VOLUME 43, NUMBER 5

fect we have assumed that the average momentum transferred to the nucleus prior to the emission of a 50-MeV α particle is $k_{\gamma} = 70$ MeV/c. The number of nucleons, p, sharing the momentum at the time of α emission was varied to obtain the best fit to the angular distribution data. With use of the experimentally determined slopes for $\sigma(E_{\alpha})$ the fits shown in Fig. 3 are obtained with p = 6. Similar fits can be obtained for $E_{\alpha} = 30$ MeV by varying k_{γ} and p in a qualitatively reasonable way. In view of the fair agreement observed, it seems plausible that the exciton model could describe the α -particle angular distribution.

In conclusion, we have observed a significant preequilibrium component in the (e, α) reaction which may be described by a two-step or fewstep process in which only a few nucleons share the initial excitation energy prior to particle emission.

We are grateful to J. R. Wu and C. C. Chang for providing us with their exciton-model code PREQEC, and for carrying out calculations on our behalf. We wish to thank the U. K. Science Research Council for supporting this work.

^(a)Present address: Radiation Centre, University of Birmingham, P.O. Box 363, Birmingham, U.K. ¹L. Meneghetti and S. Vitale, Nucl. Phys. <u>61</u>, 316 (1965).

³A. G. Flowers *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>40</u>, 709 (1978). ⁴D. Wilmore and P. E. Hodgson, Nucl. Phys. <u>55</u>, 673 (1964).

⁵M. Makowska-Rzeszutko, A. Dubek, and A. Drzymala, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Cracow, Poland, Report No. 735/PL, 1970 (unpublished).

⁶A. Veyssiere *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A159, 561 (1970).

⁷A. Chevarier *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C <u>11</u>, 886 (1975).

⁸J. S. Levinger, Phys. Rev. <u>84</u>, 43 (1951).

⁹E. Gadioli, E. Gadioli Erba, and J. J. Hogan, Phys.

Rev. C <u>16</u>, 1404 (1977).

¹⁰H. Hoffmann, B. Prowe, and H. Ullrick, Nucl. Phys. <u>85</u>, 631 (1966).

¹¹R. Weiner and M. Weström, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>34</u>,

1523 (1975), and Nucl. Phys. A286, 282 (1977).

¹²H. Ho et al., Z. Phys. A <u>283</u>, 235 (1977).

¹³T. Nomura et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>40</u>, 694 (1978).

¹⁴J. R. Wu and C. C. Chang, Phys. Rev. C <u>17</u>, 1540 (1978).

¹⁵A. C. Shotter, J. Phys. G <u>5</u>, 371 (1979).

¹⁶J. J. Murphy, II, D. M. Skopik, and J. Asai, Phys. Rev. C 18, 736 (1978).

¹⁷C. K. Cline and M. Blann, Nucl. Phys. <u>A172</u>, 225 (1971).

¹⁸G. Mantzouranis, D. Agassi, and H. A. Weidenmüller, Phys. Lett. 57B, 220 (1975).

¹⁹G. Mantzouranis, D. Agassi, and H. A. Weidenmüller, Z. Phys. A <u>278</u>, 145 (1976).

²⁰J. M. Akkermans, Phys. Lett. 82B, 20 (1979).

²¹C. Kalbach, private communication.

²²E. Gadioli, private communication.

Magnetic Moments in Calcium Isotopes via a Surface-Interaction Experiment

Y. Niv, M. Hass, A. Zemel, and G. Goldring

Nuclear Physics Department, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel (Received 12 March 1979)

A rotation of the angular correlation of deexcitation γ rays from ⁴⁰Ca and ⁴⁴Ca was observed in a tilted foil geometry. The signs and magnitudes of the magnetic moments of the 2₁⁺ level of ⁴⁴Ca and of the 3₁⁻ level of ⁴⁰Ca were determined to be $g = -0.28 \pm 0.11$ and $g = +0.52 \pm 0.18$, respectively. The experiment demonstrates that polarization of deeply bound electronic configurations can be appreciable and that this technique can be used as a quantitative measure of magnetic moments of picosecond nuclear levels.

