\*This work was partially supported by the U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration.

 ${}^{1}G.$  D. Watkins, in Radiation Damage in Semiconductors (Dunod, Paris, 1965), p. 97.

 ${}^{2}$ K. L. Brower, Phys. Rev. B 9, 2607 (1974).

 ${}^{3}$ J. Friedel, in Radiation Effects on Semiconductor

Components (Journées d'Electronique, Toulouse, 1967). paper All.

 ${}^{4}G$ , D. Watkins, R. P. Messmer, C. Weigel, D. Peak. and J. W. Corbett, Phys. Rev. Lett. 27, <sup>1573</sup> (1971).

 ${}^{5}C$ . Weigel, D. Peak, J. W. Corbett, G. D. Watkins, and R. P. Messmer, Phys. Rev. B 8, 2906 (1973).

6C. Weigel, D. Peak, J. W. Corbett, G. D. Watkins, and R. P. Messmer, Phys. Status Solidi (b) 63, 131 (1974).

 ${}^{7}H$ . J. Stein and F. L. Vook, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 12, 346 (1967), and Radiat. Eff. 1, 41 (1969).

 ${}^{8}$ F. L. Vook and H. J. Stein, Appl. Phys. Lett. 13, 343 (1968).

 ${}^{9}R$ . E. Whan, Appl. Phys. Lett. 8, 131 (1966), and J. Appl. Phys. 37, 2435 (1966).

 $^{10}$ R. E. Whan and F. L. Vook, Phys. Rev. 153, 814 (1967).

 $<sup>11</sup>A$ . R. Bean and R. C. Newman, Solid State Commun.</sup> 8, 175 (1970).

 $^{12}$ R. C. Newman and A. R. Bean, in Radiation Effects in Semiconductors, edited by J.W. Corbett and G.D.

Watkins (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1971), p. 155.  ${}^{13}R$ , C. Newman, Infra-red Studies of Crystal Defects (Taylor and Francis, London, 1973), p, 124 ff. '

 $14A$ . Brelot and J. Charlemagne, in Radiation Effects in Semiconductors, edited by  $J$ . W. Corbett and  $G$ . D.

Watkins (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1971), p. 161.  $^{15}$ G. D. Watkins, Phys. Rev. B 12, 5824 (1975).

## Diffuse-Neutron-Scattering Measurements of the Fractional Occupancy of the Localized  $4f<sup>1</sup>$  Configuration in Ce-Th and CeAl<sub>3</sub>  $\ddagger$ \*

A. S. Edelstein

University of Illinois, Chicago, Illinois 60680, and Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60489

and

## H. R. Child

Solid State Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

## and

C. Tranchita University of Illinois, Chicago, Illinois 60680 (Received 19 January 1976)

Diffuse-neutron-scattering measurements at  $2 < T < 300$  K have been employed to determine the fractional occupancy  $\eta$  of the localized  $4f^1$  configuration. For CeAl<sub>3</sub>,  $\eta = 0.9-$ 1.0 at 11 K. For  $Ce_{0.8}Th_{0.2}$ ,  $\eta=0.4\pm0.1$  at 11 K. No evidence of a compensating conduction-electron polatization was observed.

The collapsed phase of some systems, e.g., SmS under pressure,  $Sm_{1-x}M_{x}S(M=Gd, Y, La)$ , and  $SmAs_xS_{1-x}$ , are believed to be configurationfluctuation (CF) systems. These are systems which exist an appreciable fraction of time in more than one electronic configuration; e.g., in SmS under pressure, both the  $4f<sup>5</sup>$  and  $4f<sup>6</sup>$  configurations are occupied. X-ray photoemission measurements' have determined the fractional occupancy of these two configurations in anion-substituted SmS. Inelastic-neutron-scattering measurements<sup>2</sup> have established an upper bound of  $10\%$ on the amount of valence mixing in the black phase of SmS. It has been suggested that CeA1<sub>3</sub>,  $\alpha$ -Ce, and the  $\alpha$  phase of CeTh alloys may be CF systems. Here we present evidence based upon diffuse -neutron-scattering measurements which

show that the  $\alpha$  phase of Ce<sub>1-x</sub> Th<sub>x</sub> alloys (x=0.2, 0.266, 0.29) and possibly  $CeAl<sub>3</sub>$  are CF systems. No evidence of a compensating conduction-electron polarization was observed in either system. The square of the effective moment  $\mu_{eff}^2 = 3kT\chi/$ 

