Radiative Muon Capture in Calcium

R. D. Hart, C. R. Cox, (a) G. W. Dodson, M. Eckhause, J. R. Kane, M. S. Pandey,

A. M. Rushton,^(b) R. T. Siegel, and R. E. Welsh

Physics Department, College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, Virginia 23185

(Received 13 June 1977)

The branching ratio relative to ordinary muon capture and the photon asymmetry relative to the muon-spin direction were measured for radiative muon capture in ⁴⁰Ca. For ~1200 photon events, the partial branching ratio is $R_{k>57}$ MeV = $(21.1 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-6}$, and the asymmetry for k>63.5 MeV is $+0.90\pm0.50$. A fit of the photon spectrum to the theory of Rood, Yano, and Yano gives the value $g_p^{\mu} = (6.5\pm1.6)g_A$ for the pseudoscalar-coupling constant. These results are in disagreement with earlier experiments.

In weak-interaction theory, the rate for radiative muon capture (RMC),

$$\mu^{-} + p \rightarrow n + \nu + \gamma, \qquad (1)$$

is sensitive to the induced pseudoscalar-coupling constant g_{P}^{μ} in the weak hadron current.¹ Also, it was predicted by Cutkosky² that the asymmetry α_{γ} of the emitted photon relative to the muon spin has the value +1.0 when only the muon radiating diagram is considered. Fearing³ showed that other diagrams contribute corrections to α_{γ} of order $(m_{\mu}/m_{N})^{2}$, m_{μ} and m_{N} being, respectively, the muon and nucleon masses, so that the asymmetry should have a value not much less than unity.

The rarity of process (1) has thus far limited experiments to complex nuclei, e.g.,

$$\mu^{-} + {}^{40}\text{Ca} \rightarrow {}^{40}\text{K}^* + \nu + \gamma, \qquad (2)$$

which is the process investigated in the experiment described here. In the theoretical analysis of process (2) by Rood, Yano, and Yano⁴ (hereafter referred to as RYY), harmonic-oscillator wave functions were used to describe the nuclear states, and the closure approximation was used to reduce the matrix elements. The branching ratio R of RMC to ordinary muon capture was rather insensitive to choice of nuclear model. RYY showed that a change in the value⁵ of g_{μ}^{μ} from $+7g_A$ to $+14g_A$ should increase $R_{h>57}$ MeV by ~ 50% in ⁴⁰Ca. For $g_P^{\mu} \simeq 7g_A$, which is the Goldberger-Treiman value,⁶ RYY predicted that the photon asymmetry varies slightly with energy, decreasing from +0.83 at $k = 0.5k_{\text{max}}$, to +0.74 at $k = 0.9k_{\text{max}}$, where k_{max} is the maximum photon momentum averaged over final states.

The results of previous experiments have not been in good agreement with predictions. Conversi, Diebold, and di Lella⁷ detected about 430 RMC photons from calcium, and obtained R = (3.1) ± 0.6 × 10⁻⁴ and $g_{P}^{\mu} = (13.3 \pm 2.7)g_{A}$ by fitting their observed photon spectrum above 55 MeV to the then-current theory⁸ and extrapolating to low energies. In the most recent published RMC experiment di Lella, Hammerman, and Rosenstein,⁹ using a technique similar to that of Conversi. Diebold, and di Lella,⁷ obtained values of R = (1.14) ± 0.09 × 10⁻⁴ and $g_P^{\mu} = -5.9g_A$ from a fit to the theory of Ref. 8. di Lella, Hammerman, and Rosenstein⁹ identified a large background in the NaI crystal which apparently came from high-energy neutrons emitted in ordinary muon capture, and which they interpreted as explaining the higher value of R obtained in Ref. 7. di Lella, Hammerman, and Rosenstein⁹ also made the first measurement of the photon asymmetry α_{γ} , and obtained $\alpha_{\gamma} \leq -0.32 \pm 0.48$, although theory predicts a positive result.²⁻⁴