The magnetic moments of the 2_1^+ levels of even Ca isotopes have not been measured hitherto, but it is evident that their values and signs can provide significant information regarding the shellmodel structure of low-lying levels in this mass region. We report here the measurement of the magnetic moment of the 2_1^+ level of ⁴⁴Ca. The experiment utilizes the "tilted foil" hyperfine interaction, i.e., the interaction between the nuclear level and a polarized electronic ensemble associated with high-velocity ions emerging from a surface whose normal does not lie along the beam direction.^{1,2} The polarization of electronic configurations manifested in the emittance of circularly polarized light has been extensively studied in the transmission (tilted-foil) geometry³ and in the reflection (grazing-angle) geometry.⁴ For deeply bound atomic levels such polarization can be observed via their hyperfine interaction with the nucleus. A rotation of the angular correlation

²J. J. Murphy, II, H. J. Gehrhardt, and D. M. Skopik, Nucl. Phys. <u>A277</u>, 69 (1977).

VOLUME 43, NUMBER 5

of decay γ rays from an excited nuclear level is a signature of a polarized electronic ensemble and provides a measure of the sign and magnitude of the magnetic moment of this level. The first two experiments utilizing this phenomenon were carried out for the 2_1^+ level of ¹⁸O and 3_1^- level of ¹⁶O, confirming the negative and positive signs, respectively, of the magnetic moments of these levels.^{1,2} In the present experiment, we have extended these measurements to the ⁴⁴Ca and ⁴⁰Ca isotopes. A better understanding of the phenomenology of the polarization in such geometries⁴ makes it possible now to obtain the magnitude of $g(2_1^{+})$ of ⁴⁴Ca by comparison to the known⁵ $g(3_1^{-})$ of ⁴⁰Ca. An independent measurement using the integral perturbation of an unpolarized electronic ensemble was also carried out for the 2_1^+ and $3_1^$ levels of ⁴⁴Ca and ⁴⁰Ca, respectively.

An α beam from the tandem Van de Graaff accelerator at the Weizmann Institute was used to excite the 3_1^- and 2_1^+ levels of 40 Ca and 44 Ca via the (α, α') reaction on isotopically enriched targets. Backscattered α particles were detected in a 100- μ m annular surface-barrier detector. Decay γ rays in coincidence with the inelastic α groups populating either the 3_1^- or the 2_1^+ levels were measured in four movable 12.5-cm×12.5-cm NaI (Tl) counters. Random coincidences were monitored and subsequently subtracted from each γ spectrum. A narrow slit subtending 2° was placed in front of the particle detector, perpendicular to the plane of the γ counters, in order to sharpen the α - γ correlation and to prevent shadowing the particle counter by the target assembly in the tilted position.¹ The targets consisted of ⁴⁰Ca or ⁴⁴Ca evaporated inside the experimental chamber on a 10- $\mu g/cm^2$ carbon foil. Measurements of the excitation function and of the angular correlation were carried out with the target at the same 70° angle to the beam direction subsequently used in the precession experiments. The target thickness at this position was measured by monitoring the positions and widths of the α energy peaks. An effective thickness of $240 \pm 40 \ \mu g/cm^2$ was thus obtained, ensuring that the calcium ions did indeed recoil out of the target and thus experience the full hyperfine interaction in vacuum. The bombardment energies were chosen to maximize the yield and reduce interference from other levels, and were 16.17 MeV for ⁴⁰Ca and 13.10 MeV for ⁴⁴Ca. The recoil velocities of the ⁴⁰Ca and ⁴⁴Ca ions corresponding to these energies are very close and result in similar electronic environments for both isotopes.



FIG. 1. Schematic view of the experimental arrangement. The target is shown in the "right" position. The normal \hat{n} is at $\psi = 70^{\circ}$ to the beam direction and the electronic polarization is in the direction of the +x axis.

