early work since the He-cell data showed large systematic shifts, then attributed to the relativistic shift in $g_s(e)$ due to heating of the electrons. After the subsequent work⁹ on $g_J(^4\text{He}, 2^3S_1)/$ After the subsequent work⁹ on g_{J} (⁴He, 2³S₁)/
 g_{J} (¹H, 1²S_{1/2}) and that by Deloche *et al*.¹⁰ on He afterglow, we believe this heating interpretation to be correct. No such effect was observed in the cells using tritium for the source of electrons. Furthermore, by the admitting of H, gas to one of the He discharge cells, the systematic shift in R was removed. This preliminary work is now in agreement with the result reported in this Letter, although none of the previous data are included in the present determination of A.

In conclusion, a new level of experimental precision has been attained for the ratio $g_J(H)/g_S(e)$. Agreement with theory is obtained which, for the first time, confirms mass-independent corrections to order α^3 as well as the leading Breit term.

We are grateful to P. A. Staats and H. W. Morgan for help and advice in preparing and handling tritiated cells. This work was supported in part

by a National Bureau of Standards Precision Measurements Grant and by the National Science Foundation.

¹F. G. Walther, W. D. Phillips, and D. Kleppner. Phys. Rev. Lett. 28, 1159 (1972).

 2 H. Grotch and R. A. Hegstrom, Phys. Rev. A 4, 59 (1971).

 3 L. C. Balling and F. M. Pipkin, Phys. Rev. 139, A19 (1965).

 4 L. C. Balling, R. J. Hanson, and F. M. Pipkin, Phys. Rev, 133, A607 (1964).

 ${}^{5}\text{N}$. G. Staffa, thesis, Duke University, 1973 (unpublished).

 6 G. R. Henry and J. E. Silver, Phys. Rev. 180, 1262 (1969); G, R. Henry, private communication.

 $\sqrt[7]{W}$. M. Hughes and H. G. Robinson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 23, 1209 (1969).

Atomic Physics 3, edited by S. J. Smith and G. K. Walters (Plenum, New York, 1973), p. 85.

 ${}^{9}G$. M. Keiser, H. G. Robinson, and C. E. Johnson. Phys. Rev. Lett. 35, 1223 (1975), and Phys. Rev. A (to be published).

 10 R. Deloche, P. Monchicourt, M. Cheret, and F. Lambert, Phys. Rev. A 13, 1140 (1976).

Collisional Line Broadening Using Laser Excitation and Ionization

Munir H. Nayfeh, (a) G. S. Hurst, M. G. Payne, and J. P. Young Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830 (Heceived 17 June 1977)

A laser excitation and ionization process is used to measure Cs-Ar interaction forces at long range. With energy densities of $1 J/cm²$, nonlinear excitation persists as far out as 70 Å at one atmosphere of Ar. This method provides extreme sensitivity (even single absorption events can be measured) which allows absolute measurements on the very far wing where absorption or fluorescence becomes vanishingly small.

We report on a photoionization method which allows absolute measurements of line broadening on the very far wing where absorption and fluorescence become extremely small.¹ The method involves the conversion of essentially every absorption event of a colliding system to an ion pair in a two-photon ionization process. Thus, we avoid measurements of small numbers of absorbed or emitted photons in favor of the much more sensitive detection of free electrons. Previously we used this scheme, "resonance ionization spectroscopy" (RIS), in measuring absolute populations and lifetimes of excited states^{2,3} following charged-particle excitation of He gas. Moreover, we recently used the HIS method in achieving detection and identification of single atoms^{4,5} by utilizing the two-photon ionization

process in a proportional counter.

Previously, line broadening by foreign gases μ is the studied by absorption⁶ and fluorescence.⁷ In these studies, high-temperature absorption tubes are required in order to induce appreciable absorption of fluorescence. Dense samples introduce not only self-broadening but also dimer absorption. Moreover, high-pressure buffer gases ean make three-body collisions wash out the satellite structure otherwise observable in the twobody collisions. The extra sensitivity achieved in the ionization method, however, will allow measurements at the very far wing of optically thin samples where the higher density problems are eliminated, and this promises excellent resolution of the satellites.

The present studies are also of particular inter-

est to single-atom detection in gas proportional counters since noble gases are frequently used in the counting-gas mixtures. Specifically, the n ir
ar
3,4 broadening and shift of the atomic line by the foreign gas will reduce the selectivity through overlap of nearby levels of some other atomic species, especially where isotope discrimination is required.

