ERRATA

RAMAN SCATTERING MEASUREMENTS OF THE $E_{\rm g}$ OPTICAL PHONON IN V₃Si. H. Wipf, Miles V. Klein, B. S. Chandrasekhar, T. H. Geballe, and J. H. Wernick [Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>41</u>, 1752 (1978)].

On page 1753, second column, the nineteenth line from the bottom, the dimension of the illuminated area was $1.5 \times 0.5 \text{ mm}^2$ rather than $0.15 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}^2$ as stated in the article. The estimated upper limit of the temperature rise produced by the laser beam, namely 30 K, was made with use of the correct value for the illuminated area.

ABSENCE OF SUBSTRATE NUCLEATION FOR bcc SOLID ³He ON GRAFOIL. J. Landau and Y. Eckstein [Phys. Rev. Lett. 42, 67 (1979)].

We make the following comment and add a reference in order to clarify the source of some experimental data displayed in Fig. 1 and discussed in the last paragraph on page 68:

The liquid ³He molar volumes at the melting curve were determined by Trickey, Kirk, and Adams¹⁴ by reanalyzing the measurements of R. A. Scribner, M. F. Panczyk, and E. D. Adams, J. Low Temp. Phys. <u>1</u>, 313 (1969), together with those of Grilly.¹⁶ PARITY OF BOUND J = 1 LEVELS IN ²⁰⁸Pb. A. M. Nathan, R. Starr, R. M. Laszewski, and P. Axel [Phys. Rev. Lett. 42, 221 (1979)].

In the byline address the third author's name was spelled incorrectly. It should read Laszewski (*not* Laszewki).

LIGHT SCATTERING FROM NONEQUILIBRIUM STATIONARY STATES: THE IMPLICATION OF BROKEN TIME-REVERSAL SYMMETRY. Itamar Procaccia, David Ronis, and Irwin Oppenheim [Phys. Rev. Lett. 42, 287 (1979)].

In Eq. (8) the integrand should read

$$\langle N_{\vec{k}\,\omega}N_{-\vec{k}}\hat{I}_{E,T}(-\tau)\rangle.$$

In Eq. (18), the second term in square brackets should read

$$\left[\frac{1+\epsilon(\mathbf{r})}{(\omega-kC_0)^2+(\Gamma_sk^2)^2}+\frac{1-\epsilon(\mathbf{r})}{(\omega+kC_0)^2+(\Gamma_sk^2)^2}\right].$$

In the second column on page 289, in the seventh line from the bottom and on the last line, replace "From Eq. (19)" by "From Eq. (18)."

The width of the peak in Fig. 1 should be 1100 \sec^{-1} and not 100 \sec^{-1} .