(1958).

³G. Harris, J. Lee, J. Orear, and S. Taylor, Phys. Rev. 108, 1561 (1957).

4B. Bhowmik, D. Evans, S. Nilsson, D. J. Prowse, F. Anderson, D. Keefe, A. Kernan, and J. Losty, Nuovo cimento 6, 440 (1957).

⁵B. Bhowmik, D. Evans, D. J. Prowse, F. Anderson, D. Keefe, and A. Kernan, Nuove cimento 8, 147 (1958).

 $6J.$ Orear has informed us that the mass value of the primary particle in the Columbia event is also the primary particle in the Columbia event is also
consistent with the K^+ -meson mass [private communi cation, and Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 5, 12 (1960)].

 N W. F. Fry, J. Schneps, and M. Swami, Phys. Rev.

97, 1189 (1955) and Nuovo cimento 2, 346 (1955).

 N_A , G. K. Menon, Proceedings of the Fifth Annual Rochester Conference on High-Energy Nuclear Physics (Interscience Publishers, New York, 1955), p. 77; work of P. H. Fowler and D. H. Perkins. Preliminary results were also reported by P. H. Fowler, Proceedings of the Fourth Annual Rochester Conference on High-Energy Nuclear Physics (University of Rochester Press, Rochester, 1954), p. 80; and by D. H. Perkins, Proceedings of the Third Annual Rochester Conference on High-Energy Nuclear Physics (University of Rochester Press, Rochester, 1952), p. 42.

⁹S. N. Sen Gupta and M. S. Sinha, Phil. Mag. 2, 936 (1957).

PARTIAL-WAVE DISPERSION RELATIONS FOR MESON-NUCLEON SCATTERING

Reinhard Oehme

Enrico Fermi Institute for Nuclear Studies and Department of Physics, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois (Received February 3, 1960)

It is of interest to compute the implications for the pion-nucleon scattering amplitude of possible resonance effects in the two-pion system. ' In this note we propose a form of the π -N partialwave dispersion relations' which is suitable for this purpose. Our formulas can be easily generalized for amplitudes involving other particles with unequal mass.

We write the covariant π -N amplitude in the form³ $F = A - i\gamma \cdot \frac{1}{2}(k + k')B$, where $A_{\alpha\beta} = A^+ \delta_{\alpha\beta}$ + $A^{-\frac{1}{2}}[\tau_{\alpha}, \tau_{\beta}]$, etc. and $k + p = k' + p'$, $k^2 = k'^2 = -\mu^2$ $p^2 = p'^2 = -m^2$. In the following we discuss explicitly only the invariant amplitudes A^{\pm} ; the extension to B^{\pm} and to center-of-mass quantities is straightforward. Let us first consider the A^{\pm} as functions of the invariant variables $z = -(k+p)^2$, $\zeta = -(k-k')^2$. We assume that they are analytic in both variables except for the absorptive singularities⁴ due to the possible intermediate states of the three physical reactions associated with the π -N Green's function. Then we have the branch lines'

$$
z = s \ge (m + \mu)^2, \ \overline{z} = \overline{s} \ge (m + \mu)^2,
$$

and
$$
\zeta = t \ge (2\mu)^2,
$$
 (1)

where $\bar{z} = 2m^2 + 2\mu^2 - z - \zeta$. The single nucleon poles $z = m^2$, $\overline{z} = m^2$ appear only in the amplitudes B^{\pm} . The variables z and ζ may be expressed in terms of q^2 (q = c.m. momentum) and θ (c.m.

angle) by

$$
z = m^{2} + \mu^{2} + 2q^{2} + 2[(q^{2} + m^{2})(q^{2} + \mu^{2})]^{1/2}, \qquad (2a)
$$

$$
\xi = -2q^2(1 - \cos\theta). \tag{2b}
$$

Note that the complex z plane is mapped into a Riemann surface with two leaves which are connected through the cut $-m^2 \leq q^2 \leq -\mu^2$. We define sheet I (II) by the requirement that the root in Eq. (2a) is positive (negative) for real q^2 - μ^2 . In the z plane, the exterior of the circle $|z| = m^2 - \mu^2$ corresponds to sheet I and the interior to sheet II.