In this experiment, we have studied the twophoton ionization of Cs-Ar mixtures with the broadened $Cs(7p)$ as an intermediate state. The two-photon ionization line shapes and thus the absorption line shapes were measured as a function of laser field intensity. From the absorption line profile, the form and coefficient of the interaction forces between the colliding atoms were found. Also, the present measurements yield photoionization cross sections of the 5d excited state of cesium. The study of the cesium 5d state is of interest in view of the theoretical prediction of nonsmooth wavelength dependence of the cross section.⁸ Our measurement will be the first test of the theory. From this measurement we derive also the radiative decay rate from $7p$ to $5d$, using a rate-equation model of the two-photon ionization of Cs. Photoionization of the $5d$ state is appreciable for laser pulses on the order of $1-\mu$ sec duration; because of this, considerable error may have been made on some previous measurements of the $7p$ cross sections.⁹

FIG. 1, Ionization signal as a function of photon fluence for the indicated detuning in argon gas at 760 Torr.

 $\overline{\mathrm{Pulses\ of\ 1\ \mu sec\ and\ 3\ cm^{-1}$ full width at halfmaximum were used, and the ionization was collected with nickel-plated electrodes. See Ref. 4 for experimental details. The density of Cs $({\sim}10^9/\text{cm}^3)$, governed by transport processes, was measured by saturating the two-photon ionization process. The beam profile was studied by using pinholes of various sizes and a photodiode, and was focused to the middle of the collection plate by a lens of 50-cm focal length.

Figure 1 shows the intensity dependence of the ionization yield at some representative detunings, and Fig. 2 shows the line shape of the two-photon ionization process. In the rest of the Letter we give an analysis of these experimental results.

Because the lifetimes of the $7*b*$ fine-structure states are about 100 nsec and the exciting pulse duration is about 1 μ sec, it is necessary to consider in the analysis of the two-photon ionization process some low-lying levels that can be radiatively populated. In the case of Cs, all the lower excited states can be ionized by the exciting pulse at 455-nm wavelength. At 760 Torr of Ar the $7p$ fine-structure substates are completely mixed. This agrees with this measurement since we get the same two-photon ionization yield when the laser resonates with the isolated $7p_{1/2}$ or $7p_{3/2}$. Therefore, weighted averages of cross sections and decay rates are to be used or measections and decay rates are to be used or mea-
sured. The rate from $7p$ to $5d$ is 1.2×10^6 sec⁻¹,¹⁰ and a competing radiative channel is a decay through the 7s state with a rate equal to \sim 4.5 \times 10⁶ sec⁻¹. Both the 5d and 7s levels decay to the ground state 6s via the $6p$ which decays to $6s$ very rapidly $(3 \times 10^7 \text{ sec}^{-1})$. The 7s state decays to the $6p$ state fairly rapidly $({\sim}10^{7} \text{ sec}^{-1})$, while the 5d state decays to it at about 10^6 sec⁻¹

Since the cross section of $7s$ is calculated¹¹ to

FIG. 2. Ionization signal as a function of detuning at a fixed photon fluence $(2.5 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^2)$ for argon gas at 760 Torr.

be small $\langle 10^{-19}$ cm²) and its lifetime is only about 57 nsec, we predict no measurable contribution to the ionization yield from it. Although the estimated¹¹ $6p$ cross section is large (1.1) the estimated¹¹ $6p$ cross section is large (1.1 $\times10^{-17}$ cm²), its lifetime is only 30 nsec; thus its contribution to the ionization will not be as large as that of the $5d$ state but will be comparable to that of $7p$. A simplified way of describing the combined yield from $7p$ and $6p$ is by using fast decay of the $7s$ and $6p$ states and comparing with the pulse length and decay rate of $7p$ in order to prove that for most of the pulse the decay of $6p$ is rate limited by the decay of $7p$. In this case, one can show that the two populations can be lumped together and their ionization described by an effective cross section $\bar{\sigma} = \sigma_{1I} + \gamma_{12} \sigma_{4I}/\gamma_{40}$ where γ_{12} is the decay rate from $7p$ to 7s, γ_{40} is the inverse of the lifetime of $6p$, and σ_{4} and σ_{1} are the photoionization cross sections from 6p and $7p$, respectively. This form of $\bar{\sigma}$ fails at high power but only when the ionization of $7p$ is saturated and the variation is unimportant. Therefore, we describe the two-photon ionization process by a rate-equation formalism involving only three discrete levels: the $[7p, 6p]$, the 5d, and the ground state (Fig. 3). At the far wing one can show either from statistical broadening arguments or from a dynamic treatment of the interaction^{1,12} between the atoms that the cross sec-

