⁵S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>19</u>, 1264 (1967); A. Salam, in *Elementary Particle Theory*, edited by N. Svartholm (Almquist and Wiksell, Stockholm, 1969), p. 367; S. L. Glashow, J. Iliopoulos, and L. Maiani, Phys. Rev. D <u>2</u>, 1285 (1970).

⁶L. Lewis *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>34</u>, 795 (1977); P. Baird *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>39</u>, 798 (1977); L. M. Barkov, in Proceedings of the Nineteenth International Conference on High Energy Physics, Tokyo, Japan, August 1978 (to be published). The result of the recent Oxford experiment quoted here only includes statistical errors, with the possibility of instrumental errors being as large as the quoted result.

⁷L. M. Barkov and M. Zolotorev, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. <u>27</u>, 379 (1978) [JETP Lett. <u>27</u>, 357 (1978)].

⁸J. D. Bjorken, Phys. Rev. D <u>18</u>, 3239 (1978).

⁹H. Georgi and S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D 17, 275 (1978).

¹⁰Many earlier works use this model. For example, H. Fritzsch and P. Minkowski, Nucl. Phys. <u>B103</u>, (1976); E. Ma, Phys. Lett. <u>65B</u>, 468 (1976). Recent ν -hadron and e-d data from Stanford Linear Accelerator Center rule them out, however.

¹¹We use notation of Ref. 8 for the coupling constants.

¹²See, for example, J. J. Sakurai, in Proceedings of the Topical Conference on Neutrino Physics at Accelerators, Oxford, 1978 (to be published), and University of California at Los Angles Report No. UCLA/78/TEP/18 (to be published).

¹³R. N. Cahn and F. J. Gilman, Phys. Rev. D 17, 1313 (1978).

¹⁴G. G. Ross and T. Weiler, California Institute of Technology Report No. CALT-68-620 (unpublished).

¹⁵E. Ma, A. Pramudita, and S. F. Tuan, University of Hawaii Report No. UH-511-296-78, 1978 (unpublished).

Yrast Isomers and Possible Oblate Shape in ¹⁵²Dy

J. C. Merdinger, F. A. Beck, T. Byrski, C. Gehringer, and J. P. Vivien Centre de Recherches Nucléaires et Université Louis Pasteur, 67037 Strasbourg, France

and

E. Bozek and J. Styczen Institute of Nuclear Physics, Cracow, Poland (Received 28 September 1978)

Excitation energies, spins, and parities have been determined for 152 Dy in (HI, xn) reactions up to $I^{\pi} = 27^{+}$. Three isomers with $T_{1/2} = 49.5$ ns ($E_x = 5035$ keV, $I^{\pi} = 16^{+}$), 9.9 ns ($E_x = 6076$ keV, $I^{\pi} = 20^{-}$), and 1.6 ns ($E_x = 7828$ keV, $I^{\pi} = 26^{-}$) have been found. The *g* factor of the second isomeric state was measured to be $g = 0.55 \pm 0.06$. The present experimental data compares well with microscopic calculations which imply an oblate shape for 152 Dy at high angular momenta ($I^{\pi \ge 16^{+}}$).

Recently, a great deal of theoretical work has been devoted to the study of yrast traps occuring at high and very high spins. Systematic experimental search for delayed γ -ray cascades has shown the existence of high-spin isomers¹ belonging to nuclei situated around the neutron number N = 82. Theoretical calculations²⁻⁴ have pointed to this region of isotopes as being especially favorable for the occurrence of yrast traps based on the oblate-coupling scheme. However, some isomers can be explained as shell-model isomeric states.³⁻⁶ Detailed spectroscopic work on the high-spin isomers is therefore essential for a better comparison with the calculations. In this Letter we report on the existence of three highspin isomeric states in ¹⁵²Dy. Their spins, parities, lifetimes, and decay properties have been established by γ -ray spectroscopic methods. The *g* factor of the second isomeric state ($E_x = 6076$ keV) has also been determined. The investigation of the nucleus ${}^{152}_{66}$ Dy₈₆ by Jansen *et al.*⁷ has already shown the existence of an isomer of $T_{1/2}$ ≈ 60 ns at $E_x \approx 5$ MeV with $15 \leq I \leq 18$. Theoretical calculations of Cerkaski *et al.*³ predict at least three yrast traps in this nucleus.

