

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

VOLUME 39

19 DECEMBER 1977

NUMBER 25

Quantum Chromodynamics Test for Jets

Edward Farhi

Lyman Laboratory of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

(Received 26 September 1977)

A new quantity, the maximum of the directed momentum, is proposed which measures jetlikeness in e^+e^- annihilation. This quantity is computed in the quark-gluon model by using renormalization-group improved perturbation theory.

Hadronic jets have been observed in electron-positron annihilation experiments at energies above 5 or 6 GeV.¹ The jet angular distribution is nicely predicted by the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ parton model.² Very recently, Serman and Weinberg³ have shown that the jet structure follows from a trustworthy perturbative calculation in a pure field theory, quantum chromodynamics (QCD), without assuming any phenomenology such as a transverse-momentum cutoff.

In this paper a new quantity, the maximum directed momentum, or d , is defined which has two distinct virtues. First it can be used as a direct measure of the jetlikeness of an event. Second, it can be computed in QCD in the spirit of the Serman-Weinberg work.³ Thus the measurement of d would provide a nice test of QCD.

To define d go into the center-of-mass frame where each final-state particle has momentum \vec{p}_a with $a = 1$ to n (n is the number of final-state particles). For an arbitrary unit vector \hat{r} consider

$$d(\hat{r}) \equiv \sum_a \vec{p}_a \cdot \hat{r} \theta(\vec{p}_a \cdot \hat{r}), \quad (1)$$

where θ is the unit step function. $d(\hat{r})$ tells how much momentum is directed along \hat{r} since only those momenta whose \hat{r} component is positive contribute to the sum. Then d is defined as

$$d \equiv \frac{\max_{\hat{r}} d(\hat{r})}{\sum_a |\vec{p}_a|}. \quad (2)$$

Thus d is found by maximizing $d(\hat{r})$ over all directions and dividing by a normalization factor. In the case of pure jets, i.e., all the momentum coming in two back-to-back, zero-opening-angle streams, one gets $d = \frac{1}{2}$. If the final state is an isotropic distribution of an infinite number of particles (the "opposite" of jets) then $d = \frac{1}{4}$. The extent to which d is close to $\frac{1}{2}$ is the extent to which the event is jetlike. This will be demonstrated again later.

The quantity d can be reliably computed, order by order perturbatively, in QCD. The argument follows that of Serman and Weinberg.³ Suppose we have a massive theory and do all renormalizations at some four-momenta of order E , the total energy. By use of standard renormalization-group techniques, g_E , the running coupling constant, is also defined relative to that point. In an asymptotically free theory like QCD,⁴ g_E tends to zero as E grows. Even so, most quantities cannot be reliably computed because there will in general be terms like a power of $\ln(m/E)$ which crop up in higher orders (m is some typical mass). These terms ruin the perturbation expansion and they become singular as $m \rightarrow 0$.

There is a way out based on a physical assumption yet to be contradicted. Those quantities (partial cross sections, averages, etc.) which in the massless case are physically sensible, i.e., measurable in principle, will have a perturbative expansion free of $m \rightarrow 0$ singularities. So if one

can unambiguously measure a quantity in the $m=0$ case it will have a reliable perturbative expansion.

The main problem with massless particles is that they are kinematically allowed to split into many massless parallel particles. If this happens the directed momentum $d(\hat{r})$ and its maximum d will both remain unchanged. They are sensible quantities in the massless case. A quantity like sphericity⁵ which is quadratic in the momenta will change if a massless particle splits.

There are two types of processes contributing to the average age $\langle d \rangle$ of d , to order g_E^2 . The first is $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma + q\bar{q}$. To order g_E^2 this includes a vertex-correction interference term which has an infrared divergence. For this process d is always $\frac{1}{2}$. The second type is the gluon bremsstrahlung process: $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma + q\bar{q}g$. The differential cross section is⁶

$$d\sigma = (4 \sum_{\text{flavors}} Q^2) \frac{e^4 g_E^2}{24\pi^3 E^2} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} - \omega_1} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} - \omega_2} \times (\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2) d\omega_1 d\omega_2, \quad (3)$$

where ω_1 and ω_2 are the dimensionless quark and antiquark energies and the 4 is an SU(3) factor. This differential cross section becomes singular in the region where the gluon energy goes to 0. In this bremsstrahlung case the value of d is the maximum of the quark, antiquark, or gluon energies. As the gluon energy goes to 0 the quark-antiquark pair come out back to back, each with energy $E/2$. The value of d is $\frac{1}{2}$. In the calculation of $\langle d \rangle$ the infrared divergence in the first process cancels the divergence from the second the same way they are canceled in the total cross-section calculation. There are no leftover singularities, as we expected. The value of $\langle d \rangle$ is⁷

$$\langle d \rangle = \frac{1}{2} - a g_E^2 / 6\pi^2, \quad (4)$$

where

$$a = \frac{1}{2} \int_1^2 (dy/y) \ln(1+y) - \frac{1}{144} - \frac{3}{32} \ln 3. \quad (5)$$

The integral cannot be done in terms of known elementary functions⁸ and a is approximately 0.197.

We see that as $g_E \rightarrow 0$, $\langle d \rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$ so that at very

high energies we may expect pure jets. If an event has very many particles, uniformly distributed in two back-to-back cones with opening half-angles α , then $d = (1 + \cos\alpha)/4$. So α is a measure of the narrowness of the jet. Equating this with $\langle d \rangle$ from Eq. (4) we get

$$\cos\alpha = 1 - 2a g_E^2 / 3\pi^2. \quad (6)$$

Using the standard QCD formula⁴ $g_E^2 = 24\pi^2 / 25 \ln(E/\Lambda)$ with $\Lambda = 0.5$ GeV at the current experimental energy of $E = 7.4$ GeV, we get $\alpha \approx 17^\circ$. The decrease in α as E grows will be very slow.

I would like to thank Orlando Alvarez and Steven Weinberg for their help. Howard Georgi and Marie Machacek⁹ have independently done work similar to this and I would also like to thank them for valuable discussions. This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY75-20427.

¹G. Hanson *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **35**, 1609 (1975); R. F. Schwitters, in *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Lepton and Photon Interactions at High Energy*, edited by W. T. Kirk (Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford, Calif., 1975), p. 5; G. Hanson, SLAC Report No. SLAC-PUB-1814, 1976 (unpublished).

²S. D. Drell, D. J. Levy, and T.-M. Yan, Phys. Rev. **187**, 2159 (1969), and Phys. Rev. D **1**, 1617 (1970); N. Cabibbo, G. Parisi, and M. Testa, Lett. Nuovo Cimento **4**, 35 (1970).

³G. Sterman and S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **39**, 1436 (1977).

⁴D. J. Gross and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **30**, 1343 (1973); H. D. Politzer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **30**, 1346 (1973).

⁵J. Ellis, M. K. Gaillard, and G. G. Ross, Nucl. Phys. **B111**, 253 (1976). Interestingly, sphericity is finite to order g_E^2 but there are no assurances about higher orders.

⁶This agrees with another interesting paper on jets [A. T. DeGrand, Y. J. Ng, and S.-H. H. Tye SLAC Report No. SLAC-PUB-1950, 1977 (to be published)], but it disagrees with Ref. 5.

⁷In practice it is much easier to compute $\langle d - \frac{1}{2} \rangle$ since this is 0 for the first process and is finite for the second.

⁸I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Tables of Integrals, Series, and Products* (Academic, New York, 1965), p. 205.

⁹H. Georgi and M. Machacek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **39**, 1237 (1977).