The next step in the experiment was the measurement of the angular precession due to tilting of the target at an angle of $\psi = \pm 70^{\circ}$ to the beam direction. The experimental arrangement was essentially similar to that described earlier^{1,2} and is shown in Fig. 1. The γ counters were placed at angles where the logarithmic derivatives $W^{-1}dW/d\theta$ are large. The precession of the angular correlation for ⁴⁰Ca ions recoiling into a solid silver backing was also measured to serve as a check against various systematic errors: for recoil into solid, there should be no effect due to target tilting since the ions stop inside the backing and do not experience the surface interaction. The results of the precession experiments are given in Table I. We define the double ratios $\rho_{ii} = [(W_i^R / W_i^L) (W_i^L / W_i^R)]^{1/2}$ where W_i^R , for example, is the number of counts in detector i=1- 4 with the target tilted in the "right" direction (see Fig. 1). The precession angle $\Delta \psi$ is given by

$$\Delta \varphi = (W^{-1} dW/d\theta)^{-1} (1-\rho)/(1+\rho),$$

where

$$\rho = (\rho_{14} \, \rho_{32})^{1/2}.$$

The absence of a measurable precession in the

(2)

TABLE I.	Summa	try of th	e experimenta	l results.	θ is the	angle o	f the	NaI(Tl)	counters	in the	precession	meas-
urements.	o and Δq	p are de	fined in the tex	t.								

	θ	$\frac{1}{W}\frac{dW}{d\theta}$	ρ ₁₄	ρ_{32}	ρ ₁₃	$ ho_{24}$	ρ	$\Delta arphi$ (mrad)
⁴⁴ Ca (into vacuum)	68°	- 3.53(5)	1.020(12)	1.020(15)	0.984(13)	0.986(15)	1.020(9)	$+2.8 \pm 1.3$
⁴⁰ Ca (into vacuum)	52.5°	-2.06(13)	0.956(12)	0.974(12)	0.985(12)	1.004(12)	0.965(8)	-8.6 ± 1.9
⁴⁰ Ca (into silver)	52.5°	-4.60(20)	1.006(18)	0.992(18)	1.007(18)	1.007(18)	0.999(13)	-0.1 ± 1.3

⁴⁰Ca recoil-into-silver experiment and the values of the cross ratios ρ_{13} and ρ_{42} in all experiments demonstrate the quality of the measurements and the lack of significant systematic errors. The respective signs of $\Delta \varphi$ for ⁴⁰Ca and ⁴⁴Ca directly yield $g({}^{40}Ca, 3_1^{-}) > 0$ (Ref. 6) and $g({}^{44}Ca, 2_1^{+}) < 0$. The determination of the relative signs is model independent and the determination of the individual signs depend only on the well-established sense of polarization in a tilted-foil geometry.

In order to deduce the magnitude of the magnetic moment, one has to utilize information regarding the electronic configurations and polarization of Ca ions recoiling into vacuum at about $v/c \simeq 0.01$ (with charge states 3^+-6^+). The intermediateionization model has been successfully used for various ions and in particular for Ca ions at a close recoil velocity.⁵ For the present experiment, we use a slight modification of this model and assume that Ca ions with charge 10-n ($4 \le n$ ≤ 7) populate only *M*-shell configurations of the type $3s^2p^{n-2}$ and $3s^{1}3p^{n-1}$. The hyperfine magnetic fields at the nucleus are taken from Ref. 5 and the perturbation of the angular correlation is calculated for each allowed *LSJ* term. Various modifications of the intermediate-ionization model have been tried with no significant change in the results presented below.