A of Ce can be reduced by  $(1)$  crystal fields,  $(2)$  a small value for the spin correlation time  $\tau$ , (3) a compensating conduction-electron polarization, and (4) a small value for the fractional occupancy of the localized  $4f<sup>1</sup>$  configuration,  $\eta$ . Using the fluctuation-dissipation theorem and the Kramers-Kronig relations, one can show that susceptibility measurements average  $\langle J(0) J(t) \rangle$  over a time proportional to  $T^{-1}$ . The susceptibility at low temperatures is determined by both  $\eta$  and  $\tau$ . In contrast, diffuse-neutron-scattering measurements with sufficiently energetic neutrons deter-

mine  $\eta$  and are insensitive to  $\tau$  provided that  $\tau$  is large compared to the neutron measuring time which is the transit time  $10^{-12} - 10^{-13}$  sec. Neutron-scattering measurements also provide information about the spatial distribution of the momation about the spatial distribution of the mo-<br>ment. Such measurements on dilute  $CuFe<sup>3</sup>$  and  $AlMn<sup>4,5</sup>$  have provided evidence for a spin-compensating conduction-electron polarization.  $NMR^{6,7}$  measurements on  $CuFe$  samples more dilute than those used in the neutron studies indicate that if such a compensating polarization exists it does not extend out as far as the first nearest Cu neighbor. Mössbauer-effect measurements' of the local susceptibility do not provide any evidence of a large compensating polarization. Gurgenishvili, Neresyan, and Kharadze<sup>9</sup> predict a negative conduction-electron polarization localized around dilute Kondo impurities, Recent renormalization-group theory has not yet been applied to this question. Boyce and Slichter<sup>6</sup> discuss this matter further. Since our systems are not dilute it is not clear that these theories are applicable.

The  $\alpha$ - $\gamma$  phase transformation in Ce under pressure and in Ce-Th alloys<sup>10</sup> is analogous to a liquid-gas transformation in that a phase change occurs without a change in the symmetry of the system and there is a line of first-order phase transitions ending in a second-order transition. Both the  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  phases are fcc but the lattice parameter is smaller in the  $\alpha$  phase. Below a critical concentration  $x_c = 0.265$  of Th in Ce<sub>1-x</sub> Th<sub>x</sub> at zero pressure, these systems undergo a first-order phase transition with decreasing temperature from the  $\gamma$  to the  $\alpha$  state. Lawrence, Croft, and Parks<sup>11</sup> have inferred that the forces involved in the transformation are very long range, since measurements show that the critical exponent for the density  $\delta$  is  $3.0 \pm 0.2$ .

Samples used in the present study were initially are melted. The CeA1, samples were annealed for two weeks at 100'C and then powdered. Some of the Ce-Th alloys were filed into a powder and then annealed for one day at 500'C. Others were prepared in the form of slabs. The structure of the alloys was determined by x-ray and neutron measurements to be fcc while CeAl, was a hexagonal  $DO_{19}$  structure. Two double-axis spectrometers, one with  $\lambda = 1.005$  Å and the other with  $\lambda$  $=4.4$  Å, at the Oak Ridge reactor were employed. At a fixed scattering angle  $2\theta$  we detected neutrons at all allowed energies; i.e.,

$$
d\sigma/d\Omega = \int_{-\infty}^{\omega_0} (d^2\sigma/d\Omega d\omega) d\omega, \qquad (1)
$$

