

able x . The observed x range corresponds to a missing mass M of the recoil system X of $13 \text{ GeV} \leq M \leq 20 \text{ GeV}$. If the polarization is produced by the interference of two amplitudes f_1 and f_2 , $P_\Lambda = 2 \text{Im} f_1 f_2^* / (|f_1|^2 + |f_2|^2)$, then it will have a weak x dependence provided that f_1 and f_2 depend on x in the same way, which cancels in the ratio.

We wish to thank Dr. P. Kohler and Dr. C. Brown and the staff of the Fermilab Meson Laboratory for their support and cooperation, which made this experiment possible. Much of the equipment was built by G. Ott and E. Behr.

*Work supported in part by the U. S. Energy Research

and Development Administration.

†Work supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

¹See, for example, R. G. Roberts, in *Phenomenology of Particles at High Energies*, edited by R. L. Crawford and R. Jennings (Academic, New York, 1974).

²For a review of high-energy elastic polarization effects see G. Giacomelli, *Phys. Rep.* **23C**, 123 (1976).

³L. Dick *et al.*, *Phys. Lett.* **57B**, 93 (1975).

⁴A previous observation of Λ^0 polarization in $p+p \rightarrow \Lambda^0+X$ has been made at 6 GeV. See A. Lesnik *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **35**, 770 (1975).

⁵O. Overseth and R. Roth, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **19**, 319 (1967).

⁶The average of two previously published measurements of μ_Λ is $(-0.67 \pm 0.06)\mu_b$. See D. Hill *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **4**, 1979 (1971); and E. Dahl-Jensen *et al.*, *Nuovo Cimento* **3A**, 1 (1971).

Spin from Isospin in a Gauge Theory*

R. Jackiw and C. Rebbi

Laboratory for Nuclear Science and Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

(Received 19 February 1976)

We show that in an SU(2) quantum gauge field theory, with isospin symmetry broken spontaneously by a triplet of scalar mesons, isospinor degrees of freedom are converted into spin degrees of freedom in the field of a magnetic monopole.

Classical solutions to gauge theories¹ mix spatial and internal symmetry indices; for example an isotriplet vector field $A_a^i(\vec{r})$ is proportional to $\epsilon_{aij}\hat{r}^j$. We now know that such solutions play a role in the corresponding quantum theory; in a weak-coupling limit they approximate matrix elements of the quantum field between soliton-monopole states.² It is natural to ask the following: Does the conjunction of spin and internal symmetry persist in the quantum theory; and, when internal symmetry is spontaneously broken, do internal degrees of freedom reappear as spin degrees of freedom?

An affirmative answer to this question is indicated by our investigation³ of the interaction of an isospinor, spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ fermion with a monopole, arising in an SU(2) Yang-Mills-Higgs model with spontaneously broken isospin symmetry.⁴ We found in the quantum theory a tower of spinless states, describing dyons with magnetic charge $Q_m = \pm 1/e$ and electric charge $Q_e = \pm (N/2)e$ (e is the gauge coupling constant and N is an integer or zero). Moreover, the quantum Fermi field Ψ effects a transition between them, $\langle Q_m, Q_e - e/2 | \times \Psi | Q_m, Q_e \rangle \neq 0$. This matrix element is related to

the static, zero-energy solution of a c -number Dirac equation in the monopole field. The solution $\psi_{\nu n}$ (ν refers to Dirac indices; n to isospin indices) has vanishing lower components ($\nu=3, 4$), while the upper components have the form

$$\psi_{\nu n} = f(r)(1/\sqrt{2})(s_\nu^+ s_n^- - s_\nu^- s_n^+), \quad \nu, n = 1, 2, \quad (1)$$

where s^+ (s^-) is a Pauli up (down) bispinor, and f is a normalized wave function.

In the transition form factor (1), spin and isospin form an antisymmetric singlet. This explains mathematically the curious circumstance that a spinor field should possess nonvanishing matrix elements between spinless states. Evidently degrees of freedom of the spontaneously broken isospin symmetry survive as spin degrees of freedom, and couple to Dirac spin. For physical clarification, consider the nonvanishing crossed matrix element $\langle Q_m, Q_e - e/2; -Q_m, -Q_e | \times \Psi | 0 \rangle$, which describes the creation of two spinless dyons by a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ field. Angular momentum is conserved, since as is well known, the total angular momentum of magnetic systems

contains contributions from magnetic monopoles. In the above configuration, the electromagnetic angular momentum has the magnitude $(-Q_m)(Q_e - e/2) - (Q_m)(-Q_e) = Q_m e/2 = \frac{1}{2}$ and provides the requisite half-integer. Thus we suggest that in the soliton-monopole sector of the quantum theory total angular momentum \vec{J} is the sum of conventional \vec{M} (orbital plus spin) and \vec{I} (isospin),

$$\vec{J} = \vec{M} + \vec{I}. \quad (2)$$

In this Letter we exhibit another, more dramatic, example of the conversion of isospin to spin, and derive Eq. (2). We consider a spinless isospinor field U , coupling to the usual SU(2) Yang-

Mills-Higgs theory, with a classical solution $U = u(r)s$, where s is an arbitrary, position-independent isospinor and u vanishes as $r \rightarrow \infty$. The solution is degenerate; the degeneracy is a three-parameter isospin rotation of s . In the quantum theory, the multiplicity of classical solutions leads to a tower of monopole-soliton states labeled by a new degree of freedom, interpreted as spin. We confirm our interpretation by introducing collective coordinates to account for the classical degeneracy and by verifying that the canonically conjugate collective variable is indeed an angular momentum \vec{J} which coincides with isospin \vec{I} .

