The authors would like to thank D. L, Holcomb and A. J. Millet for help with the construction and electronics; O. L. Keller, A. Zucker, and P. H. Stelson for their assistance and encouragement; E. Ricci, W. T. Milner, J. C. Ogle, J. D. Larson, C. W. Nestor, Jr., C. A. Gossett, and T. A. Carlson for help in preparation and analysis of the data; P. A. Haas and J. F. Emery for the resin beads and analyses; R. W. Hoff and L. G. Multhauf of Lawrence Livermore Laboratory for the Cs samples; the staff of SSRP and SLAC whose assistance made the experiment possible; and ORTEC, Inc. for technical advice.

)Visiting scientist at Oak Ridge National Laboratory from Columbia Union College, Takoma Park, Md. 20012 under National Science Foundation research Grant No. DES74-234S.

 ${}^{1}$ R. V. Gentry et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 37, 11 (1976).  ${}^{2}$ R. V. Gentry, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Sci. 23, 347 (1973), and Science 169, 670 (1970).

 ${}^{3}$ See, for example, Super-Heavy Elements—Theoretical Predictions and Experimental Generation, Nobel Symposium 27, edited by S. G. Nilsson and N. R. Nilsson, Phys. Scr. 10A (1974).

 $^{4}$ T. Åberg, in *Atomic Inner Shell Processes*, edited by B. Crasemann (Academic, New York, 1975), p. 353.  ${}^{5}$ J. D. Fox et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 37, 629 (1976).

 ${}^{6}$ T. A. Carlson et al., Nucl. Phys. A135, 57 (1969); C. C. Lu et al., Nucl. Phys. A175, 289 (1971).

 ${}^{7}$ H. Winick, in Proceedings of the Ninth International Conference on IIigh Energy Accelerators, Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford, California, 1974, CONF-740 522 (National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Va., 1974), p. 685.

 ${}^{8}$ J. H. Scofield, Lawrence Ratiation Laboratory Report No. UCBL-51326, 1973 (unpublished); D. T. Cromer and D. Libermann, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory Report No. LA-4403, 1970 (unpublished); W. H. McMaster et al., Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Report No. UCRL-50174, Sect. II, Rev. I, 1969 (unpublished),

 $^{9}$ W. Bambynek et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 44, 716 (1972).  $^{10}$ J. H. Scofield, Phys. Rev. 179, 9 (1969).

 ${}^{11}$ R. Anholt and J.O. Rasmussen, Phys. Rev. A 9, 585 (1974).

 ${}^{12}C$ . J. Sparks, Jr., Phys. Rev. Lett. 33, 262 (1974).

## Fine Structure of the Magnetic Dipole States in <sup>208</sup>Pb

J. S. Dehesa

Institut für Kernphysik, Kernforschungsanlage Jülich, D-5170 Jülich, West Germany

and

J. Speth and Amand Faessler

Institut für Kernphysik, Kernforschungsanlage Jülich, D-5170 Jülich, West Germany, and Physik Department, Universität Bonn, D-5300 Bonn, West Germany

(Received 6 December 1976)

The splitting of the magnetic dipole states in  $^{208}$ Pb due to the admixture of two-particle, two-hole configurations is calculated. The qualitative structure of a one-particle, onehole calculation remains but about  $30\%$  of the M1 strength is distributed over many levels above 8 MeV. The influence of the spin-dependent part of the interaction on the results is critically discussed.

For more than ten years experimentalists and theorists have investigated magnetic dipole states in For more than ten years experimentalists and theorists have investigated magnetic dipole states i<br>nuclei. Originally most of the work was done for light nuclei.<sup>1,2</sup> Recently, however, these investiga tions have been extended also to the heavier ones. From a comparison of the experimental data with the theoretical results one expects information about the spin-dependent part of the interaction.<sup>3</sup> Among all the heavy nuclei, <sup>208</sup>Pb is the best example for such a comparison because the assumptions of the all the heavy nuclei, <sup>208</sup>Pb is the best example for such a comparison because the assumptions of the theoretical treatment are most reliable here. The structure of the magnetic dipole resonances in <sup>208</sup>Pb is mainly given by the two spin-orbit partners  $\pi I h_{9/2} - \pi I h_{11/2}$ <sup>-1</sup> and  $\nu I i_{11/2} - \nu I i_{13/2}$ <sup>-1</sup>. Therefore, in a oneparticle, one-hole (1p-1h) approximation [Tamm-Dancoff approximation, random-phase approximation  $(RPA)$ ] one expects to obtain two 1<sup>+</sup> states which practically exhaust the total M1 transition strength to  $(KPA)$  one expects to obtain two T states which practically exhaust the total MT transition strength the ground state. The relative strengths and the energies of the two states, however, depend sensitively on the particleon the particle-hole interaction used.

