Inclusive K_S^0 and Λ Electroproduction

I. Cohen. R. Erickson, F. Messing, ^(a) E. Nordberg, R. Siemann, J. Smith-Kintner, and P. Stein Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853

and

G. Drews, W. Gebert, P. Joos, A. Ladage, H. Nagel, ^(b) and P. Soding Institut für Experimentalphysik der Universität Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany, and DESY, Hamburg, Germany

and

A. Sadoff Ithaca College, Ithaca, New York 14850 (Received 7 April 1978)

Cross sections for inclusive electroproduction of K_s^{0} °s and Λ 's have been measured. The K_s^0 results are combined with data from electron-positron annihilation and charged K electroproduction to give information on quark fragmentation into strange particles. The longitudinal- and transverse-momentum distributions for Λ 's are compared with those of electroproduced protons.

Deep-inelastic electron-proton scattering is commonly interpreted as the scattering of virtual photons of mass $-Q^2$ and energy ν from the constituents of the proton, the quarks. Within this context, the forward-going hadrons are viewed as the fragments of the quark struck by the virtual photon. This approach has been successful in relating the pion yields in electroproduction with those in neutrino scattering and electronpositron annihilation.^{1,2} Tests of the quark fragmentation picture down to and even below, the Q^2 and ν ranges of this experiment have been surprisingly successful.¹⁻³ Measurements of the electroproduction of K_s^0 's can add considerably to our knowledge. In particular, when they are combined with other kaon production data, information can be obtained about the breaking of $SU(3)$ in the formation of new quark-antiquark pairs.

The pictures which have been developed for the target fragments, hadrons from the remaining two-quark system, have been less specific. Measurements of proton and Λ electroproduction give information about these fragments, and together they allow study of systematic trends.

In this Letter we report the first measurements of Λ and K_s^0 electroproduction. The experiment was performed in an 11.5-GeV electron beam at the Wilson Synchrotron Laboratory at Cornell University. The beam was incident on a 9-cmlong by 1.5-cm-diam liquid hydrogen target located inside a streamer chamber which provided charged-particle detection over nearly the full 4π solid angle. The chamber had a sensitive volume of $100 \times 60 \times 45$ cm³ and was placed in a homo-

geneous magnetic field of 1.65 T. Scattered electrons above 2.5 GeV in momentum were detected and identified with shower counters (14 radiation lengths) and counter hodoscopes placed behind the streamer chamber on both sides of the beam (Fig. 1). The electron detection and identification efficiency rose from approximately 70% at 2.5 GeV to 85% above 5 GeV. Events triggered by a π ⁻ which appeared to be an electron constituted approximately 5% of the data at 2.5 GeV and less than 1% at greater than 4 GeV. Proportional chambers in the trigger arms were used to improve the momentum and angular resolutions of the scattered electron and forward hadrons.

A total of 130 000 inelastic-electron-scattering events were observed in the kinematic region 0.5 $\leq Q^2 \leq 6$ GeV² and center-of-mass energy, W, up

FIG. 1. The experimental apparatus. The event trigger was a coincidence between the hodoscopes and shower counters in one of the trigger arms. The TAG counters vetoed events produced by the electron radiating a high-energy γ ray in the target.

to 4.2 GeV. Events were measured either manually or with a flying-spot digitizer and reconstructed using the CERN bubble chamber program THRESH. The results in this Letter come from 60% of the total data sample, most of which was scanned in a special scan in which events with a neutral V were selected. The electron acceptance of this special scan and the random scanning efficiency for events with neutral V 's (0.80 ± 0.04) were determined using several independent scans. The total inelastic-electron- scattering cross section determined from our data agees with that measured in single-arm experiments. '

The major sources of neutral V 's in our experi-The major sources of neutral V is in our experient were Λ and K_s^0 decays and photon conversion. Any neutral V with an invariant mass of less than 90 MeV when the prongs were assumed to be an electron and positron was considered a photon. Study of the data showed that $(7 \pm 1)\%$ of the Λ 's and $(2 \pm 1)\%$ of the K_s^0 's were lost due to misidentification as photons.

