

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

VOLUME 39

8 AUGUST 1977

NUMBER 6

Further Muonic-Atom Test of Vacuum Polarization

C. K. Hargrove, E. P. Hincks, R. J. McKee, and H. Mes
National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa, Canada K1A 0R6

and

A. L. Carter, M. S. Dixit,^(a) D. Kessler, and J. S. Wadden
Carleton University, Ottawa, Canada K1S 5B6

and

H. L. Anderson
University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637

and

A. Zehnder^(b)
California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125

(Received 11 May 1977)

We have accurately measured eleven muonic-atom transition energies in five elements sensitive to the vacuum-polarization corrections. We find good agreement between the theoretical and experimental values, the average difference being $(0.18 \pm 0.15)\%$ of the vacuum-polarization correction.

Recently, the energy levels in muonic atoms have been used to test the high-order vacuum-polarization corrections.¹⁻⁶ The latest results⁶ of theory and experiment are in agreement to better than 0.7%. Our final results, presented here, from a recent measurement at the Space Radiation Effects Laboratory (SREL),⁷ improve the agreement to better than 0.2%. This verification of the calculations increases the confidence in the recently reported⁸⁻¹¹ π^- mass.

Our increased accuracy was made possible by recent precise energy measurements by Deslattes *et al.*¹² of the 412-keV γ ray of ¹⁹⁸Au and the γ rays of ¹⁹²Ir which were used in the calibration. Further, the intrinsic Ge spectrometer system had high resolution, symmetrical line shape, and no measurable effect due to incident γ -ray

angle, and was unaffected by high count rates. Finally, good stability was achieved over the duration of the experiment. This, combined with many tests for possibly systematic shifts, substantially reduced the uncertainty of this experiment. We used a 3.1-cm³ intrinsic Ge diode,¹³ with a resolution of 870 eV at 316 keV. The spectrometer system was stabilized using the 122-keV γ ray of ⁵⁷Co and the 477-keV γ ray of ⁷Be for zero and gain stabilization respectively. The energy calibration was based on ten standard γ rays.¹⁴ A quadratic relation between γ -ray energy and channel number gave a rms deviation for the ten lines of less than 1 eV with a χ^2/N of 1.2. Calibrations for different time periods showed no shifts and agreed with each other within statistical errors for the sample (2 eV) over the whole

TABLE I. Comparison of the experimental and calculated transition energies for several muonic atoms which are sensitive to the vacuum-polarization correction. The theoretical numbers are from Ref. 6. The weighted deviation of all transitions, as a fraction of the vacuum-polarization correction, is 0.0018 ± 0.0015 .

Transition	Experiment (eV)	Theory (eV)	Vacuum polarization (eV)	Theory - experiment (eV)
Ca $3d-2p$	$156\,842.4 \pm 5.2$	$156\,845 \pm 3$	716	2.6 ± 6.0
	$158\,171.6 \pm 6.6$	$158\,182 \pm 4$	734	10.4 ± 7.7
Ba $5g-4f$	$199\,917.3 \pm 4.8$	$199\,907 \pm 3$	748	-10.3 ± 5.7
	$201\,274.7 \pm 7.1$	$201\,274 \pm 3$	762	-0.7 ± 7.7
Sn $4f-3d$	$345\,253.7 \pm 6.9$	$345\,254 \pm 5$	1731	0.3 ± 8.5
	$349\,975.4 \pm 4.9$	$349\,978 \pm 5$	1795	2.6 ± 7.0
Tl $5g-4f$	$420\,757.3 \pm 3.7$	$420\,765 \pm 7$	2038	7.7 ± 7.9
Pb $5g-4f$	$431\,327.6 \pm 3.4$	$431\,336 \pm 7$	2106	8.4 ± 7.8
	$437\,749.4 \pm 13.7$	$437\,751 \pm 7$	2190	1.6 ± 15.3
Ba $4f-3d$	$433\,904.8 \pm 9.6$	$433\,910 \pm 7$	2328	5.2 ± 11.9
	$441\,361.7 \pm 5.1$	$441\,365 \pm 7$	2435	3.3 ± 8.7

energy range. The calibration uncertainty was determined to be between 0.7 and 3 eV, depending on the energy, by use of subsets of the standard γ rays. Full details of the experimental techniques will be given in a forthcoming paper on precision measurements of muonic-atom transitions.

We report here the eleven transition energies in five elements in the energy range 150–450 keV used to test the theory. The energies derived using two independent line-fitting functions, the ratio of two quartics¹⁵ and a Gaussian with exponential tails, gave the same results within 1 eV. The calibration lines were initially fitted to determine the variation of the line-shape parameters as a function of channel number. Then the source peaks were refitted with the new parameters to give the energy calibration. The muonic x-ray peaks were then fitted using the appropriate parameters with a Lorentzian folded in to account for the natural x-ray linewidth. From the positions thus determined and the calibration, the energies were calculated. No further corrections were made.¹⁶ The results are shown in Table I, along with the theoretical energies¹⁷ and vacuum polarization as quoted by Vuilleumier *et al.*⁶ The error quoted includes the statistical error, the error due to interfering lines, the calibration error (0.7 to 3 eV), and a constant systematic error of 1.7 eV. This last error accounts for the uncertainty in the ¹⁹⁸Au line (1.2 eV), the fitting error (1 eV), angular effect (0.7 eV), and timing

effect (0.1 eV). All errors were added in quadrature. We also show the difference between the measured and calculated energies.

An inspection of the table shows that there is good agreement between theory and experiment. Further, if we assign the difference totally to the vacuum-polarization correction, we find that the average difference is $(0.18 \pm 0.15)\%$.