where  $d^2\sigma/d\Omega d\omega$  is the diffuse cross section per unit solid angle  $d\Omega$  and per energy lost by the neutron, and  $\hbar \omega_0$  is the energy of the incident neutron. Though the momentum transfer  $\hbar Q$  is not precisely determined, for  $|\omega/\omega_0| < 0.2$  and  $\theta$  $\langle 20^\circ, \varrho \rangle$  is still approximately given by the elastic result  $Q = 4\pi \sin\theta / \lambda$ . In order to interpret our data we employ asymptotic results for  $d\sigma/d\Omega$  in the limit that  $\hbar\omega_0 \gg |E_\lambda - E_{\lambda'}|$  for all eigenstates E<sub>\</sub> and  $E_{\lambda'}$  in the ground-state J manifold. In this limit and for  $n=1$ . Gurgenishvili, Neresyan, and Kharadze' have included the conduction-electron polarization in their calculation of  $d\sigma/d\Omega$ . We shall consider the same limit for general  $\eta$  but for Q sufficiently large that we can neglect conduction-electron effects. With the above conditions and for a polycrystalline sample containing uncorrelated spins in zero magnetic field one can derive

$$
d\sigma/d\Omega = \frac{2}{3} p_0^2 g^2 J(J+1) F^2(Q) \eta, \qquad (2)
$$

where  $\eta$  is the fractional occupancy of the localized  $4f<sup>1</sup>$  configuration,  $p_0 = \gamma e^2 / 2m_e c^2$ , and F is the magnetic form factor. Equation (2) determines  $\eta$  as the ratio of the observed paramagnetic diffuse cross section to  $\frac{2}{3}p_0^2g^2J(J+1)F^2$  provided that F is independent of  $\eta$ .

We determine  $\eta$  for CeAl, and Ce-Th using this result.

(1) CeAl<sub>3</sub>. -- Values of  $d\sigma/d\Omega$  per Ce atom for  $\lambda$ .  $=$  1 and 4.4 Å as a function of temperature are plotted in Fig. 1. These values have not been



FIG. 1. Paramagnetic diffuse cross section  $d\sigma/d\Omega_b$ in millibarns per Ce atom per steradian for CeAl, versus  $T$ . The data denoted by + represent an average for  $0.25 \text{ Å}^{-1} \leq Q \leq 0.7 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ . Room-temperature values are denoted by RT.

corrected for nuclear disorder of thermal diffuse scattering. The nuclear disorder scattering is very small since  $CeAl<sub>3</sub>$  is a well-ordered compound and the diffuse scattering from Ce and Al is less than 10 mb. At these  $Q$  values the thermal diffuse contribution is less than 10 mb. Also shown in Fig. 1 is the elastic result  $d\sigma/d\Omega_{\rm el}$ = 387 $T\chi \propto \mu_{eff}^{2}$ , in millibarns, for  $\chi$  measure<br>on the same sample.<sup>12</sup> The previous diffracti on the same sample.<sup>12</sup> The previous diffraction<sup>1</sup> results for  $F$  based upon scattering off the 201 planes  $(Q=2.60 \text{ Å}^{-1})$  show a 30% decrease between 81 and 5 K and at 5 K are in approximate<br>agreement with the Ce<sup>3+</sup> atomic form factor.<sup>14</sup> agreement with the Ce<sup>3+</sup> atomic form factor.<sup>14</sup>

For  $\lambda$  = 1 Å,  $\,d\sigma/d\Omega$  is approximately equal to the value 311 mb predicted by Eq. (5) for  $F=1, J$  $g=\frac{6}{7}$ , and  $\eta=1$ . This is possible since  $\hbar\omega_0$  is probably larger than nearly all energies within the  $J=\frac{5}{2}$  manifold. If F is assumed to be temperature independent for  $Q < 1$  Å<sup>-1</sup>, then the decrease in  $d\sigma/d\Omega$  observed at 11 K implies that  $\eta$  has only decreased to about 0.9 and hence cannot cause a large decrease in  $\mu_{eff}^2$ . From our measurements we conclude that any negative conductionelectron polarization contribution to  $F$  greater than approximately 20% must be confined to distances greater than  $5 \text{ Å}$  or it would have been observed as a decrease in the cross section at small Q. Since there is no evidence of a large compensating conduction-electron polarization and since  $\mu_{\text{eff}}^2$  decreases more than one would expect from crystal-field effects alone if the ground state<sup>15</sup> is  $J_z = \pm \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $\mu_{eff}^2$  must also be reduced by the value of  $\tau$ .