The unsettled experimental situation led us to perform a new measurement of RMC in ⁴⁰Ca with a technique which is insensitive to high-energy neutrons. The experimental geometry is shown in Fig. 1. The 85-MeV/c "backward" muon beam at the Space Radiation Effects Laboratory (SREL)¹⁰ was stopped in a calcium target measuring $13 \times 18 \times 2.5$ cm³, the last dimension being along the beam direction. An acceptable muon stop was signified by $(1 \cdot 2 \cdot \overline{C} 1 \cdot 3 \cdot \overline{4})$ with the further requirement that no other $(1 \cdot 2)$ coincidence occurred within $\pm 1 \ \mu sec$ of the muon-stop signal. This requirement reduced the average muon-stop rate from $\approx 30\,000 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ to a "clean" stop rate of $18\,000 \text{ sec}^{-1}$. The photon detector consisted of scintillation counters 5 and 6, a Pb converter 0.3 cm thick, scintillator 7, Čerenkov counter C2, scintillator 8, and a 25-cm-diam×25-cm-thick NaI detector. The signature for a photon was $(\overline{1}$ +2+3+5+6)(7·C2·8·NaI), and for a decay elec-



Fig. 1. Experimental arrangement. Counters 1-8 are scintillators; Cl and C2 are plastic Čerenkov counters.

tron $(\overline{1}+\overline{2}+\overline{3})(5\cdot 6\cdot 7\cdot C2\cdot 8\cdot NaI)$. The use of the external Pb converter and the Čerenkov counter C2 suppressed background neutrons.

Photon and electron events were timed relative to muon-stop signals using a time-to-amplitude converter (TAC) which was gated to allow observation of events following a stopped muon by 0– 800 nsec ("foreground") or preceding the stopped muon by 0–500 nsec ("background"). A transverse (horizontal) field¹¹ of ~ 540 G throughout the target produced muon precession to allow measurement of the asymmetries of decay electrons and RMC photons with respect to the initial muon spin.

The resolution and energy calibration for the NaI crystal were determined from the promptphoton spectrum produced by negative-pion capture in LiH and Li. The difference between these two spectra, corrected for target thickness, is produced by the pion processes in hydrogen $\pi^- p$ $\rightarrow n\gamma$ and $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\pi^0 \rightarrow n\gamma\gamma$. A Monte Carlo calculation determined the absolute efficiency of the photon detector. The result agreed with the experiment on LiH-Li of Chabre *et al.*¹²

The intensity versus time of *electron* events in the interval between 100-800 nsec following the muon-stop signal was fitted to the form

$$I_{e}(t) = A \exp(-t/\tau_{Ca}) [1 + S_{Ca} \sin(\omega t + \varphi)]$$
$$+ B \exp(-t/\tau_{C}) [1 + S_{sc} \sin(\omega t + \varphi)] + G,$$

where A and B are the amplitudes for decay electrons originating in the calcium target and carbon (in scintillation counters), respectively; τ_{Ca} and $\tau_{C} = 2034$ nsec are the muon lifetimes in calcium and carbon¹³; S_{Ca} and S_{sc} are the effective asymmetries in Ca and scintillator, respective-ly ($S_{Ca}/S_{sc} \sim 2.2$ experimentally¹⁴); G is the back-



Fig. 2. Photon and electron asymmetries relative to muon-spin direction vs low-energy cutoff in NaI detector. The asymmetry for a given low-energy cutoff includes all the data for higher cutoffs.

ground. The quantities A, B, S_{Ca} , ω , φ , and τ_{Ca} were free parameters in the analysis, while G was determined from the negative time back-ground.