We wish to thank Karl Hafner, Allen Clark, Jean-Paul Legault, and Les Bird for their assistance with the apparatus, and Joe Kukulka for the on-line programming. We are indebted to R. D. Deslattes, P. J. S. Watson, and M. K. Sundaresan for useful discussions. Finally the cooperation of R. T. Siegel (the Director of SREL) and of the SREL operating staff was greatly appreciated. The U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration kindly loaned us the ²⁰⁴Pb target.

This work was supported by the National Research Council of Canada as part of the program of the Institute of Particle Physics and by the National Science Foundation and the U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration.

^(a)Present address: University of Victoria Group, TRIUMF, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B. C., V6T 1W5 Canada.

^(b)Present address: Laboratorium für Kernphysik der ETH-Zürich, 8093 Zürich, Switzerland.

¹M. S. Dixit *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **27**, 878 (1971).

²G. Backenstoss *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **31B**, 233 (1970), and **43B**, 539 (1973).

³H. K. Walter *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **40B**, 197 (1972).

⁴M. S. Dixit *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **35**, 1633 (1975).

⁵L. Tauscher *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **35**, 410 (1975).

⁶J. L. Vuilleumier *et al.*, Z. Phys. **A278**, 109 (1976).

The theoretical numbers in this paper are in essential agreement with P. J. S. Watson and M. K. Sundaresan, Can. J. Phys. **52**, 2037 (1974).

⁷SREL is supported by the National Science Foundation, the state of Virginia, and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

⁸G. Backenstoss *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **36B**, 403 (1971), and **43B**, 539 (1973); L. Tauscher, in *High Energy Physics and Nuclear Structure—1975*, AIP Conference Proceedings No. 26, edited by D. E. Nagle *et al.* (American Institute of Physics, New York, 1975), p. 541.

⁹V. I. Marushenko *et al.*, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **23**, 72 (1976) [JETP Lett. **23**, 80 (1976)].

¹⁰R. E. Shafer, Phys. Rev. **163**, 1451 (1967), and Phys. Rev. D **8**, 2313 (1973).

¹¹A. L. Carter *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **37**, 1380 (1976).

¹²R. D. Deslattes *et al.*, in *Atomic Masses and Fundamental Constants*, 5, edited by J. H. Sanders and A. H. Wapstra (Plenum, New York, 1976); R. D. Deslattes,

private communications.

¹³The Ge detector was fabricated by the Ge Detector Division of the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, Calif.

¹⁴¹⁹⁸Au: 411 805.2 ± xxx eV; ¹⁹²Ir: 2057 795.82 ± 0.19 eV, 295 958.6 ± 0.28 eV, 308 457.09 ± 0.34 eV, 316 508.72 ± 0.23 eV, 468 072.29 ± 0.39 eV; R. D. Deslattes, private communication; the Ir values include data from G. L. Borchert *et al.*, unpublished. All errors quoted are relative to Au. ²²⁸Th: 238 631 ± 3 eV, R. L. Graham *et al.*, Can. J. Phys. **43**, 171 (1965). ⁵⁷Co: 122 066.3 ± 2 eV, 136 476.7 ± 2 eV; ¹³⁹Ce: 165 857.5 ± 6 eV; R. G. Helmer, private communication.

¹⁵R. J. McKee *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods **92**, 421 (1971).

¹⁶In previous experiments (Refs. 1 and 4) corrections were made for angular or timing effects. In this experiment they were determined to be consistent with zero (0 ± 0.7 eV and 0 ± 0.1 eV, respectively) and thus no corrections were applied.

¹⁷Our Pb measurements, also from this experiment, indicate that the electron screening calculations are accurate to about 2%. See P. Vogel *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A **15**, 76 (1977). The electron screening correction in our case is between 10 and 200 ppm.

Intermultiplet Mixing of the Vector Mesons in a Nonperturbative Approach to Broken SU(4)

Milton D. Slaughter

Theoretical Division, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, University of California, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

and

S. Oneda

Center for Theoretical Physics, Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742

(Received 2 May 1977)

We predict the mass spectrum of the 2^3S_1 , $J^{PC}=1^{--}$ vector mesons, the $D^*-D^{*'}$ mixing angle, and the $F^*-F^{*'}$ mixing angle (defined to be equal to the $D^*-D^{*'}$ mixing angle) in a nonperturbative approach to broken SU(4) symmetry.

In this Letter, we demonstrate the necessity of intermultiplet (i.e., configuration) mixing between the ground-state 1^3S_1 and excited-state 2^3S_1 (our notation is $N^{2S+1}L_J$), $J^{PC}=1^{--}$ vector mesons. The (radial) mixing involves only the charmed sector ($c = \pm 1$) of the mass spectrum, i.e., the $D^*-D^{*'}$ and $F^*-F^{*'}$ (in our rather obvious notation, $\psi', F^{*'}, D^{*'}, \rho', \dots$, are the radially excited counterparts to the ground-state $\psi, F^*, D^*, \rho, \dots$) and is essentially zero for the uncharmed sector.¹

We use a *nonperturbative approach* to broken SU(4) symmetry^{2,3}—the method of asymptotic SU(4) and algebraic realization—since the large

mass differences present in SU(4) multiplets raise serious doubts as to the validity of the usual perturbation-theoretic arguments.

In asymptotic SU(4), creation and annihilation operators of physical particles transform linearly under SU(4), but only in the infinite momentum limit. The ground-state mixing parameters are defined (in the zero charm sector) among the physical fields φ , ω , and ψ , and the SU(4) representation fields a_8 , a_0 , and a_{15} , in the infinite momentum limit (we suppress helicity indices) by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \omega \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_8 & \alpha_0 & \alpha_{15} \\ \beta_8 & \beta_0 & \beta_{15} \\ \delta_8 & \delta_0 & \delta_{15} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_8 \\ a_0 \\ a_{15} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$