FIG. 3. ^A simplified model of the two-photon ionization process with effective decay rates and cross sections.

tion for photon absorption to the intermediate state with $C_{\rm s}/R^6$ difference potential is $\sigma(\delta) = (\pi/$ state with C_6/R difference potential is $0(0) = \frac{1}{10}$
6) $N_F(C_6/h)^{1/2}\lambda_0^2\gamma\delta^{-3/2}$, where N_F is the Ar density λ_0 is the laser wavelength, γ is the spontaneous rate of decay from the excited state to the lower state, R is the separation between Cs and Ar atoms, and $\delta = C_e/\hbar R^6$.

The solution of the rate-equation model is carried out at the line center, the very far wing, and the intermediate region separately. Taking a Gaussian pulse shape of waist r , we get at the line center (for photon fluence $> 5 \times 10^{16}$ cm⁻²)

$$
\frac{n_i}{4\pi r^2 N} = e_{in}(\alpha y_0) - e^{\alpha} [e_{in}(\alpha y_0 + \alpha) - e_{in}(\alpha) - e_{in}(\beta xy_0 + \beta x) + e_{in}(\beta x)] - e^{-x} [e_{in}(\beta xy_0) - e_{in}(\beta xy_0)],
$$
\n(1)

where n_i is the number of electrons produced per unit beam length, N is the Cs density, $\beta = 1 + \sigma_{\alpha i}/2\bar{\sigma}$, $\alpha = \sigma_{3I}/2\overline{\sigma}(\gamma_{13}\tau)$, $y_0 = \overline{\sigma}F_0/\gamma_{13}$, $x = \gamma_{13}\tau$, τ is the pulse duration, F_0 is the number of photons per unit area $\alpha = 0_{3}I^{2}\omega(V_{13}^{1}, V_{0}^{0}) = 0.0V_{0}/V_{13}^{1}, \lambda = 0.0V_{13}^{1}, V_{13}^{1}$ is the passe duration, T_{0}^{0} is the nationer of photons per unit area
per second at the beam center, and $e_{in}(z) = \int_{0}^{z} (dz'/z') (1 - e^{-z'})$. We note th population of the 5d state due to the decay of $7p$ was dealt with by introducing the proper total number at the midpoint of the laser pulse instead of continuously as the decay actually occurs. Numerical calat the midpoint of the laser pulse instead of continuously as the decay actually occurs. Numerical
culations taking into account the details of the time dependence showed, for the present parameter less than 1% effect at line center and even less on the far wing.

!

At the far wing we get the following power dependence:

$$
\frac{n_I(\Delta\lambda, F_0, R)}{n_I(\Delta\lambda, F_m, R)} = \left(\frac{F_0}{F_m}\right) \frac{1 - (\Gamma_1/\overline{\sigma}F_0)\ln(1 + \overline{\sigma}F_0/\Gamma)}{1 - (\Gamma_1/\overline{\sigma}F_0)\ln(1 + \overline{\sigma}F_m/\Gamma)},
$$

where $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma - \gamma_{13}$; Γ is the inverse of the lifetime of the 7p level; $n_I(\Delta\lambda, F_o, R)$ is the ionization yield at a particular detuning $\Delta\lambda$ and photon flux F_{0i} $n_{I}(\Delta\lambda, F_{m}, R)$ is the ionization yield at detuning $\Delta \lambda$ and at a photon flux F_m . Note that the normalization in Eq. (2) gives the power dependence of the far wing. Equation (2) is valid for $\Delta\lambda \ge 70$ Å if $F_0 = 2.5 \times 10^{24} / (\text{cm}^2 \text{ sec})$, i.e., $\sigma(\Delta \lambda) F_0 < 0.2$ and $\tau F_0 > 10^{17}/\text{cm}^2$. The effect of the decay of the $5d \left(\gamma_{30}\right)$ on the far wing was also found to be neg-

ligible except at the lowest power levels.