For all electron energies above ~ 5 MeV, the analysis yields $B/A \approx 2.3\%$, $G/A \approx 7.9\%$, $\tau_{Ca} = 365$ ± 8 nsec, the last value being in some disagreement with previous results.¹⁵ The asymmetry amplitude $S_{Ca} = PD_{Ca}\alpha_e$, where *P* is the beam polarization (≈ 0.62), D_{Ca} is the depolarization factor for negative muons in calcium, and α_e is the decay-electron asymmetry parameter. By varying the low-energy cutoff in the analysis, we obtained the dependence of S_{Ca} on energy, and found it to be consistent with a Monte Carlo calculation of α_e , with¹⁴ $D_{Ca} \approx 1/6$ and with the spectrum of decay electrons from negative muons bound in calcium of Johnson, O'Connell, and Mullin.¹⁶

Figure 2 shows the measured asymmetries versus low-energy cutoff. The photon asymmetry α_{γ} approaches the electron asymmetry α_{e} at lower energies, where external bremsstrahlung produced by decay electrons predominates, but changes sign at higher energies as the main source of photons becomes RMC. Taking all photon energies k in the range 63.5 MeV<k < 82 MeV, we find α_{γ} =+0.90±0.50, in agreement with theory, but in disagreement with the value found by di Lella, Hammerman, and Rosenstein.⁹

The branching ratio $R = \lambda_{RMC}/\lambda_{cap}$ vs photon energy was determined from the number of RMC photons per MeV compared to the rate of emission of decay electrons from Ca, with use of the

relation $1/\tau_{Ca} = \lambda_{cap} + \lambda_{decay}$, where λ_{cap} and λ_{decay} are the capture and decay rates in calcium. The electrons were detected in the same geometry used for the RMC photons. The electron-detection efficiency was obtained by sequentially removing various components of the counter array, extrapolating to zero target thickness (a 20% effect), and correcting for the part of the electron spectrum suppressed by the NaI-counter threshold (a 5% effect).

Reconstruction of the "true" RMC photon spectrum from the observed photon spectrum required unfolding the photon resolution function for the detection array from the observed photon spectrum $N^{\text{ob}}(E_i) \propto \sum_i T(E_i, E_i) N^t(E_i)$, where $T(E_i, E_i)$ is the resolution function, i.e., the probability that a photon of energy E_i is detected in the pulse-height bin at E_i , and $N^t(E_i)$ is the true photon intensity at energy E_i . Inversion of the resolution matrix $T(E_i, E_j)$ by means of a standard computer algorithm failed to converge because of the similarity of adjacent columns of $T(E_i, E_i)$. Therefore an alternate approach was used to extract $N^{t}(E_{i})$. The true spectrum $N^{t}(E_{i})$ was assumed to be a power series in E_i for $E_i > 57$ MeV, and a leastsquares fit to be observed spectrum was obtained. A two-parameter fit of the form $N^{t}(E) = aE^{-2} + bE^{-3}$ gave a normalized $\chi^2 = 1.02$, and the result for 1229 ± 44 RMC events above 57 MeV was $R_{k>57}$ MeV $=(21.1\pm1.4)\times10^{-6}$.

The discrepancy between the muon lifetime in calcium as measured by us and previous groups¹⁵ was investigated carefully. Possible drift or calibration errors of the TAC system were sought, but none was found. We therefore used our value of τ_{Ca} to calculate the muon-capture rate λ_{Cap}

TABLE I. Differential photon spectrum $N^{t}(E)$ for radiative muon capture in calcium, relative to ordinary muon capture. This is the "true" spectrum, with instrumental resolution removed, as described in the text.

Photon energy (MeV)	$10^6 N^t$ (E) (photons/capture MeV)
57	1.92 ± 0.16
60	1.50 ± 0.12
65	0.98 ± 0.07
70	0.63 ± 0.04
75	0.39 ± 0.03
80	0.21 ± 0.02
85	0.09 ± 0.02
90	0.004 ± 0.03

which enters directly into the value of R. Use of $\tau_{Ca} \sim 340$ nsec would lower the measured R by $\sim 8\%$, but would give a considerably poorer fit to our decay-electron time distributions.