Once the photons were eliminated, Λ 's and K_s^0 's were identified on the basis of the invariant masses of the decay products. Approximately 35% of the Λ 's were ambiguous with K_s^0 's. Phasespace calculations showed that such ambiguities are much more probable for true Λ 's than for true K_s ^o's. This was verified experimentally by assigning \bar{p} and π^+ masses to the decay products of possible \mathbf{K}_s° 's. If we assume $\overline{\Lambda}$ production to be negligible, the number of such decays identified as $\overline{\Lambda}$'s measures the number of K_s^0 's also identified as Λ 's. This number was found to be small, and consequently all of the ambiguous events were identified as Λ 's. This procedure leads to a 3% contamination of the Λ sample with K_s^{0} 's and a (6 ± 2) % loss of K_s^{0} 's.

The largest single correction to the data was for undetected decays. Decays occurring in the target or its surrounding vacuum vessel, or in the beam tube downstream of the target, were not observed. In addition, the region close to the target was often obscured by δ electrons. Using the measured positions of the interaction and decay vertices, the probability of a decay in the obscured region was calculated, and events were weighted according to this probability. The resultant correction, typically a factor of 3, was momentum dependent.

Additional small corrections, $(7 \pm 3)\%$, were made for losses in the data reduction chain, and the cross sections were also corrected for decay modes into neutral particles. We estimate the overall uncertainty in our normalization to be

 $\pm 15\%$, this error being mainly systematic.

In Fig. 2(a) we present $(1/\sigma)d\sigma/dz$ [$z = E(K_s)/\nu$] In Fig. 2(a) we present $(1/\sigma)a\sigma/az$ $(z = E(\kappa_s)/\sigma b\sigma K_s^0)$ electroproduction. The mean values of Q^2 , W, and x (= $Q^2/2M\nu$) are 1.45 GeV², 3.15 GeV, and 0.14, respectively. For comparison we show and 0.14, respectively. For comparison we shot
the data of Burmester *et al*.⁵ and Luth *et al.*⁶ for $K_{\rm e}^{0}$ production in electron-positron annihilation. The results of Bef. 6 include data above the charm threshold, while the data of Ref. 5 are below this threshold. The large difference between the electroproduction and annihilation cross sections is unexpected in the quark fragmenation model if current parametrizations of the fragmodel if current parametrizations of the frag
menation functions are used.^{7,8} For example using the Field and Feynman⁷ fragmentation functions to calculate the integral of $(1/\sigma)d\sigma/dz$ for $z > 0.3$ gives 0.11 for annihilation and 0.046 for electroproduction. The measurements give 0.081 $\pm 0.019^5$ and 0.013 ± 0.002 , respectively.

To explain both our results and the storage ring data, the fragmentation of u quarks into K_s^0 's mu st be much less probable than the fragmentation of s quarks into K_s^{0} 's. There are six independent fragmentation functions for quarks into K mesons⁷; however, K_s^0 production in both annihilation and electron scattering depends on only three combinations of these six functions. These combinations, which by convention are defined for charged- K production,⁷ are $K_d = D_u^{K^0} + D_u^{K^0}$ + $D_s^{\kappa 0}$, where we denote the fragmentation func-

FIG. 2. (a) Comparison of the z distributions of K_s^{0} 's produced in electron scattering and electron-positron annihilation. The Burmester et al. data are at a centerof-mass energy, \sqrt{s} , of 3.6 GeV, and the Luth et al. data cover a range in \sqrt{s} of 3.4 to 4.0 GeV. The electron scattering data are for $Q^2 > 0.5$ GeV² and $\nu > 3.5$ GeV. The lines show the results of the fragmentation function calculation. {b) Quark fragmentation functions for K^0 and \bar{K}^0 determined in this work compared with those of Field and Feynman shown by the curves.