<sup>\*</sup>Research sponsored by the National Science Foundation under contract with Stanford University and by the U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration under contract with Union Carbide Corporation.

t

Here the *Ansatz* of Migdal<sup>6</sup> has been used:

$$
F^{\text{ph}}(\mathbf{\vec{r}}_1, \mathbf{\vec{r}}_2) = C \delta(\mathbf{\vec{r}}_1 - \mathbf{\vec{r}}_2) \left\{ f_0 + f_0 \prime \mathbf{\vec{r}}_1 \cdot \mathbf{\vec{r}}_2 + g_0 \mathbf{\vec{0}}_1 \cdot \mathbf{\vec{0}}_2 + g_0 \prime (\mathbf{\vec{0}}_1 \cdot \mathbf{\vec{0}}_2) \mathbf{\vec{r}}_1 \cdot \mathbf{\vec{r}}_2 \right\}.
$$

Only the spin-dependent part of the force contributes to the magnetic properties. From an analysis of magnetic moments and transition probabilities<sup>7</sup> nearly equal values of  $g_0$  and  $g_0^{\phantom{\dag}}'$ follow:

$$
g_0^{p} = g_0^{n} = g_0 + g_0' = 1.3
$$
;  $g_0^{p} = g_0 - g_0' = -0.15$ .

In this Letter we present the results of a 2p-2h RPA calculation of magnetic dipole states in  $^{208}Pb$ . We have performed this calculation in order to investigate whether the inclusion of 2p-2h correlations changes qualitatively the 1p-1h result of Ref. 5. Such an effect has been reported by Lee and Pittel.

The present calculation has been performed in the core-coupling RPA.<sup>9</sup> Here we take into account the splitting of the single-particle (or single-hole) states due to the admixture of the lowlying states of  $208$ Pb. The wave functions within this approach are written as

$$
|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{m,i} (X_{mi} \chi_m^{\dagger} \chi_i - Y_{mi} \chi_i^{\dagger} \chi_m) |\varphi_0\rangle, \qquad (2)
$$

with

$$
\chi_m^{\dagger} = C_m a_m^{\dagger} + \sum_{\alpha,\nu} C_{\alpha\nu}^{(m)} B_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\nu}^{\dagger}.
$$
 (3)

The amplitudes  $X$  and  $Y$  are determined from RPA-like equations,<sup>9</sup> and  $a_m$ <sup>†</sup> and  $B_\alpha$ <sup>†</sup> are shellmodel single-particle creation operators and the usual RPA phonon creation operators, respectively.

In a first step, using the methods of Ring and Werner,<sup>10</sup> we determine the coefficients  $C_m$  and  $C_{\alpha\nu}^{(m)}$  of Eq. (3), i.e., the distribution of the single-particle (or hole) strength due to the admixtures of the core states. In this step of the procedure (but not in the following 2p-2h calculation) we neglect the Pauli principle. This is justified since the single-particle states involved are highly degenerate. Experimentally we know that the single-particle (or -hole) strengths in the neighboring odd-mass nuclei of  $^{208}Pb$  deviate only a little from unity, except for those single-particle states which possess the "wrong" parity. This is connected with the fact that these levels (e.g.,  $\pi h_{11/2}$ <sup>-1</sup> and  $\nu i_{13/2}$ <sup>-1</sup>) admix easily with the prevailing levels of the opposite parity and the low-lying collective  $3^{\degree}$  state of  $^{208}$ Pb. Therefore, in the present approach, we only take into account the splitting of the hole states.

In Fig. 1(a), the 1p-1h RPA results of Ref. 5

are shown. Here an effective magnetic operator was used which reduces the absolute values of the transition probabilities. This operator corrects for mesonic and higher-order (e.g., 2p-2h) contributions.<sup>6,11</sup> For comparison, in Fig. 1(b) the tributions.<sup>6,11</sup> For comparison, in Fig. 1(b) the same RPA result is given but without using the effective operator. In the lower half we show the 2p-2h results. In a first approach  $[Fig. 1(c)]$  we investigated the influence of only the lowest  $3$ state on the spreading of the 1' states. The strength of the upper 1' level is reduced and we obtain two additional strong  $1^+$  levels about 1.0 and 1.8 MeV higher in energy. The structure



FIG. 1. Different RPA results of the magnetic dipole states in  $^{208}Pb$ . The splitting of the 1<sup>+</sup> states presented in (c) is due to the admixture of only the first excited  $(3<sup>o</sup>)$  state of <sup>208</sup>Pb. In the calculation shown in (d) all the states up to  $4.32 \text{ MeV } (4^+)$  are included. For comparison in (a) and (b) the 1p-1h RPA results of Ring and Speth (Ref. 5) are shown with and without use of their effective magnetic operator, respectively. The level at 8.30 MeV in (b) and (c) is reduced by one half. In the four calculations the parameters  $g^{dp} = 1.30$  and  $g^{pn} = -0.15$  are used.