The model Lagrangian is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & -\frac{1}{4}F_a^{\mu\nu}F_{a\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}(D^\mu\Phi)_a(D_\mu\Phi)_a - (1/e^2)V_1(e^2\Phi^2) + (D^\mu U)^\dagger(D_\mu U) - (1/e^2)V_2(e^2U^\dagger U, e^2\Phi^2); \\ F_a^{\mu\nu} = & \partial^\mu A_a^\nu - \partial^\nu A_a^\mu + e\epsilon_{abc}A_b^\mu A_c^\nu; \\ (D^\mu\Phi)_a = & \partial^\mu\Phi_a + e\epsilon_{abc}A_b^\mu\Phi_c; \\ (D^\mu U) = & \partial^\mu U - ie(\tau^a/2)A_a^\mu U; \\ \Phi^2 = & \Phi_a\Phi_a, \quad a=1,2,3; \\ V_1(\Phi^2) = & (\lambda^2/2)[(\mu^2/\lambda^2) - \Phi^2]^2; \\ V_2(U^\dagger U, \Phi^2) = & m^2 U^\dagger U + g^2(U^\dagger U)^2 - h^2 U^\dagger U[(\mu^2/\lambda^2) - \Phi^2]. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

For a range of values of m^2 , g^2 , and h^2 ,⁵ the solution in the vacuum sector is $\varphi^2 = \mu^2/\lambda^2 e^2$, $U=0$; while in the soliton-monopole sector one may decrease the energy by a nonvanishing position-dependent U . Thus we expect that the following static, degenerate solution exists:

$$\begin{aligned} A_a^0 = & 0, \quad A_a^i = \epsilon_{aij}\hat{r}^j A(r), \\ \Phi_a = & \hat{r}^a \varphi(r), \quad U = u(r) \exp[-i\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\tau}/2]s. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

At $r=0$, φ and A vanish, while u is a constant. For large r , φ and U approach exponentially their vacuum values, while A tends to $-1/er$. The arbitrary triplet $\vec{\alpha}$ parametrizes the degeneracy. The solutions are of order e^{-1} , and hence large for weak coupling.

Quantization is performed in the unitary gauge⁶ where Φ_a points in the third isospin direction. Classical solutions in this gauge, obtained from (4) by an isorotation, are

$$\vec{A}_a = \hat{\eta}_a[A(r) + 1/er], \quad a=1,2; \quad (5a)$$

$$\vec{A}_3 = \vec{A}_D(\vec{r}); \quad (5b)$$

$$U = u(r)R \exp[-i\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\tau}/2]s; \quad (5c)$$

where R is an isorotation matrix effecting the desired transformation. \vec{A}_D is a Dirac vector potential for a monopole of strength $1/e$: $\nabla \times \vec{A}_D = (1/e)\hat{r}/r^2$, $\nabla \cdot \vec{A}_D = 0$; and $\hat{\eta}_{1,2}$ is a doublet of orthonormal unit vectors, orthogonal to \hat{r} . The quantities R , \vec{A}_D , and $\hat{\eta}_a$ are to a large extent arbitrary, reflecting the remaining electromagnetic gauge freedom; we shall not need their explicit forms.

In the quantum theory we must allow for a multiplicity of monopole-soliton states so that the matrix elements of U , which are proportional to (5c), reflect the classical degeneracy parametrized by $\vec{\alpha}$. The spinorial form of (5c) strongly suggests this degree of freedom to be spin; we confirm this by calculating the angular momentum operator \vec{J} , and by showing that it generates rotations of $\vec{\alpha}$.