To compare our result for R with that of Ref. 9, it is necessary to use the form of theory⁸ which was fitted to the observed spectrum in that reference, since the "true" spectrum $N^{t}(E)$ (with resolution removed) was not given there. From Ref. 9 we extract the result $R_{k>57}$ MeV = 15.4×10^{-6} , whereas fitting our data to the same theory⁸ gives $R_{k>57}$ MeV = $(20.0 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-6}$

 $R_{k>57 \text{ MeV}} = (20.0 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-6}$ The "true" differential photon spectrum $N^{t}(E)$ as obtained in our experiment for k > 57 MeV is given vs k in Table I. The observed data were fitted to the theory of RYY, with g_{P}^{μ} and k_{\max} , the maximum photon momentum averaged over final states, as free parameters. The average neutrino momentum ν_{av} was fixed⁴ at $k_{\max}/1.02$. All coupling constants other than g_{P}^{μ} were assigned standard values. The fit gave $g_{P}^{\mu} = (6.5 \pm 1.6)g_{A}$, and $k_{\max} = 86.5 \pm 1.9$ MeV. Thus for both the asymmetry and the branching ratio we do not confirm the results of Refs. 7 and 9, but instead obtain agreement with the theory of RYY with the expected value⁶ for g_{P}^{μ} .

We wish to express thanks to H. Fearing. H. P. C. Rood, and A. Yano for useful discussions, to S. Hummel for assistance in constructing the apparatus, and to W. Vulcan for assistance with electronics and during the experiment, and to the staff of SREL for their support. This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation and constitutes part of a Ph.D. thesis submitted to the College of William and Mary by one of us (R.D.H.).

^(b)Present address: University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Mass. 01002.

¹H. Primakoff, Rev. Mod. Phys. <u>31</u>, 802 (1959); G. K. Manacher and L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. <u>116</u>, 782 (1959). For a recent review, see N. C. Mukhopadhyay, Phys. Rep. <u>30C</u>, 1 (1977).

²R. E. Cutkosky, Phys. Rev. <u>107</u>, 330 (1957).

³H. W. Fearing, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>35</u>, 79 (1975).

⁴H. P. C. Rood, A. F. Yano, and F. B. Yano, Nucl. Phys. <u>A228</u>, 333 (1974), and Institute for Theoretical Physics, State University, Gröningen, The Netherlands, Report No. IR104 (unpublished). We thank H. P. C. Rood for providing a computer program for calculating observables in RYY theory from assigned values of coupling constants.

^(a)Present address: University of Maryland Hospital, Baltimore, Md. 21201.

⁵We define g_{P}^{μ} as the pseudoscalar-coupling constant evaluated at four-momentum transfer $q^{2} = -m_{\mu}^{2}$.

⁶M. L. Goldberger and S. B. Treiman, Phys. Rev. <u>111</u>, 354 (1958). See also L. Wolfenstein, Nuovo Cimento 8, 882 (1958).

⁷M. Conversi, R. Diebold, and L. di Lella, Phys. Rev. 136, B1077 (1964).

⁸H. P. C. Rood and H. A. Tolhoek, Nucl. Phys. <u>70</u>, 658 (1965).

⁹L. di Lella, I. Hammerman, and L. M. Rosenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>27</u>, 830 (1971); L. M. Rosenstein and I. S. Hammerman, Phys. Rev. C <u>8</u>, 603 (1973); L. M. Rosenstein, Nevis Laboratories Report No. Nevis-191, 1972 (unpublished). ¹⁰SREL is supported by the National Science Foundation, the Commonwealth of Virginia, and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

¹¹We thank Dr. R. Cohen of Nevis Laboratories for lending us the yoke for this magnet.

¹²M. Chabre *et al.*, Phys. Lett. <u>5</u>, 67 (1963).

¹³M. Eckhause *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. <u>81</u>, 575 (1966).

¹⁴V. S. Evseev, in *Muon Physics*, edited by V. W.