Let us now consider the amplitude A as an analytic function of q^2 for $-1 \le \cos\theta \le +1$. It is regular on the Riemann surface mentioned above except for cuts along the real axes in both sheets. In particular, the partial-wave amplitudes,

$$
A_{J}(q^2) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{+1} d\cos\theta \, P_{J}(\cos\theta) A(q^2, \cos\theta), \qquad (3)
$$

have the following cuts: (πN) from 0 to $+\infty$ in I, due to $s \ge (m + \mu)^2$; $(\overline{n}N)$ from 0 to $+\infty$ in I and from $-\infty$ in II up to $-m^2$ and then down to $-\infty$ in I, both due to $\bar{s} \ge (m + \mu)^2$; $(\pi \bar{\pi})$ from $-\mu^2$ to $-\infty$ in I and II, due to $t \ge (2\mu)^2$. The weight functions associated with the unphysical branch lines $(\bar{\pi}N)$ and $(\pi\bar{\pi})$ can be expressed in terms of the absorptive parts $N(\alpha, \beta)$ and $M(\alpha, \beta)$ (α, β being the squares of energy momentum transfer), corresponding to the reactions $\pi + N \rightarrow \pi + N$ and $\pi + \overline{\pi} \rightarrow N + \overline{N}$, respectively. In the physical sheet I we can write partial-wave dispersion relations in the simple form

$$
A_{J}(q^{2}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} dq'^{2} \frac{\text{Im}A_{J}(q'^{2})}{q'^{2} - q^{2}} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{-\mu^{2}} dq'^{2} \frac{\text{Im}A_{J}(q'^{2})}{q'^{2} - q^{2}},
$$
(4)

which should be amended by the usual substractions. However, in the interval $-m^2 \leq q^2 \leq -\mu^2$ the real weight $\text{Im}A_J$ can be expressed in terms
of the absorptive parts N and M only indirectly by writing a similar dispersion formula in sheet II. Dispersion relations involving both sheets can be easily written down, but it seems to be advantageous to use the invariant variable z for those portions of the cuts which map onto the real axis in the z plane, and to retain the variable q^2 for that part of the branch line $(\pi \bar{\pi})$, which maps onto the circle $|z| = m^2 - \mu^2$. Omitting again appropriate subtractions, we can

write

$$
A_{J}(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{(m+\mu)^{2}}^{\infty} ds \frac{\text{Im}A_{J}(s)}{s-z} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{(m-\mu)^{2}} ds \frac{\text{Im}A_{J}(s)}{s-z} + F_{J}(z) + F_{J}^{*}(z^{*}), \qquad (5)
$$

where

$$
F_J(z) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{-\mu^2} d\lambda \frac{2s(\lambda)}{s(\lambda) - m^2 - \mu^2 - 2\lambda} \frac{M_J(s(\lambda))}{s(\lambda) - z},
$$
\n(6)

with

$$
s(\lambda) = m^2 + \mu^2 + 2\lambda + 2i[(\lambda + m^2)(-\lambda - \mu^2)]^{1/2}, \qquad (7)
$$

and, for $s \leq (m - \mu)^2$,

Im
$$
A_J^{\pm}(s) = \theta(-s)\epsilon(s+m^2-\mu^2)N_J^{\pm}(s) \mp M_J^{\pm}(s)
$$
. (8)

The functions M_J and N_J are given in terms of the absorptive parts $M(\alpha, \beta)$ and $N(\alpha, \beta)$ by

$$
M_{J}(s) = -\frac{1}{4q^{2}} \int_{4\mu^{2}}^{-4q^{2}} dt P_{J}(1+t/2q^{2})M(t, s),
$$

$$
N_{J}(s) = -\frac{1}{4q^{2}} \int_{2m^{2}+2\mu^{2}-s}^{C(s)} d\overline{s} P_{J}(1+\frac{2m^{2}+2\mu^{2}-s-\overline{s}}{2q^{2}})N(\overline{s}, 2m^{2}+2\mu^{2}-s-\overline{s}),
$$
 (9)

where q^2 = $q^2(s)$ is given by the inverse of Eq. (2a) with $z = s$; and $c(s) = (m^2 - \mu^2)^2 / s$ for $0 + \leq s \leq (m - \mu)^2$, $c(s) = (m + \mu)^2$ for $s \le 0$. The term $F_J + F_J^*$ in Eq. (5) contains the low-energy properties of the 2π system. Using Eqs. (6) and (9), it can be easily written in terms of real and imaginary parts of $M(t, s)$.⁵ The latter quantity is complex due to the appearance of $s(\lambda)$ given by Eq. (7). In a partial-wave expansion of $M(t, s)$ the variable s will appear only in the argument of the spherical harmonics.

mission.

'W. R. Frazer and J. R. Fulco, Phys. Rev. Letters 2, 365 (1959).

2Partial wave relations have been discussed also by S. W. MacDowell, Phys. Rev. 116, 774 (1959}.

3See, for example, G. F. Chew, Annual Reviews of Nuclear Science (Annual Reviews, Inc. , Palo Alto, 1959}, Vol. 9, p. 29; this paper contains further references.

 4 R. Oehme, Nuovo cimento 13, 778 (1959).

⁵The absorptive parts $M(\alpha, \beta)$ and $N(\alpha, \beta)$ can also become complex for large negative values of β . The contributions from the corresponding imaginary parts cancel each other in Eq. (5).

Supported in part by the U. S. Atomic Energy Com-