(2)  $Ce_{0.8}Th_{0.2}$ . The susceptibility above the transition can be fitted with  $\chi = A(2.66 \pm 0.2\mu_B)^2/$  $3k(T+132)$ . Since this  $\mu$  is approximately equal to 2.54 $\mu_B$ , the value predicted by Hund's rules, one can infer  $\eta$  = 1.0 at high temperatures. The  $\theta$ value is probably primarily due to a small value for  $\tau \propto \theta^{-1}$  since  $\theta$  is larger than one would expect from magnetic interactions in this system or<br>from the crystal-field splitting.<sup>16</sup> from the crystal-field splitting.

The nuclear disorder cross section is equal to 46.6 mb under the reasonable assumption for this system that there is no chemical short-range order. If  $\hbar\omega_0$  is great enough to excite all multiphonon processes, the thermal diffuse cross section  $d\sigma/d\Omega_{\scriptscriptstyle T}$  is given by  $\overline{b}{}^2(1-e^{-2{\cal W}})$  where  $\overline{b}$  is the average scattering amplitude and  $e^{-2\mathbf{W}}$  is the Debye-Wailer factor which we determine from measuring the intensities of Bragg peaks. Subtracting the nuclear disorder and  $d\sigma/d\Omega_r$  from the total cross section, we obtain the values of the paramagnetic cross section  $d\sigma/d\Omega_b$  for  $x=0.2$  plotted



FIG. 2. Paramagnetic diffuse cross section  $d\sigma/d\Omega_{\alpha}$ in millibarns per Ce atom per steradian for  $Ce_{0.8}Th_{0.2}$ at several temperatures versus Q for (a)  $\lambda = 1.0$   $\AA$ , and (b)  $\lambda = 4.4$  Å.

versus Q in Fig. 2(a). The values of  $d\sigma/d\Omega_b$  in Fig. 2(b) have not been corrected for  $d\sigma/d\Omega_T$ which is less than 10 mb at these  $Q$  values. The values of  $d\sigma/d\Omega_r$  are sufficiently large ( $\simeq$ 100 mb) for  $Q \geq 3\text{\AA}^{-1}$  at 293°K that their subtraction decreases the precision of our measurements at high <sup>Q</sup> but they have negligible effect on our determination of  $\eta$  at small Q. The data in Fig. 2(a) are consistent with an atomic  $Ce^{3+}$  form factor. From the data of Fig. 2(b) one can infer that any negative conduction-electron polarization must be confined to distances greater than  $5 \text{ Å}$ . If there were a conduction-electron cloud whose spatial extent was similar to a Gs electron, one would observe a decrease at small Q.

The temperature dependence of  $d\sigma/d\Omega_0$  shown in Fig. 3. The points at 1  $\AA$  are extrapolations to  $Q$ =0. The points at 4.4 Å are averages of  $d\sigma/d\,\Omega$ from 0.25  $\AA^{-1} \leq Q \leq 0.7 \AA^{-1}$ . From the 1- $\AA$  data one sees that  $\eta \approx 1$  at room temperature. The fractional occupancy  $\eta$  decreases 10% above the transition, decreases  $40\%$  at the transition, and decreases another  $10\%$  below the transition. Approximately 0.<sup>4</sup> of a localized 4f electron remains at 11 K. We have obtained qualitatively



FIG, 3. Paramagnetic diffuse cross section  $d\sigma/d\Omega_b$ in millibarns per Ce atom per steradian for  $Ce_{0.8} Th_{0.2}$ versus  $T$ .

similar data for  $x = 0.266$  and 0.29. Since there is no evidence of a large conduction-electron compensating polarization, the small value of  $\mu$ <sub>eff</sub><sup>2</sup> at 11 K is due to the small value of  $\eta$ , a small value of  $\tau$ , and possibly crystal-field effects.

