

# PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

VOLUME 37

30 AUGUST 1976

NUMBER 9

## Left-Degenerate Vacuum Metrics

Jerzy F. Plebanski\*

*Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del Instituto Politécnico Nacional,  
México 14, Distrito Federal, México*

and

Ivor Robinson

*The University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, Texas 75080*

(Received 21 May 1976)

For all complex space-times in which the self-dual part of the Weyl tensor is algebraically degenerate, Einstein's vacuum equations are reduced to a single differential equation of the second order and second degree.

It is well known that Einstein's vacuum equations can be simplified considerably if the space-time admits a congruence of null shear-free geodesics,<sup>1</sup> or if the Weyl tensor is anti-self-dual.<sup>2</sup> Here we shall consider a broad class of complex metrics which includes both these as special cases.

We impose only one restriction on our space-time: that it admits a congruence of totally null surfaces. To describe them, we introduce the surface element

$$\Sigma_{ab} \equiv u_{,a} v_{,b} - v_{,a} u_{,b},$$

and the expansion form

$$\theta \equiv \theta_a dx^a \equiv \frac{1}{2}(u_{,a}{}^a dv - v_{,a}{}^a du),$$

where  $u$  and  $v$  are functionally independent scalars, constant on each surface. By a totally null surface we mean a differentiable two-space to which all tangent vectors are null. It follows that  $du$  and  $dv$  are null and mutually orthogonal. From this, one can easily prove that<sup>3</sup>

$$\Sigma_{ab;r} \Sigma^{rc} + \Sigma_{ab} \theta^c = 0.$$

In the special case  $\theta = 0$ , not merely is  $\Sigma$  covari-

antly constant on each surface, but the equations

$$x_{,r}{}^a \Sigma^{rb} = 0$$

have a tetrad of independent solutions. A totally null surface, therefore, is geodesic by definition, and plane if its expansion vector is zero.

The surface element is self-dual or anti-self-dual. We describe the congruence as left-handed in the first case, and right-handed in the second. A congruence of null shear-free geodesics is the intersection of a left-handed congruence of totally null surfaces with a right-handed one.<sup>1</sup> Here, of course, we are dealing with only one congruence. We take it to be left-handed.

For our purposes, the empty-space equations fall naturally into three classes: first, the three *surface equations*,

$$\Sigma_a{}^b R_{p_a} \Sigma_b{}^q = 0;$$

second, the *central equations*, comprising  $R = 0$  and the three remaining equations of

$$R_{aa} \Sigma_b{}^a = 0;$$

and third, the three *residual equations* of  $R_{ab} = 0$ . Since  $\Sigma$  is self-dual, null, and closed, the equa-

tion

$$\Sigma_{ab} C^b{}_{cqr} \Sigma^{ra} = 0$$

is an integrability condition for the surface equations.<sup>4</sup> It signifies that the self-dual part of the Weyl tensor is degenerate. Conversely, any left-degenerate vacuum space-time contains a congruence of left-handed null surfaces.<sup>4</sup> In the plane case, the surface equations are satisfied identically, and a stronger equation of left degeneracy,

$$C_{abqr} \Sigma^{ra} = 0,$$

is equivalent to  $R = 0$ .

Before setting to work on the field equations, we introduce complex coordinates specially adapted to the congruence. Two of them are  $u$  and  $v$ . The other two,  $x$  and  $y$ , are chosen so that

$$ds^2 = 2e^1 e^2 + 2e^3 e^4,$$

with

$$e^1 = \varphi^{-2} du, \quad e^2 = dx + \mathcal{P} du + \mathcal{R} dv,$$

$$e^3 = \psi^{-2} dv, \quad e^4 = dy + \mathcal{R} du + \mathcal{Q} dv.$$

On substituting into the integrability condition, we obtain

$$[\ln(\varphi/\psi)]_{xy} = 0,$$

which shows that a suitable transformation of the form  $x \rightarrow X(u, v, x)$ ,  $y \rightarrow Y(u, v, y)$ , makes  $\varphi = \psi$ .