tion for quark q to fragment into hadron h as D_a^h . These three combinations are also sufficient to describe the sum of K^+ plus K^- production in annihilation and in electron scattering. We have combined our data with annihilation data^{5,6,9} and charged-K electroproduction data¹⁰ to calculat
K-meson fragmentation functions.¹¹ The resul K -meson fragmentation functions.¹¹ The result are shown in Fig. 2(b) where they are compared with the Field and Feynman functions. The ratio of K_u to D_d ^{π +} + D_d ^{π} is a measure of the probability of producing an \bar{s} pair versus a $u\bar{u}$ pair in the quark jet cascade. Using the results of the calculation described above, we find this ratio to be 0.13 ± 0.03 for $z > 0.3$, indicating considerably stronger $SU(3)$ breaking than expected.⁷

The transver se-momentum distribution for The transverse-momentum distribution for

electroproduced $K_s^{0.5}$ (Fig. 3) is similar to that

for other electroproduced hadrons.¹² It is expo for other electroproduced hadrons.¹² It is exponential in P_{\perp}^2 with a slope corresponding to an average transverse momentum of 0.43 ± 0.03 GeV. The z dependence of the average transverse momentum (Fig. 3) is consistent with that observed for charged hadrons.

In Fig. 4 we present the longitudinal- and transverse-momentum distributions for Λ electroproduction. The quantities plotted are the integrals of the invariant structure function

$$
F(x_F, P_\perp^2) = \frac{E^*}{P_{\text{max}}} \frac{1}{\pi \sigma} \frac{d^2 \sigma}{dx_F dP_\perp^2} ,
$$

wbere the asterisk denotes center-of-mass quantities and $x_F = P_{\parallel}*/P_{\parallel}$ *. As expected, most Λ 's are produced in the target fragmentation region.

FIG. 3. Transverse-momentum dependence for e1ectroproduced K_s^{0} 's. The inset shows the average transverse momentum vs z.

Figure 4(a) shows that the Λ structure function is qualitatively similar to the proton electroproduction structure function¹³; some of the discrepancy at negative x_F can be attributed to uncertainties in the proton data.

In the quark fragmentation picture, the quark struck by the virtual photon fragments into hadrons by producing quark-antiquark pairs from the vacuum. One end of this quark-antiquark chain combines with the two-quark system left behind in the original interaction, thus producing the final-state baryon. In our x range it is a reasonable approximation that the two-quark system is either a ud or a uu pair. By considering the branching ratios of the low-mass baryons which could be formed when such pairs combine with a, u, d , or s quark, we estimate that the ratio of the probabilities of combining with an s versus a u quark is 1.5 ± 0.2 times the Λ -to-proton ratio. The result for the ratio of probabilities is 0.15 ± 0.03 , consistent with the SU(3) breaking deduced from the meson fragmentation functions.

The transver se-momentum distribution for electroproduced Λ 's is exponential in P_{\perp}^2 with a slope of 4.2 ± 0.3 GeV⁻². This slope is in good agreement with the measured slope for electroagreement with the measured slope for electro-
produced protons,¹⁴ and we see no variation with Q^2 or x_F . We conclude that the momentum distributions of the final-state baryon are not strongly affected by changing it from a proton to a Λ .

This work was supported in part by the Bundesministerium für Forschung und Technologie and the National Science Foundation. We gratefully acknowledge the support of Professor B.D. Mc-

FIG. 4. Momentum distributions for Λ electroproduction. (a) x_F distribution. The data are compared with a smoothed representation of the proton structure function (Ref. 13). (b) Transverse-momentum distribution,

Daniel, Professor H. Schopper, and Professor G. Weber, and the staffs of the Wilson Synchrotron Laboratory and DESY.

 $^{(a)}$ Now at Carnegie-Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Penn. 15213.

 $^{(b)}$ Now at Beiersdorf AG, Hamburg, Germany.

 1 L. M. Sehgal, in Proceedings of the International Symposium on Lepton and Photon Interactions at High Energies, 1977, edited by F. Gutbrod (Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany, 1977).

G. Drews *et al.*, to be published

 $3J.$ M. Scarr et al., DESY Report No. DESY 77/77, 1977 (to be published).