The nuclide ¹⁵²Dy has been produced at high angular momenta by means of the heavy-ion reactions ¹⁴⁰Ce(¹⁶O, 4*n*)¹⁵²Dy ($E_{16_{\rm O}}$ = 88 MeV) and ¹⁴¹Pr-(¹⁵N, 4*n*)¹⁵²Dy ($E_{15_{\rm N}}$ = 80 MeV) at the Strasbourg MP accelerator. In-beam γ -spectroscopic experiments were performed using a variety of Ge(intrinsic), Ge(Li), and Si(Li) spectrometers, VOLUME 42, NUMBER 1

to measure γ -ray excitation function and γ - γ and $n-\gamma$ coincidences (prompt and delayed). γ -ray angular distributions concurrent to γ -ray linear polarizations were measured using a three-Ge(Li) Compton polarimeter described elsewhere.⁸ A simultaneous fit of the A_2 and A_4 angular distribution coefficients and of the linear polarization puniquely determined the angular momentum change ΔI , the multipolarity λ , and the electric or magnetic character for most of the transitions. In order to reduce hyperfine-interaction effects on the angular-distribution and linear-polarization data due to the existence of long-lived states in 152 Dy, the targets were evaporated on thick lead backings and heated to 260°C. The observed level scheme is shown in Fig. 1. Up to the level at $E_x = 5035$ keV the present measurements confirm essentially the level sequence reported previously⁷ with the $(\alpha, 6n)$ reaction. With the assumption of stretched cascades, spin and parity assignments given in Fig. 1 were obtained (or confirmed) from our data. For the 5035-keV state the $I^{\pi} = 16^+$ value is based on our present results combined with the electron-conversion measurements from Ref. 7. No evidence was found in γ - γ coincidences done with Ge(intrinsic) and Si(Li) detectors for the presence of a low-energy transition feeding the 5053-keV level as



FIG. 1. Experimental level spectrum.

proposed in Ref. 7. Furthermore, the $n-\gamma(t)$ spectrum for the 605-keV transition did not show any prompt component (Fig. 2). Above the 5035keV level a new cascade of eight transitions was identified. The experimental γ -ray angular distribution coefficients and γ -ray linear polarizations as well as the deduced multipolarities are reported for these transitions in Table I. To overcome the difficulties met in preliminary results⁹ of extracting yields of the 254- and 255-keV lines in the presence of a strong 257-keV radioactivity γ -ray line, the angular distributions and linear polarizations of the former lines were remeasured with a prompt window (~15 ns) set on the pulsed-beam- γ -ray time spectrum.

The search for lifetimes in the nanosecond range was undertaken using the delayed-coincidence method as well as the recoil-distance Doppler-shift method. Neutron- γ and γ - γ time-delayed coincidence spectra were recorded using a $12\!\times\!5\text{-cm}$ NE 213 scintillation counter, allowing $n-\gamma$ discrimination, and a Ge(Li) spectrometer. The half-life of the 5035-keV level, determined from a least-squares fit to the shape of the delayed curve, is $T_{1/2} = 49.5 \pm 1.4$ ns (Fig. 2, upper part). From the time distributions for the 262keV γ -ray transition (Fig. 2, lower part) and for the 525-keV transition, a value $T_{1/2} = 9.9 \pm 0.6$ ns was obtained for the half-life of the 6076-keV level. No differences, as compared to the resolution curves of the system, were observed in the time spectra of the transitions lying above this state. The recoil-distance Doppler-shift tech-



FIG. 2. Time spectra obtained in $n-\gamma$ coincidences.

$\frac{E_{i}-E_{f}}{(\text{keV})}$	<i>Ε</i> γ (keV)	7 a	A_2	A_4	P ^b	Multi– polarity
		γ				
5289-5035	253.6(2)	47(1)	-0.270(15) ^c	0.010(17) ^c	-0.18(7) ^c	M1 + E2
5814-5289	525.2(2)	40(1)	-0.078(14)	-0.009(20)	0.10(4)	E 1
6076-5814	262,3(2)	29(1)	0.142(17)	-0.005(20)	0.24(7)	E2
7067-6076	990,7(2)	27(1)	0.281(38)	0.016(37)	0.31(9)	E2
7608-7067	541.2(2)	23(1)	0.295(17)	-0.049(27)	0.51(11)	E2
7828-7608	220,6(2)	18(1)	0.358(22)	-0.093(23)	0.88(19)	E2
8795-7828	967.0(2)	13(1)	-0.224(44)	0.024(47)	0.32(14)	E1
9197-8795	402.3(3)	6 (2)				

TABLE I. Experimental results for the transitions decaying from the high-spin levels.