It can be shown that the perturbed angular distribution (for a single LSJ term) is given by

$$W_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\theta) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} A_{\boldsymbol{k}} \left[G_{\boldsymbol{k}} P_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\theta) + H_{\boldsymbol{k}} P_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{-1}(\theta) \right], \tag{1}$$

where A_k are the unperturbed correlation coefficients and P_k and P_k^1 are the Legendre and associated Legendre polynomials; G_k is the well known integral perturbation attenuation coefficient

$$G_{k} = \frac{1}{2J+1} \sum_{FF'} (2F+1)(2F'+1) \begin{pmatrix} FF'K\\ I & J \end{pmatrix}^{2} \frac{1}{1+(\omega_{FF'},\tau)^{2}};$$

I and *J* are the angular momenta of the nucleus and the electrons, $\overline{F} = \overline{I} + \overline{J}$,

$$\begin{split} \omega_{FF'} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[F(F+1) - F'(F'+1) \right] \tilde{\omega}, \\ \tilde{\omega} &= - \left(g \mu_N / \hbar \right) H(0) a(LSJ), \end{split}$$

a(LSJ) is a geometrical coupling factor, H(0) is the field at the nucleus, and τ is the mean life of the nuclear level; H_k is the coefficient reflecting the presence of atomic polarization and its timeintegral form is given by

$$H_{k} = \frac{3p\cos(\bar{J}, \bar{L})}{k(k+1)\tilde{\omega}\tau[J(J+1)]^{1/2}}(1 - G_{k}), \qquad (3)$$

where

$$\cos(\overline{J}, \overline{L}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{J(J+1) + L(L+1) - S(S+1)}{[J(J+1)L(L+1)]^{1/2}}$$
$$(J, L \neq 0).$$

and $p = \langle L_x \rangle / [L(L+1)]^{1/2}$ $(L \neq 0)$ is the polarization fraction. G_k and H_k have to be averaged over all LSJ terms. The polarization fraction p may be regarded as an average polarization of the electronic ensemble. However, recent experiments and theoretical considerations indicate that p is approximately constant for all electronic terms at a given velocity and tilt angle.⁴ It should be noted that in the limit $\tilde{\omega}\tau \to 0$ one obtains

$$H_k \underbrace{\widetilde{\omega} \tau \to 0}_{\tau \to 0} p \cos(J, L) \widetilde{\omega} \tau [J(J+1)]^{1/2}$$

independent of I and k and resembling a classical precession. In this approximation the perturbed angular distribution (Eq. 1) reduces to

$$W_{p}(\theta) = W_{0}(\theta) \left[1 - W_{0}^{-1} (dW_{0}/d\theta) \Delta \varphi\right],$$

where

$$W_0(\theta) = \sum_k A_k P_k(\theta)$$
 and $\Delta \varphi = H_k \cdot 7$

However, for $\tilde{\omega}\tau \ge 1$ (as in the case for ${}^{40}Ca$), this approximation no longer holds and one has to use the full formalism of Eqs. 1-3.



FIG. 2. The absolute value of the angular precession $\Delta \varphi$ calculated by using the electronic model described in the text and Eqs. 1-3 with a polarization fraction of p = 0.077.

The results of calculations for the 3_1^- and 2_1^+ levels of ⁴⁰Ca and ⁴⁴Ca using the electronic model and Eqs. (1)-(3) are presented in Fig. 2. For ⁴⁰Ca one can use the value for the *g* factor of *g* = 0.55±0.11 (see below) and the mean life⁸ τ = 68 ± 3 ps to obtain $g\tau(^{40}Ca, 3_1^-) = 37.4 \pm 7.7$ ps. The fraction *p* can now be treated as a free parameter and can be deduced from $\Delta\varphi$ to be

$$p = \langle L_x \rangle / [L(L+1)]^{1/2} = 0.077 \pm 0.018$$
.

This value of p in turn leads to $|g\tau|^{(44}Ca, 2_1^+)$ = 1.6±1.0, and with $\tau = 4.2 \pm 0.3$ ps (Ref. 8) we obtain $g^{(44}Ca, 2_1^+) = -0.38 \pm 0.23$. The error includes the statistical errors in the ⁴⁰Ca and ⁴⁴Ca precession measurements as well as the uncertainties in the magnetic moment of the 3⁻ level. As mentioned above, the procedure adopted here is quite insensitive to the particulars of the electronic model employed.