In the region between the line center and 70 A on the red wing and for low powers, analytical expressions were derived. However, for high powers, numerical work was necessary in order to include the approximately Gaussian decrease in photon flux as the distance from beam center is increased. In the model the simultaneous absorption of both fine-structure levels of $7p$ was

 (2)

taken into account. This effect is minimal at the line centers of both; however, on the far wings, when both absorptions are weak, it is sizable.

Figure 1 shows the power dependence of the ionization yield at the line center and at 15-, 29-, 46-, and 77- \AA detunings from the isolated atom line. The power dependence predicted by the above theoretical model is also shown in Fig. 1 as solid lines. Figure 2 shows the two-photon ionization line shape at 2.5×10^{18} photons/cm² power level along with the theoretical fit (solid line). Both Figs. 1 and 2 show good agreement with the theoretical model. The analysis yields the following quantities for the cross sections and the decay rates: $\overline{\sigma} = 5 \times 10^{-18}$ cm², $\sigma_{3I} = 4$ $\times10^{-17}$ cm², $\gamma_{13} = 8 \times 10^5$ sec⁻¹, and $\sigma(\Delta\lambda) = 4 \times 10^{-17}$ / $(\Delta \lambda)^{3/2}$ cm² where $\Delta \lambda$ is in angstroms. A singleparticle, central-field approximation theory' gives 2.8×10^{-7} cm² for the 5d and 4×10^{-1} for $7p$ which agrees with the experiment. Agreement between the measured line shape and the 'model implies an R $^{\circ}$ 6 potential in agreement with the expected dependence.

In conclusion, we have shown that in studies of line broadening, the ionization channel provides an extremely sensitive and simple way of monitoring absorption. Even on the far wing where absorption of fluorescence becomes vanishingly small, the ionization method can be used because single absorption events are detectable in proportional counters.^{4,5} Therefore, dense and highon r
ven
^{4,5} pressure samples are not a requirement, thus allowing the possibility of complete resolution of satellite structure on the far wing. These studies also provide accurate measurement of the potential parameters as we discussed above.

The above study indicates that in some cases selectivity in single-atom detection is reduced because of pressure broadening. However, lowpressure proportional counters, or even channeltrons working in a vacuum, can be used where extreme selectivity is essential. Pressure broad ening can be put to advantage in studies of rare events where there is a lack of accuracy on the energy levels. Such a case arises in searches for free quarks attached to a nucleus.

This research is sponsored by the U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration under contract with Union Carbide Corporation.

 $^{(a)}$ Permanent address: Department of Physics, Yale University, New Haven, Conn. 06520.

'M. H. Nayfeh, "Two-Photon Ionization of Colliding Atoms," Phys. Rev. A (to be published).

 ^{2}G . S. Hurst, M. G. Payne, M. H. Nayfeh, J. P. Judish, and E. B. Wagner, Phys. Bev. Lett. 35, 82 (1975).

 3 M. G. Payne, G. S. Hurst, M. H. Nayfeh, J. P.

Judish, C. H. Chen, E. B. Wagner, and J. P. Young, Phys. Rev. Lett. 35, 1154 (1975).

 4G . S. Hurst, M. H. Nayfeh, and J. P. Young, Appl. Phys. Lett. 30, 229 (1977).

 5G . S. Hurst, M. H. Nayfeh, and J. P. Young, Phys. Rev. A 15, 2283 (1977).

 6D . G. McCartau and J. M. Farr, J. Phys. B 9, 985 (1976), and references therein.

 ${}^{7}R$, E. M. Hedges, D. L. Drummond, and A. Gallagher, Phys. Hev. ^A 6, ¹⁵¹⁹ (1972); F. H. Mies, J. Chem. Phys. 48 , 482 (1968); J. M. Farr and W. R. Hindmarsh, Phys. Lett. 27A, 512 (1968).

 8 A. Msezane and S. T. Manson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 35, 364 (1975).

 9 H. D. Zeman, in International Symposium on Electron and Photon Interactions with Atoms, edited by H. Kleinpoppen and M. R. C. McDowell (Plenum,

New York, 1974), pp. 581-594.

¹⁰Compiled by Professor Ray Hefferlin and reported in Ref. 4.

 11 S. T. Manson, private communication.

 $12V$. S. Lisitsa and S. I. Yakovlenko, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 66, 1550 (1974) [Sov. Phys. JETP 39, 759 (1974)].