Hughes and C. S. Wu (Academic, New York, 1975), Vol. III, and references therein.

¹⁵J. C. Sens, Phys. Rev. <u>113</u>, 679 (1959), obtained 333 ± 7 nsec.

¹⁶W. R. Johnson, R. F. O'Connell, and C. J. Mullin, Phys. Rev. 124, 904 (1961).

Ponderomotive Force and Linear Susceptibility in Vlasov Plasma

John R. Cary and Allan N. Kaufman

Physics Department and Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720 (Received 19 April 1977)

We have obtained an exact, simple, and general relation between the second-order Hamiltonian, representing the ponderomotive force on the oscillation center of a particle in a high-frequency field, and the standard linear Vlasov susceptibility.

The concept of ponderomotive force has been extremely useful in interpreting the nonlinear interaction of radiation with plasma. A survey of early work,¹ on the quasistatic force caused by a high-frequency field, was oriented toward the question of rf confinement of plasma. More recently, this concept has been applied to the parametric instabilities caused by radiation in unmagnetized² and magnetized³⁻⁵ plasmas.

Since the ponderomotive force acts on the oscillation center of a particle, a systematic treatment for Vlasov plasma can be based on the Hamiltonian of an oscillation center. A canonical formalism for the latter was first developed by Dewar, to establish a rigorous theory of quasilinear diffusion.⁷ It was then used by Johnston to study induced scattering⁸ of waves in magnetized plasma, greatly simplifying the standard⁹ Vlasov derivation; and by Johnston and Kaufman for the three-wave interaction¹⁰ in a non-uniform magnetized Vlasov plasma.

We have used Lie transforms¹¹ to investigate a number of nonlinear problems; the details will be reported in a longer publication.¹² In this Letter we present the result for the Hamiltonian of an oscillation center in a (possibly electromagnetic) field of fixed frequency ω :

$$\dot{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x},t) = \dot{\mathbf{E}}_{\omega}(\mathbf{x})e^{-i\omega t} + c.c.,$$

<u>ن</u>ه ک

-**b** .

(1)

superimposed on a quasistatic (possibly nonuniform) magnetic and electric field. This Hamiltonian $K(\mathbf{\bar{R}}, \mathbf{\bar{P}})$ turns out to be expressible in terms of a Poisson bracket,^{10,13} which we recognize as the same Poisson bracket that appears in the generalized expression¹⁴ for the *linear* Vlasov susceptibility $\mathbf{\bar{\chi}}_{\omega}{}^{s}(\mathbf{\bar{x}}, \mathbf{\bar{x}'})$. The latter is defined by the relation

$$(4\pi/i\omega)\langle \mathbf{j}\rangle_{\omega}{}^{s}(\mathbf{\bar{x}}) = \int d^{3}x' \mathbf{\bar{\chi}}_{\omega}{}^{s}(\mathbf{\bar{x}},\mathbf{\bar{x}}') \cdot \mathbf{\bar{E}}_{\omega}(\mathbf{\bar{x}}'), \qquad (2)$$

where $\langle \mathbf{j} \rangle$ is the Vlasov current density, and s is the species label.

The relation obtained is

$$\int d\Gamma f(\Gamma) K_2(\Gamma) = -(4\pi)^{-1} \int d^3x \int d^3x' \vec{\mathbf{E}}_{\,\omega} * (\vec{\mathbf{x}}) \cdot \vec{\chi}_{\,\omega}' (\vec{\mathbf{x}}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}') \cdot \vec{\mathbf{E}}_{\,\omega} (\vec{\mathbf{x}}'), \qquad (3)$$

where Γ denotes \vec{R} , \vec{P} (the oscillation-center variables), f is the quasistatic phase-space density, K_2 is the quadratic (in \vec{E}_{ω}) part of the Hamiltonian, and the prime on $\vec{\chi}$ represents Hermitian (reactive) part. Now the linear susceptibility $\vec{\chi}$ has been intensively studied in many problems of interest. Hence