An additional experiment was carried out to determine $|g(^{44}Ca, 2_1^+)|$. The ratio R of counts at $\theta = 45^{\circ}$ to counts at $\theta = 90^{\circ}$ was separately measured for recoil into silver and recoil into vacuum. This ratio is sensitive to the magnitude of the hyperfine interaction and therefore determines the magnetic moment (if one assumes the above electronic model). We obtain $R = 14.60 \pm 1.03$ and $R = 13.06 \pm 0.78$ for the two cases, respectively, yielding $|g| = 0.25 \pm 0.12$. This result is independent of the precession measurement and is in agreement with it. Averaging the two results for ⁴⁴Ca, we obtain $g(^{44}Ca, 2_1^+) = -0.28 \pm 0.11$. Taking the data for the unperturbed and perturbed correlation for ⁴⁰Ca (Table I), we obtain $|g({}^{40}Ca, 3_1^{-})|$ = 0.52 ± 0.18 , in good agreement with the value g $= 0.56 \pm 0.13$ of Ref. 6. The value $g = 0.55 \pm 0.11$



FIG. 3. g factors of levels in Ca isotopes beyond 40 Ca. The 44 Ca result is from the present experiment; the other results are from Ref. 9.

used above for the determination of $g(^{44}\text{Ca}, 2_1^+)$ is the average of these two results.

Negative g factors of nuclear 2⁺ levels are rare and can, in fact, be expected only in quite pure shell-model configurations of neutrons in stretched angular momentum states $(p_{3/2}, d_{5/2}, f_{7/2}, \text{etc.})$; even small admixtures will, in general, increase appreciably the algebraic value of the g factor. In the calcium nuclei beyond ⁴⁰Ca, $f_{7/2}$ neutrons are predominant, and the negative value of $g(^{44}Ca, 2_1^+)$ indicates that the $f_{7/2}^4$ configuration is quite pure in this case.

It is interesting to compare the measured value of $g({}^{44}Ca, 2_1^{+})$ to other g factors of low-lying levels in Ca isotopes beyond ${}^{40}Ca$. The g factors of the $\frac{\tau}{2}^{-}$ ground states of ${}^{41}Ca$ and ${}^{43}Ca$ were measured to be g = -0.456 and $g = -0.380.^9$ The g factor of the 6_1^{+} level of ${}^{42}Ca$ was measured to be g $= -0.415 \pm 0.015.^9$ Our result agrees with the general trend (cf. Fig. 3) of negative g factors of monotonically decreasing magnitude, indicating enhanced importance of small admixtures of configurations other than $f_{\tau/2}$ for the low-lying levels in the heavier Ca isotopes.

The polarization fraction of p = 0.08 is quite appreciable and can be comparable to the effects observed in atomic experiments for outer configurations. Comparison between polarizations for outer configurations (at low velocity) and for inner configurations (at high velocity) should provide information regarding the surface-interaction mechanism. The tilted-foil method can become a powerful *quantitative* technique for *measuring signs and magnitudes* of magnetic moments of picosecond nuclear levels.

We would like to thank M. B. Goldberg and

VOLUME 43, NUMBER 5

R. Levy for their help in part of the experimental work and N. Benczer-Koller for helpful discussions. The help of Eng. B. Feldman, L. Sapir, Y. Yurman, and their colleagues with the technical aspects of this work is gratefully acknowledged. This work was supported, in part, by the Israel-United States Binational Science Foundation.

¹G. Goldring, Y. Niv, Y. Wolfson, and A. Zemel, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>38</u>, 221 (1977).

²M. Hass, J. M. Brennan, H. T. King, T. K. Saylor, and R. Kalish, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 218 (1977).

³H. G. Berry, L. J. Curtis, and R. M. Schectman, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>34</u>, 509 (1975).

⁴H. J. Andrä and H. Winther, Hyperfine Interact. <u>5</u>,

403 (1978), and references therein.

⁵H. C. Jain, A. Little, S. M. Lazarus, T. K. Saylor, B. B. Triplett, and S. S. Hanna, Phys. Rev. C <u>14</u>, 2013 (1976); A. Little, Ph.D. thesis, Stanford University, 1976 (unpublished).