^aNormalized to the 613.8-keV transition.

^bThe polarization factor p is defined as $(N_{\perp} - N_{\parallel})/(N_{\perp} + N_{\parallel})Q$. See Ref. 8.

^cMeasurement done with a prompt window (~15 ns) set on the pulsed- γ -ray time spectrum.

nique was then used to investigate the lifetimes of the higher-lying levels. The nuclei recoiling from a self-supporting 1.2 mg/cm^2 Pr target and the beam itself were stopped in a thick Pb stopper. γ rays were detected in Ge(Li) counters placed at 30° and 150° with respect to the beam direction. The intensities of the Doppler-shifted (I_s) and unshifted $(I_o) \gamma$ -ray peaks were analyzed as a function of plunger-target distance. The ratios $I_0/(I_0 + I_s)$ for the 221-, 541-, and 991-keV γ rays show quantitatively the same variation. A mean value of 1.6 ± 0.4 ns was obtained for the half-life of the 7828-keV level. The half-life of the 8795-keV level is much shorter $(T_{1/2} < 0.2 \text{ ns})$ since the 967-keV γ ray showed no unshifted peak in the shortest-distance spectrum. The g factors of the two isomeric levels at 5035 and 6076 keV have been studied with the time-differential perturbed angular correlation technique using an oxygen pulsed beam (pulse width 5 ns, burst intervals 200 ns). Enriched targets of ¹⁴⁰Ce oxide of 1 mg/cm^2 were evaporated onto 0.1-mm lead foils. Lead was chosen as an appropriate cubic stopping material. The external magnetic field (H = 9 kOe) was applied perpendicularly to the detection plane and reversed every minute. Beambending effects were minimized by means of a magnetic shielding tube set along the beam axis. The γ radiations were detected in a 10-cm³ planar Ge(intrinsic) counter fixed at $\theta = -45^{\circ}$ with respect to the beam direction. For the isomeric level $E_x = 6076$ keV ($T_{1/2} = 9.9$ ns), gating transitions of 262 and 525 keV have been used. Time spectra for the two transitions were combined taking into account the fact that their A_2 coefficients have opposite signs. A least-squares fit

of the resultant curve (Fig. 3) gives the Larmor frequency $\omega_{\rm L} = (8.88 \pm 0.53) \times 10^{-7}$ rad s⁻¹. Assuming that the implanted dysprosium atoms are most likely in a 3⁺ charge state, the paramagnetic factor has been evaluated¹⁰ to be $\beta = 3.75$ for a 260°C target temperature. Crystal-field effects on β were not taken into account. The final value deduced for the g factor is $g = +0.55 \pm 0.06$. Because of loss of alignment it was not possible to determine the value of the g factor of the $T_{1/2} = 49.6$ ns isomeric level at 5035 keV.

The states below $I^{\pi} = 16^+$ in ¹⁵²Dy could be explained by rotational-vibrational coupling^{11, 12} and by two- or more-quasiparticle excitation modes assuming a small prolate shape¹³ ($\beta = 0.05$). In particular, the sequence of negative-parity



FIG. 3. Experimental time-differential perturbed angular distribution spectrum and least-squares-fitted curve.

states established in the present work can be decoupled into two bands as in ¹⁵⁰Gd: one of vibrational character ranging up to the $I^{\pi} = 9^{-}$ state and the other built on a two-quasiparticle $I^{\pi} = 11^{-1}$ state. The behavior of ¹⁵²Dy at large angular momenta $I \ge 16$, i.e., the presence of the yrast isomers and irregularities in the yrast line, shows that high-spin states are built in a noncollective way. It can be interpreted as a consequence of a change in the nuclear coupling scheme from one typical of collective nature to one characteristic of the single-particle type of motion. The observed ¹⁵²Dy level structure is expected in either a spherical or a deformed nucleus (prolate or oblate) spinning around its symmetry axis. The Hartree-Fock-Bogoljubov (HFB) calculation performed by Ploszajczak and Faessler¹³ leads to good agreement with the experimental data with the deformation $\beta = -0.06$ to -0.10 for the states $I = (16-30)\hbar$. The Strutinsky-type calculations with either the Woods-Saxon or Nilsson potential^{3, 14} suggest $\beta = -0.16$ for these states. The isomerism of the 16⁺ state can be understood from the shape change of the nucleus and also by the fact that this state has the lowest spin and excitation of the two-quasiproton, two-quasineutron states considered at the yrast line. Since the calculations lead to definite predictions for the configurations of the yrast isomers, the magnetic moments may provide a check of these configurations. It seems that the 20⁻ state observed experimentally may correspond to one of the following configurations (Ref. 13):