<sup>†</sup>Most of this work was performed while A. S. Edelstein had a summer faculty participation appointment at Oak Ridge National Laboratory and C. Tranchita had a summer appointment at Argonne National Laboratory.

\*Research sponsored by the U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration under contract with Union Carbide Corporation.

 ${}^{1}R$ , A. Pollak, F. Holtzberg, J. L. Freeouf, and D. E. Eastman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 33, 820 (1974).

 ${}^{2}S$ . M. Shapiro, R. J. Birgeneau, and E. Bucher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 34, 470 (1975).

 ${}^{3}$ M. H. Dickens, C. G. Shull, W. C. Koehler, and R. M. Moon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 35, 595 (1975).

 ${}^{4}$ N. Kroo and Z. Szentirmay, Phys. Rev. B 10, 278 (1974).

<sup>5</sup>G. Bauer and E. Seitz, Solid State Commun. 11, 179 (1972).

 ${}^{6}$ J. B. Boyce and C. P. Slichter, Phys. Rev. B 13, 379 (1976),

 ${}^{7}H$ . Alloul, Phys. Rev. Lett. 35, 460 (1975).

 ${}^{8}P$ . Steiner, S. Hufner, and W. V. Zdrojewski, Phys. Rev. B 10, 4704 (1974).

 $^{9}$ G. E. Gurgenishvili, A. A. Neresyan, and G. A.

Kharadze, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 56, 2028 (1969) [Sov. Phys. JETP 29, 1089 (1969)]. '

 $^{10}$ K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., R. O. Elliott, and R. R.

McDonald, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 23, 555, 1191, <sup>1201</sup> (1962).

 $^{11}$ J. M. Lawrence, M. C. Croft, and R. D. Parks, Phys. Rev. Lett. 35, 289 (1975).

<sup>12</sup>The data above 100°K were taken from K. H. Mader and W. M. Swift, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 29, 1759 (1968).

 $^{13}$ A. S. Edelstein, T. O. Brun, G. H. Lander, O. D. McMasters, and K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., in Magnetism and Magnetic Materials-1974, AIP Conference Proceedings No. 24, edited by C. D. Graham, Jr., G. H. Lander, and J.J. Rhyne (American Institute of Physics, New York, 1975), p. 428.

 $^{14}$ G. H. Lander and T. O. Brun, J. Chem. Phys. 53, <sup>1387</sup> (1970); M. Blume, A. J. Freeman, and R. E. Watson, J. Chem. Phys. 37, <sup>1245</sup> (1962). '

 $15K$ , H. Mader and W. M. Swift, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 29, 1759 (1968).

 $^{16}$ The CF splitting in  $\gamma$ -Ce is 67 K. See A. H. Millhouse and A. Furrer, Solid State Commun. 15, 1303 (1974).

## Observation of Two-Dimensional Phases Associated with Defect States on the Surface of TiO<sub>2</sub>  $\dagger$

Victor E. Henrich, G. Dresselhaus, and H. J. Zeiger

Lincoln Laboratory, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Lexington, Massachusetts 02173 {Heceived 12 April 1976)

Surface electronic states associated with defects produced by Ar-ion bombardment of TiO<sub>2</sub> crystals have been studied by ultraviolet-photoemission, electron-energy-loss, and Auger spectroscopy and low-energy-electron diffraction. Evidence is given for three distinct phases dependent upon the extrinsic surface-defect concentration. Phase I contains isolated surface states 0.7 eV below the conduction band, phase II is associated with the creation of Ti<sup>3+</sup> pairs, and phase III consists of a surface layer of ordered Ti<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

The importance of transition-metal oxides in a wide range of catalytic and electrocatalytic applications has spurred a great deal of research on their surface properties and on the role of

surface defects in their catalytic activity. For example, surface states on TiO, have recently been shown to play a central role in the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ catalyzed photoelectrolysis of water.<sup>1</sup> Previous