⁶The sign and magnitude of the g factor of the $3_1^$ level has been previously measured: $g = +0.83 \pm 0.76$; R. Hensler, J. W. Tape, J. Matthews, N. Benczer-Kol ler, and J. R. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. C <u>10</u>, 919 (1974)

⁷The difference between the expression for $\Delta \varphi$ here and in Eq. (1) of Ref. 2 is due to different definitions of H(0).

⁸P. M. Endt and C. Van Der Leun, Nucl. Phys. <u>A310</u>, 1 (1978).

⁹U. S. Shirely and C. M. Lederer, in *Hyperfine Interactions Studied in Nuclear Reactions and Decay*, edited by E. Karlson and W. Wäppling (Almquist and Wiksells, Stockholm, 1975).

Investigation of Noncentral Proton-Proton Interaction at Low Energy

G. Bittner and W. Kretschmer

Tandemlabor der Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Erlangen, Germany

(Received 26 March 1979)

The analyzing power of proton-proton scattering has been measured at 6.14 MeV in the angular range $7.5 \le \theta_{1ab} \le 20^\circ$ with an accuracy of $\pm 3 \times 10^{-4}$. Phase shifts are deduced from an analysis of the cross-section and polarization data. The spin-orbit and tensor *P*-wave phase-shift combinations are determined in a model-independent way to be $\Delta_{LS} = 0.139^\circ \pm 0.31^\circ$ and $\Delta_T = -0.488^\circ \pm 0.023^\circ$.

The study of the proton-proton interaction is one of the most fundamental problems in nuclear physics. In the low-energy region ($E_{p} \leq 10 \text{ MeV}$), where the S-wave scattering is dominant, most efforts have been made to measure the differential cross section with high accuracy.¹⁻⁶ In this energy region the P-wave contribution to protonproton scattering is small and comes mainly from the interference of Coulomb and nuclear amplitudes. It has been shown by Sher, Signell, and Heller⁷ that the S-wave phase shift and the central *P*-wave phase-shift combination Δ_c $=\frac{1}{9}\left[\delta(^{3}P_{0})+3\delta(^{3}P_{1})+5\delta(^{3}P_{2})\right]$ can be extracted from low-energy differential-cross-section data. For a determination of the noncentral *P*-wave phase-shift combinations $\Delta_T = \frac{5}{72} \left[-2\delta({}^3P_0) + 3\delta({}^3P_1) \right]$ $-\delta({}^{3}P_{2})$] and $\Delta_{LS} = \frac{1}{12} \left[-2\delta({}^{3}P_{0}) - 3\delta({}^{3}P_{1}) + 5\delta({}^{3}P_{2})\right],$ additional polarization measurements are necessary. Recent high-precision analyzing-power measurements have been performed at 10 MeV (Ref. 8) and 16 MeV.⁹ It was the aim of the present investigation to continue these measurements to lower energies and to extract the P-wave splitting and hence the noncentral *P*-wave phaseshift combinations Δ_T and Δ_{LS} in an unambiguous way. In this Letter we present an analyzingpower measurement at 6.14 MeV accurate to $\pm 3 \times 10^{-4}$.

The experiment was performed with the polarized proton beam of the Universität Erlangen Lamb-shift source and the 6-MV model EN tandem accelerator. The beam polarization (60-65%) was monitored continuously with a ⁴He polarimeter mounted behind the Faraday cup. Since a measurement at extreme forward angles was in-

TABLE I. Experimental values of the analyzing power for proton-proton scattering at 6.141 MeV.

$\theta_{\rm c.m.}$ (deg)	$10^4 A(\theta)$
15 20 25 30 35 40	$\begin{array}{r} -5.0 \pm 4.0 \\ -9.1 \pm 2.9 \\ -9.1 \pm 2.7 \\ -9.4 \pm 3.3 \\ -6.4 \pm 2.8 \\ -3.3 \pm 3.6 \end{array}$