$$\begin{aligned} &(\pi h_{11/2})^2 \otimes (\nu i_{13/2}, \frac{13}{2}^+) \otimes (\nu f_{7/2}, \frac{7}{2}^-), \ g = 0.41; \\ &(\pi h_{11/2})^2 \otimes (\nu i_{13/2}, \frac{11}{2}^+) \otimes (\nu h_{9/2}, \frac{9}{2}^-), \ g = 0.68. \end{aligned}$$

If a pure HFB configuration is assumed for this state the *g*-factor value g=0.41 will differ from the experimental one ($g=0.55\pm0.06$), but a 30% admixture of the configuration with g=0.68 is sufficient to bring the calculated value within the experimental error bars. The 26⁻ state may be identified with the calculated one which contains more complicated 6- and 8-quasiparticle com-

ponents. In conclusion it should be pointed out that all calculations now available^{3, 13, 14} explain high-spin isomers in ¹⁵²Dy on the basis of an oblate deformation at large angular momenta.

The authors are greatly indebted to Dr. Ploszajczak for stimulating discussions and communication of theoretical calculations prior to publication.

¹J. Pedersen, B. B. Back, F. M. Bernthal, S. Bjørnholm, J. Borggreen, O. Christensen, F. Folkmann, B. Herskind, T. L. Khoo, M. Neiman, F. Pühlhofer, and C. Sletten, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>39</u>, 990 (1977).

²T. Døssing, K. Neergård, K. Matsuyanagi, and Hsi-Chen Chang, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>39</u>, 1395 (1977).

³M. Cerkaski, J. Dudek, Z. Szymanski, C. G. Andersson, G. Leander, S. Äberg, S. G. Nilsson, and I. Ragnarsson, Phys. Lett. <u>70B</u>, 9 (1977).

⁴M. Ploszajczak, A. Faessler, G. Leander, and S. G. Nilsson, Nucl. Phys. <u>A301</u>, 477 (1978).

⁵D. Horn, O. Häusser, T. Faestermann, A. B. Mc-Donald, T. K. Alexander, and J. R. Beene, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>39</u>, 389 (1977).

⁶R. Broda, M. Ogawa, S. Lunardi, M. R. Maier, P. J. Daly, and P. Kleinheinz, Z. Phys. A <u>285</u>, 423 (1978).

⁷J. F. W. Jansen, Z. Sujkowski, D. Chmielewska, and R. J. de Meijer, in Proceedings of International Conference on Nuclei Far from Stability, Cargese, 1976, CERN Report No. CERN 76-13 (unpublished), p. 415.

⁸F. A. Beck, T. Byrski, A. Knipper, and J. P. Vivien, Phys. Rev. C <u>13</u>, 1792 (1976).

⁹F. A. Beck, E. Bozek, T. Byrski, C. Gehringer, J. C. Merdinger, J. Styczen, and J. P. Vivien, in Proceedings of the International Conference on Nuclear Physics, Canberra, Australia, September 1978 (unpublished).

¹⁰C. Günther and I. Lindgren, in *Perturbed Angular Correlation*, edited by E. Karlsson, E. Matthias, and K. Siegbahn (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1964).

¹¹P. Vogel, Phys. Lett. <u>60B</u>, 431 (1971).

 $^{12}\mathrm{M}.$ Ploszajczak and A. Faessler, Z. Phys. A <u>283</u>, 349 (1977).

¹³M. Ploszajczak and A. Faessler, private communication (to be published).

¹⁴M. Cerkaski, J. Dudek, P. Rozmej, and Z. Szymanski, private communication (to be published).



FIG. 2. Time spectra obtained in $n-\gamma$ coincidences.