(We remark, incidentally, that the two-form  $\Sigma/\varphi$  is now covariantly constant on each null surface.) The surface equations read

$$\varphi_{xx} = \varphi_{xy} = \varphi_{yy} = 0;$$

and we still have at our disposal coordinate transformations of the form

$$u \rightarrow U(u, v), \quad x \rightarrow [xV_v - yV_u + X(u, v)]W(u, v).$$

$$v \rightarrow V(u, v), \quad y \rightarrow [yU_u - xU_v + Y(u, v)]W(u, v).$$

We use them to put  $\varphi = ay - bx + c$ , with constant  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$ . The expansion form is now given by

$$\theta = \varphi(a du + b dv).$$

The metric belongs to the Plebanski-Schild class,<sup>5</sup>

$$ds^2 = ds_0^2 + 2\varphi^{-2}(\mathcal{P} du^2 + 2\mathcal{R} du dv + \mathcal{Q} dv^2),$$

where  $ds_0^2$  is flat, while  $du$  and  $dv$  are null and orthogonal. In the special case

$$\Delta \equiv \varphi^{-2}(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q} - \mathcal{R}^2) = 0,$$

it reduces to the Kerr-Schild form.<sup>6</sup>

The central equations are more complicated. After some manipulation, one finds that the general solution contains three disposable functions:  $\Pi(u, v, x, y)$ ,  $f(u, v)$ , and  $g(u, v)$ . It may be written as

$$\varphi^{-3}\mathcal{P} = \xi f - (\varphi^{-2}\Pi_y)_y,$$

$$\varphi^{-3}\mathcal{Q} = \eta g - (\varphi^{-2}\Pi_x)_x,$$

$$2\varphi^{-3}\mathcal{R} = \xi g + \eta f + (\varphi^{-2}\Pi_x)_y + (\varphi^{-2}\Pi_y)_x,$$

where  $\xi = f$  and  $\eta = g$  for  $\theta \neq 0$ , while  $\xi = \frac{2}{3}x$  and  $\eta = \frac{2}{3}y$  for  $\theta = 0$ . One can derive the second case as a limit of the first. The residual equations take the form

$$\Xi_{xx} = \Xi_{xy} = \Xi_{yy} = 0,$$

with the integral

$$2\Xi = \gamma\alpha(u, v) - x\beta(u, v) + \gamma(u, v),$$

where  $\Xi$  is constructed from  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$ ,  $f$ ,  $g$ , and  $\Pi$ . This is our one remaining field equation.

In the diverging case, we obtain  $a = -b = 1$ ,  $c = 0$ ,  $f = g \equiv \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\mu}$ , by specializing the coordinates, and using the transformation

$$f \rightarrow f + 2ak, \quad g \rightarrow g + 2bk,$$

$$\Pi \rightarrow \Pi + k\varphi^3(fy - gx + k\varphi),$$

where  $k$  is a disposable function of  $u$  and  $v$ . We then find that

$$\Xi = \Delta + \varphi^{-2}(\Pi_x - \Pi_y)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mu\varphi(\Pi_x + \Pi_y) - 3\mu\Pi + \varphi^{-1}(\Pi_{ux} + \Pi_{vy}) - \frac{1}{4}(x - y)(x\mu_u - y\mu_v).$$

We make  $\mu$  constant and put  $\alpha = \beta$  by specializing the coordinates further and using the transformation

$$\Pi \rightarrow \Pi + \frac{1}{6}\varphi^3 l(u, v), \quad \alpha \rightarrow \alpha + l_u + l_v, \quad \beta \rightarrow \beta - l_u - l_v.$$

The self-dual components of the Weyl tensor are given by<sup>7</sup>

$$C^{(5)} = C^{(4)} = 0, \quad C^{(3)} = -2\mu\varphi^3, \quad C^{(2)} = 2\beta\varphi^5,$$

$$C^{(1)} = 2\varphi^7[y\beta_u - x\beta_v - 2\beta(\Pi_x - \Pi_y) + (\partial_u + \partial_v)\{\frac{1}{2}\gamma - \mu\varphi^{-1/2}(\partial_x + \partial_y)\varphi^{-3/2}\Pi\}];$$

the anti-self-dual components, by

$$\bar{C}^{(n)} = 2\varphi^3 \partial_x^{5-n} \partial_y^{n-1} \Pi, \quad n = 1, \dots, 5.$$

In the plane case, it is convenient to put  $\varphi = 1$ . We then have

$$\tilde{\Xi} = \Delta + \hat{\delta}_u \Pi_x + \hat{\delta}_v \Pi_y + \frac{1}{6}(y\hat{\delta}_u - x\hat{\delta}_v)(yf - xg),$$

where

$$\hat{\delta}_u \equiv \partial_u + f, \quad \hat{\delta}_v \equiv \partial_v + g.$$

