupling at the first-order transition. The solid lines represent flows from the stable phase and dashed lines from the metastable phase. K_2 is the apparent second-order transition point in the metastable region.

ized, nearest-neighbor correlation functions of the simple-cubic (d=3) Potts model at its transition temperature ($K_1=0.550$) for both the ordered and disordered phases. The numbers reflect the shrinking lattice size as well as the renormalized coupling constants, but the trends toward strongor weak-coupling fixed points are still clear.

Table II contains MCRG values for the evenand odd-eigenvalue exponents of the linearized RG transformation^{24,25} for the same MC simulations used in Table I. The renormalized Hamiltonians are clearly moving in different directions

TABLE I. Nearest-neighbor correlation functions for the renormalized configurations of the three-dimensional, three-state Potts model at its first-order transition temperature. Data for both ordered and disordered states were taken from MC simulations at a coupling $K_1=0.550$ (with ordered and random starting configurations, 200 MC steps per site to reach equilibrium, and averages over 2000 MC steps per site, for a $32 \times 32 \times 32$ lattice with periodic boundary conditions). RG iteration 0 refers to averages over the unrenormalized configurations.

		C _{nn}
RG iteration	Ordered state	Disordered state
0	0.580	0.529
1	0.629	0.503
2	0.753	0.482
3	0.922	0.453

TABLE II. Even and odd MCRG eigenvalue exponents obtained from the same MC simulations of the ordered and disordered states of the three-state, threedimensional Potts model described in Table I. The scale factor for the RG transformation is b=2, and block spins are assigned by majority rule, using predetermined sites as "tie breakers" when necessary. Missing entries correspond to complex eigenvalues.

RG	Number of	y ₁ ^e		y1°	
iteration	interactions	Ordered	Disordered	Ordered	Disordered
1	1	1.65	1.53	2.51	2.41
	2	1.75	1.62	2.41	2.42
	3	1.75	1.62	2.33	2.42
2	1	2.05	1.61	2.73	2.32
	2	2.06	1.70	2.32	2.33
	3	2.05	1.72	• • •	2.34
3	1	2.45	1.52	3.12	2.21
	2	2.31	1.66	• • •	2.23
	3	2.40	1.67	3.27	2.23

toward different fixed points. On the other hand, the changes in the eigenvalue exponents are fairly slow, which is consistent with being close to fixed points in the metastable region. The data are not nearly good enough to give estimates of the eigenvalue exponents for the metastable fixed points, but it may be worth noting the proximity of both to the Ising critical values $(y_1^e \approx 1.6, y_1^o \approx 2.5).^{32}$

The first-order nature of the transitions can, of course, also be seen by standard MC methods. Figure 2 shows hysteresis data for the threedimensional, nearest-neighbor correlation func-



FIG. 2. Hysteresis data for the nearest-neighbor correlation function of a simple-cubic Potts model. Circles represent averages over 100 MC steps per site on $24 \times 24 \times 24$ and $32 \times 32 \times 32$ lattices.

tion C_{nn} plotted against the coupling strength K_{nn} for lattices with linear dimensions N = 24 and N = 32 and periodic boundary conditions. The circles show averages over intervals of 100 Monte Carlo steps per site and the arrows on the dashed lines indicate the development of the system for successive intervals. The solid lines show the stable branches and are only drawn to quide the eye.³³

Since one would expect the apparent secondorder transitions to be limits of stability of the metastable phases, it is interesting to note that the strong-coupling series of Miyashita, Betts, and Elliott²⁰ suggested a singularity near K= 0.5475, while Straley's¹⁷ weak-coupling series suggested a singularity near K = 0.5535. Both numbers are in reasonable agreement with the hysteresis shown in Fig. 2.

The first-order transition in the four-dimensional hypercubic Potts model occurs at $K_1 = 0.3875 \pm 0.0010$ and is qualitatively similar to the three-dimensional case. Further details of the calculations for both cases will be presented elsewhere.

We would like to thank Dr. V. J. Emery, Dr. M. Creutz, Dr. J. B. Hastings, Dr. R. Pelcovits, and Dr. S. Krinsky for their stimulating interest and valuable discussions. We are also grateful to Dr. L. Jacobs and Dr. C. Rebbi for interesting discussions and their independent confirmation of the first-order transition and its location in three dimensions.