⁴S. Stein et al., Phys. Rev. D 12, 1884 (1975).

 $5J.$ Burmester et al., Phys. Lett. 67B, 367 (1977).

 $6V$. Lüth et al., Phys. Lett. 70B, 120 (1977).

 ${}^{7}R$. D. Field and R. P. Feynman, Phys. Rev. D 15, 2590 (1977).

 8 A. Seiden, Phys. Lett. 68B, 157 (1977).

 9 R. Brandelik et al., Phys. Lett. 67B, 358 (1977). 10 J. F. Martin et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 40, 283 (1978). ¹¹We used the quark x distributions of Ref. 7 and the pion fragmentation functions of Ref, 2. The data of Ref. 6 are used for $z > 0.5$.

 12 I. Cohen et al., DESY Report No. DESY 77/71 (to be published); I. Cohen et al., Cornell University Report No. CLNS-378 (to be published

¹³We have used data from H. Ackermann et d ., Nucl. Phys. B120, 365 (1977); C. J. Bebek et al., Phys. Rev. D 15, 3077 (1977); C. J. Bebek et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. $32. 27$ (1974); A, Browman et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 37, 651 (1976); F. Brasse, in Proceedings of the Sixth International Symposium on Electron and Photon Interactions at High Energies, Bonn, 1978, edited by H. Rollnik and W. Pfeil (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1974).

 14 K. Hanson, in *Proceedings of the International* Symposium on Lepton and Photon Interactions at High Energies, 1975, edited by W. Kirk (Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford, Calif., 1976).

Yang's Parity Test for the New Spin-0 Mesons

Ngee-Pong Chang

Physics Department, City College of the City University of New York, New York, New York 10031

and

C. A. Nelson

Department of Physics, State University of New York at Binghamton, Binghamton, New York 13901 (Received 8 April 1978)

Yang's parity test for general spin-0 mesons applied to the decay sequence $X \rightarrow \varphi \varphi$
 $\rightarrow (K^+K^-)(K^+K^-)$ leads to maximal parity signature. The correlation function for the azimuthal angle between the two $\varphi \to K^+K^-$ decay planes is given by $1+\beta \cos 2\varphi$, where β $=-1$ for pseudoscalar X, and $1 \ge \beta \ge 0$ for scalar X.

The state $X(2.85)$ first seen at DORIS¹ may, at last, also have been observed in a hadronic $\pi^-\rho$ reaction.² The properties of the X state remain to this day a mystery. The observed decay into 2γ rules out a spin-1 assignment³ for the X. The allowed spin-0 assignment leaves open the issue of a scalar versus a pseudoscalar nature under parity.

By obvious analogy with the $\pi^0, ^4$ it might seem that, short of an actual observation of X into $\pi^+\pi^$ or K^+K^- , a definitive test of its parity must await a study of its internal conversion into Dalitz pairs.⁵ However, we have found, much to our pleasant surprise, that Yang's parity test,⁴ when applied to the decay sequence

 $X \rightarrow 2$ (vector mesons) $\rightarrow 2$ (boson pairs),

unlike the case with fermion pairs, leads to maximal parity signatures. If $X \rightarrow \varphi \varphi$ decay exists, then the correlation function for the azi-

muthal angle between the two $\varphi \to K^+ K^-$ decay planes is given by

$$
1+\beta\cos 2\phi\,,\qquad \qquad (1)
$$

with

$$
\beta = -1 \text{ for pseudoscalar } X \tag{2}
$$

and

$$
1 \geq \beta \geq 0 \text{ for scalar } X, \qquad (3)
$$

in the boson case. For comparison, the result for the sequence $X - \varphi \varphi + 2(e\overline{e})$ is⁶

$$
\beta = -0.25 \text{ for pseudoscalar } X \tag{4}
$$

and

$$
0.25 \geq \beta \geq 0 \text{ for scalar } X, \tag{5}
$$

in the fermion case. A signature as maximal as that given by Eq. (2) should help considerably toward confirming the belief among some that X is

1617