We can make  $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$  by means of a transformation on  $\Pi$ ; but this has the effect of introducing into the metric additional functions  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $r$  of  $u$  and  $v$ :

$$\mathcal{P} = -\Pi_{yy} + p + \frac{2}{3}xf, \quad \mathcal{Q} = -\Pi_{xx} + q + \frac{2}{3}yg, \quad \mathcal{R} = \Pi_{xy} + r + \frac{1}{3}(yf + xg).$$

When the field equation is satisfied, the Weyl tensor is given by

$$C^{(5)} = C^{(4)} = C^{(3)} = 0, \quad C^{(2)} = f_v - g_u, \quad C^{(1)} = (y\hat{\delta}_u - x\hat{\delta}_v)C^{(2)} - 2\hat{\delta}_v^2 p - 2\hat{\delta}_u^2 q + 4\hat{\delta}_u \hat{\delta}_v r,$$

and

$$\tilde{C}^{(n)} = 2\partial_x^{5-n} \partial_y^{n-1} \Pi, \quad n = 1, \dots, 5.$$

Without loss of generality, we can make one function zero in each of the sets  $\{f, g\}$  and  $\{p, q, r\}$ ; if the Weyl tensor is left-null, we can make  $f = g = 0$ ; if left-flat,  $f = g = p = q = r = 0$ .

One can deal with Einstein-Maxwell vacuum equations in much the same way, provided that one takes

$$\Sigma_{ab} F^{ab} = 0.$$

The surface equations are unaltered; Maxwell's equations give

$$F_{ab} dx^a \wedge dx^b = \epsilon(du \wedge dx + dv \wedge dy) + (\delta + x\epsilon_v - y\epsilon_u)du \wedge dv \\ + \varphi^2 [H_{xx} e^2 \wedge e^3 + H_{yy} e^1 \wedge e^4 + H_{xy} (e^1 \wedge e^2 + e^4 \wedge e^3)],$$

where the wedges indicate antisymmetrical tensor multiplication,  $\epsilon$  and  $\delta$  are disposable functions of  $u$  and  $v$  only, while  $H$  is subject to

$$H_{xu} + H_{yv} = \mathcal{P}H_{xx} + \mathcal{Q}H_{yy} + 2\mathcal{R}H_{xy};$$

and the remaining equations integrate in much the same way as in the purely gravitational system.<sup>8</sup> There is an interesting formal resemblance between the roles of  $\Pi$  in the last metric and  $H$  here.

The present work might well simplify the problem of finding real degenerate solutions in the case that has so far proved most refractory: that of twisting rays. Of greater interest, however, is the possibility of moving in the opposite direction: not specializing the anti-self-dual part of the Weyl tensor, but removing the present restriction on its self-dual part. This would presumably involve the introduction of a second Hertz function  $\tilde{\Pi}$ . Our conjecture is that Einstein's equations in the most general complex case could be reduced to a pair of differential equations of the second order and second degree.

We are grateful to Istvan Ozsvath and J. D. Finley for enlightening discussions.

\*On leave of absence from the University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland.

<sup>1</sup>I. Robinson and A. Trautman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **4**, 431 (1960), and in *Conférence Internationale sur les Théories Relativistes de la Gravitation, Jablonna, 1962*, edited by L. Infeld (Gautier-Villars, Paris, and PWN—Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1964), pp. 107–114; R. P. Kerr, Phys. Rev. Lett. **11**, 237 (1963).

<sup>2</sup>J. F. Plebanski, J. Math. Phys. (N. Y.) **16**, 2395 (1975); J. D. Finley, III, and J. F. Plebanski, J. Math. Phys. (N. Y.) **17**, 585 (1976).

<sup>3</sup>I. Robinson, J. Math. Phys. (N. Y.) **2**, 290 (1961).

<sup>4</sup>J. F. Plebanski and S. Hacyan, J. Math. Phys. (N. Y.) **16**, 2403 (1975); see also I. Robinson and A. Schild, J. Math. Phys. (N. Y.) **4**, 484 (1963).

<sup>5</sup>J. F. Plebanski and A. Schild, in Proceedings of the International Symposium on Mathematical Physics, Mexico City, Mexico, 5–8 January 1976 (unpublished), pp. 765–787, and to be published.

<sup>6</sup>G. C. Debney, R. P. Kerr, and A. Schild, J. Math. Phys. (N. Y.) **10**, 1842 (1969).

<sup>7</sup>The expression for  $C^{(1)}$  given here was derived by J. D. Finley, III, and A. Garcia.

<sup>8</sup>Details of this generalization will be given in a paper by A. Garcia and the present authors.