209

Experiment		Theory I $(g^{pn}\!=\!-0.15)$		Theory II $(g^{pn} = +0.02)$	
$E_{\rm}$	B(M1)	E	B(M1)	$\cal E$	B(M1)
(MeV)	$(\mu_0^2)$	(MeV)	$(\mu_0^2)$	(MeV)	$(\mu_0^2)$
7.06 <sup>a</sup>	16.8	7.107	6.33	7.131	9.58
		7.250	0.75	7.256	2.18
		7.475	0.04	7.475	0.17
7.99 <sup>b</sup>	10.0	7.853	5.08	7.863	9,10
		7.909	0.01	7.909	0.34
				7.910	0.17
		7.927	0,45	7.927	0.02
		7.933	5.47		
		7.940	0.03		
		8.106	1,49	8,102	0.60
8.228	$1.21 \pm 0.23$	8.303	0.54	8.303	0.45
8.367	$0.93 \pm 0.04$	8,404	0.80	8.402	0.49
8.604	$1.35 \pm 0.04$	8.601	0.33	8,601	0.27
8.694	$1.23 \pm 0.08$	8,771	0.26	8.771	0.21
9.008	$1.56 \pm 0.07$	8.835	0.21	8.835	0.19
9.142	$1.39 \pm 0.31$	8.841	0.55	8.841	0.43
9,299	$0.84 \pm 0.16$	9,281	0.30	9.281	0.29
9.512	$0.99 \pm 0.21$	9.652	1.51	9.651	1.38
		9.983	0.22	9.983	0.22
		10.197	0.41	10,197	0.42
		10.302	0.44	10.302	0.42
		10.481	0.17	10,481	0.17
		10,496	0,11	10,496	0.14
		10.593	1,00	10.593	1.03
		10.768	0.33	10,770	0.71
		10.858	2.89	10.853	1.99

TABLE I. Comparison between the experimental results (Bef. 14) and two different 1p-1h plus 2p-2h RPA calculations of  $1^+$  states in  $^{208}Pb$ .

 ${}^{a}$ Ref. 12.  ${}^{b}$ Ref. 13.

changes qualitatively if we include all low-lying states of  $^{208}$ Pb up to 4.32 MeV (4<sup>+</sup>). Now the strength of the new high-lying 1' states in Fig. 1(d) is distributed over many additional 1' states and the strong state at around 8 MeV is split into two pieces. If we add these up, then the MIstrength distribution between 7 and 8 MeV is very similar to the original one of Ring and Speth; however, we now have used the bare (Schmidt) MI operator. This would only be justified if the main contributions to the effective operator are of 2p-2h character, which is probably not the case. From the meson-exchange currents, however, we might expect even an enhancement of the transition probability.

In Table I we compare these results (theory I) with the present experimental data. The new 1<sup>+</sup> states between 8 and 10 MeV agree at least qualitatively with a most recent experiment.<sup>14</sup> If we itatively with a most recent experiment. If we make this comparison for the three strongest levels then one notices that the theoretical strength distribution is just reversed. From the Ip-Ih

RPA investigations of Ref. 11 one knows that this distribution is strongly influenced by the (very weak) spin-dependent proton-neutron interaction weak) spin-ueperment proton-neutron interactions  $g^{pn}$ . If  $g^{pn} < 0$  (theory I) the proton and neutron amplitudes add coherently in the upper state; if one reverses the sign of  $g^{bn}$  the lower state is the coherent one. In Fig. 2 and Table I (theory II) we show theoretical results calculated with different, show theoretical results calculated with different weakly repulsive  $g^{\phi n}$ . The most surprising result here is the disappearance of the splitting of the strong state at around 7.9 MeV. This effect can be understood by looking at the wave functions of the two states. For  $g^{bn} = 0$  the 7.85-MeV level is predominantly a 1p-1h neutron state  $(i_{11/2})$  $\times i_{13/2}$ <sup>-1</sup>), whereas the dominant structure of the 7.93-MeV state is of the form  $[5^{-1}_{1} \times \pi 3s_{1/2}^{-1}]_{11/2}$  $\times \pi 1h_{9/2}$  plus a  $\pi 1h_{9/2} \times \pi 1h_{11/2}$ <sup>-1</sup> admixture of 0.2 in amplitude. A finite proton-neutron interaction  $g^{\phi n} \neq 0$  gives rise to a mixture of the two states The Ip-1h MI components of the two states add coherently in the upper state if  $g^{pn} < 0$  and destructively for  $g^{pn} > 0$ . For small positive  $g^{pn}$  they



