el by several orders of magnitude makes them disappear. Conversely, using their experimental conditions, one can reproduce their spectra (Fig. 2). The actual broadening of the exciton spectrum at the foot of the absorption peak has been reported before and depends in part, if not entirely, on crystalline perturbations brought about by strain, cleaving, or preparation methods.

Therefore, although polariton-induced transparency is indeed expected and plausible on theoretical grounds, the data reported in Ref. 1 are probably not reliable enough to support its existence in GaSe.

The Laboratoire de Physique des Solides is Associé an Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique.

[1]A. Bosacchi, B. Bosacchi, and S. Franchi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 36, 1086 (1976).

[2]N. Piccioli, R. Le Toullec, M. Mejatty, and M. Balkanski, to be published.

[3]M. V. Kurik, A. I. Savchuk, and M. Rarenko, Opt. Spectros. 24, 536 (1967).

[4]J. M. Besson, K. P. Jain, and A. Kuhn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 32, 936 (1974).

ERRATA

COHERENT REGENERATION OF K_s's BY CARBON AS A TEST OF REGGE-POLE-EXCHANGE THEORY. J. Roehrig, A. Gsponer, W. R. Molzon, E. I. Rosenberg, V. L. Telegdi, B. D. Winstein, H. G. E. Kobrak, R. E. Pitt, R. A. Swanson, S. H. Aronson, and G. J. Bock [Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 1116 (1977)].

On page 1118 in Table I, the 85-GeV/c entry is incorrect. It should read as follows:

$$|f - \bar{f}|/k = 0.947 \pm 0.015 \text{ mb},$$

$$\arg(f - \bar{f}) = -122.0° \pm 4.5°.$$

In Fig. 3 on page 1118 the references to the data points are incorrect. They should read, ▼, Ref. 14; ▲, Ref. 15; ○, Ref. 16. Also in Fig. 3, the power law should be preceded by a constant factor, giving the intercept at 1 GeV/c. Thus the best-fit curve is given by

$$(14.84 \pm 0.16)P_k - (0.621 \pm 0.003) \text{ mb}.$$

Finally, through an unfortunate oversight, the authors failed to acknowledge the valuable contributions of Dr. K. Freudenreich during the latter stages of the analysis.