This work was supported in part by the Division of Basic Energy Sciences, U. S. Department of Energy, under Contract No. EY-76-C-02-0016 and in part by the National Science Foundation under Contract No. DMR 77-24136.

¹R. B. Potts, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 48, 106 (1952).

²D. Mukamel, M. E. Fisher, and Eytan Domany, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>37</u>, 565 (1976).

³R. J. Baxter, J. Phys. C 6, L445 (1973).

⁴H. G. Purwins, E. Walker, B. Barbara, M. F. Rossignol, and P. Bak, J. Phys. C 7, 3573 (1974).

⁵P. Bak, J. Phys. C 7, 4097 (1974).

⁶J. Sznajd, Acta Phys. Pol. A 47, 61 (1975).

⁷G. Golner, Phys. Rev. B 8, 3419 (1973).

⁸D. J. Amit and A. Scherbakov, J. Phys. C 7, L96 (1974).

⁹J. Rudnick, J. Phys. A 8, 1125 (1975).

¹⁰Th. W. Burkhardt and H. J. F. Knops, Phys. Rev. B 15, 1602 (1977).

- ThTh. W. Burkhardt, H. J. F. Knops, and M. den Nijs, J. Phys. A 9, L179 (1976).
- ¹²M. P. M. den Nijs and H. J. F. Knops, Physica (Utrecht) 93A, 441 (1978).

¹³P. M. Levy and J. J. Sudano, Phys. Rev. B 18, 5087 (1978).

¹⁴R. V. Ditzian and J. Oitmaa, J. Phys. A <u>7</u>, L61 (1974).

¹⁵R. Ditzian, J. Phys. A 7, L152 (1974).

¹⁶S. Alexander and G. Yuval, J. Phys. C 7, 1609 (1974).

¹⁷J. P. Straley, J. Phys. A <u>7</u>, 2173 (1974).

- ¹⁸D. Kim and R. I. Joseph, J. Phys. A <u>8</u>, 891 (1975).
- ¹⁹I. G. Enting and C. Domb, J. Phys. A 8, 1228 (1975).

²⁰S. Miyashita, D. D. Betts, C. J. Elliott, to be published.

²¹A. Aharony, K. A. Müller, and W. Berlinger, Phys.

Rev. Lett. 38, 33 (1977).

- ²²B. Barbara, M. F. Rossignol, and P. Bak, J. Phys. C 11, L183 (1978).
- ²³S.-k. Ma, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>37</u>, 461 (1976).

²⁴R. H. Swendsen, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>42</u>, 859 (1979).

²⁵R. H. Swendsen, Phys. Rev. B (to be published).

²⁶H. W. J. Blöte and R. H. Swendsen, Phys. Rev. B (to be published). This paper treats the d=3 Ising model

with essentially the same methods used here. As expected, a second-order fixed point was found.

²⁷B. Nienhuis and M. Nauenberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>35</u>, 477 (1975).

²⁸E. Brézin, D. J. Wallace, and K. G. Wilson, Phys. Phys. B 7, 232 (1973).

²⁹E. Brézin and D. J. Wallace, Phys. Rev. B 7, 1967 (1973).

³⁰D. R. Nelson, Phys. Rev. B 13, 2222 (1976).

³¹W. Klein, D. J. Wallace, and R. K. P. Zia, Phys. Rev. Lett. 37, 639 (1976).

³²It is difficult to obtain accurate data in the metastable region because of the large "almost critical" fluctuations and the tendency to nucleate the competing stable phase within a few hundred MC steps per site. Consequently, our data in this region provide only a gualitative confirmation of the picture we have presented. It is possible that a different MC algorithm that suppresses the nucleation of the stable phase would allow more accurate calculations to be made in the metastable region.

³³The results of standard MC methods have been confirmed by L. Jacobs and C. Rebbi (private communication) using a different algorithm on a $32 \times 32 \times 30$ lattice. They also investigated the first-order nature of the transition by using mixed starting configurations in which half the system was ordered and the other half random.