FIG. 2. RPA results of the magnetic dipole states in <sup>208</sup>Pb, using different proton-neutron interactions  $g^{pn}$ .

nearly cancel each other. The structure of the 7.10-MeV level is predominantly  $\pi 1h_{9/2} \times \pi 1h_{11/2}$ <sup>-1</sup>, whereas the levels between 8 and 11 MeV are of 2p-2h character with small 1p-1h admixtures. The higher states in Figs. 1 and 2 are due to the  $2\hbar\omega$  excitations.<sup>15</sup>  $2\hbar\omega$  excitations.<sup>15</sup>

In conclusion, we have calculated the splitting of the low-lying M1 resonances due to the admixture of the 2p-2h configurations. Because of this effect about  $\frac{1}{3}$  of the M1 strength is shifted to higher energies and is distributed over many levels between 8 and 11 MeV. In addition we have shown that the  $M1$ -strength distribution of the main

peaks depends very sensitively on the spin-depeaks depends very sensitively on the spin-<br>pendent proton-neutron interaction  $g^{pn}$ . The present experimental data seem to favor a small positive  $g^{bn}$  value  $(g_0' < g_0)$ . This does not necespositive  $g^2$  value ( $g^2$   $g^2$ ). This does not neces-<br>sarily mean that the originally small negative  $g^{pn}$ value  $(g_0' > g_0)$  which has been deduced from magnetic moments and low-lying  $M1$  transitions<sup>7</sup> is incorrect, since we have used a zero-range force. This might indicate a finite-range effect, because this force is determined by the one- $\pi$  exchange, which reduces the  $g_0^{\phantom{\dag}}$  parameter  $^{16}$  and from which one expects that it gets more important with increasing transition energy.

<sup>1</sup>S. S. Hanna, in *Proceedings of the International Con*ference on Nuclear Structure and Spectroscopy, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1974, edited by H. P. Blok and A. E. L. Dieperink (Scholar's Press, Amsterdam, 1974), Vol. 2, p. 249; L. W. Fagg, Rev. Mod. Phys. 47, 683 (1975).

 $^{2}$ D. Kurath, Phys. Rev. 130, 1525 (1963).

 ${}^{3}$ M. R. Anastasio and G. E. Brown, to be published.

<sup>4</sup>J.D. Vergados, Phys. Lett. 36B, 12 (1971).

 ${}^{5}P$ . Ring and J. Speth, Phys. Lett. 44B, 477 (1973).

 ${}^6A$ . B. Migdal, Theory of Finite Fermi Systems and

APPlications to Atomic Nuclei (Wiley, New York, 1967).  $R$ . Bauer, J. Speth, V. Klemt, P. Ring, E. Werner,

and T. Yamazaki, Nucl. Phys. A209, 585 (1978).  ${}^{8}$ T.-S. H. Lee and S. Pittel, Phys. Rev. C 11, 607 (1975).

9J. S. Dehesa, S. Krewald, J. Speth, and A. Faessler, to be published.

 $^{10}$ P. Ring and E. Werner, Nucl. Phys. A211, 198 (1973).  $11$ J. Speth, E. Werner, and W. Wild, to be published.

 $^{12}$ R. J. Holt and H. E. Jackson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 36,

<sup>244</sup> (1976); B.J. Holt, B. M. Laszewski, and H. E. Jackson, to be published.

<sup>13</sup>S. J. Freedman, C. A. Gagliardi, G. T. Garvey, M. A. Oothondt, and B. Svetitsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 1606 (1976).

 $^{14}$ R. M. Laszewski, R. J. Holt, and H. E. Jackson, to be published.

 $^{15}$ J. Speth, J. Wambach, V. Klemt, and S. Krewald, Phys. Lett. 63B, 257 (1976).

 $^{16}$ G. E. Brown, S.-O. Bäckman, E. Oset, and W. Weise, private communication, and to be published.