For the Lagrangian (3), \vec{J} is given by

$$\vec{J} = -\int d^3r \vec{r} \times [\Pi_a^i \nabla_a^i + \Pi_a \nabla \Phi_a + (\Pi_U^\dagger \nabla U + \text{H.c.})] - \int d^3r \vec{\Pi}_a \times \vec{A}_a, \quad a=1,2,3. \quad (6)$$

The canonical momenta are $\Pi_a^i = F_a^{0i}$, $\Pi_a = (D^0\Phi)_a$, and $\Pi_U = (D^0U)$. In the unitary gauge, only $\Phi_3 \equiv \Phi$ and $\Pi_3 \equiv \Pi$ survive. We redefine the charged, massive vector fields by $\vec{A}_a \equiv \vec{W}_a$, $a = 1, 2$; and the massless photon field by $\vec{A}_3 \equiv \vec{A}$, $\vec{\Pi}_3 \equiv \vec{\Pi} = \vec{\Pi}_T + \vec{\Pi}_L$. The longitudinal part $\vec{\Pi}_L$ satisfies a constraint equation:

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{\Pi}_L = -e\epsilon_{ab}\vec{\Pi}_a \cdot \vec{W}_b - e[i\Pi_U^\dagger(\tau^3/2)U + \text{H.c.}] \equiv -ej^0, \quad a = 1, 2.$$

An integration by parts in (6) eliminates $\vec{\Pi}_L$ and \vec{J} is expressed by unconstrained variables in the unitary gauge:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{J} = & -\int d^3r \vec{r} \times \{ \Pi_a^i (\vec{\nabla} \delta_{ab} + e\epsilon_{ab} \vec{A}) W_b^i + \Pi \nabla \Phi + [\Pi_U^\dagger (\nabla + \frac{1}{2}ie\tau^3 \vec{A}) U + \text{H.c.}] \\ & + (\vec{\Pi}_T - \nabla \nabla^{-2} ej^0) \times \vec{B} \} - \int d^3r \vec{\Pi}_a \times \vec{W}_a; \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A}, \quad \nabla \cdot \vec{A} = 0, \quad a = 1, 2.$$

To calculate \vec{J} in the soliton-monopole sector, the quantum fields are shifted by the classical solutions, with all degeneracy parameters promoted to collective coordinates, i.e., time-dependent quantum operators.⁷ We ignore the coordinates relevant to translations and charge rotations, and concentrate on the three-parameter degeneracy of (5c). To lowest order in the coupling constant, we need keep only the large, classical solutions. Thus we evaluate (7) with⁸

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= \varphi(r), \quad \Pi = 0; \\ \vec{W}_a &= \hat{\eta}_a [A(r) + 1/er], \quad \vec{\Pi}_a = 0, \quad a = 1, 2; \\ \vec{A} &= \vec{A}_D(\vec{r}), \quad \vec{\Pi}_T = 0; \\ U &= u(r)R \exp[-i\vec{\alpha}(t) \cdot \vec{r}/2]s \equiv RU(r, t); \\ \Pi_U^\dagger &= \dot{U}^\dagger(r, t)R^\dagger \equiv \Pi_U^\dagger(r, t)R^\dagger. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Π_U is nonvanishing since U acquires time dependence from its collective coordinate $\vec{\alpha}(t)$. The other fields, not containing collective coordinates, are time independent and their conjugate momenta vanish. Now \vec{J} becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{J} = & -\int d^3r \vec{r} \times \Pi_U^\dagger(r, t)R^\dagger [\nabla + ie(\tau^3/2)\vec{A}_D(\vec{r})]RU(r, t) \\ & -\int d^3r \vec{r} \times \{ [\nabla \int d^3r' (4\pi)^{-1} |\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|^{-1} ie\Pi_U^\dagger(r', t)R^\dagger(\tau^3/2)RU(r', t)] \times \hat{r}/er^2 \} + \text{H.c.} \\ = & -\int d^3r \vec{r} \times \Pi_U^\dagger(r, t)R^\dagger [\nabla + ie(\tau^3/2)\vec{A}_D(\vec{r})]RU(r, t) - \int d^3r \hat{r} \Pi_U^\dagger(r, t)R^\dagger (i\tau^3/2)RU(r, t) + \text{H.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (9a)$$

The rotation indicated by R is evaluated by comparison with (4) and (5)—if we set $A(r) = -1/er$ in those equations, we recognize that (9a) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{J} = & -\int d^3r \vec{r} \times \Pi_U^\dagger(r, t) [\nabla + ie(\vec{r}/2) \times \hat{r}/er]U(r, t) - \int d^3r \hat{r} \Pi_U^\dagger(r, t) i(\vec{r} \cdot \hat{r}/2)U(r, t) + \text{H.c.} \\ = & \int d^3r \Pi_U^\dagger(r, t) [-\vec{r} \times \nabla - i\vec{r}/2]U(r, t) + \text{H.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (9b)$$

The first term in the square brackets is the orbital angular momentum of U ; for spherically symmetric fields, as in (8), it vanishes. The remainder is exactly the isospin generator \vec{I} . When terms that we have ignored are kept, \vec{J} will of course also acquire conventional orbital and spin contributions. Thus we arrive at the promised derivation of (2).

For $\vec{\alpha}(t)$ pointing in a fixed direction \hat{n} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} U &= u(r)R \exp[-i\alpha(t)\tau^n/2]s, \\ \dot{U} &= -u(r)Ri\dot{\alpha}(t)(\tau^n/2) \exp[-i\alpha(t)\tau^n/2]s, \\ L &= \int d^3r \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4}\dot{\alpha}^2(t) \int d^3r u^2(r) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The momentum conjugate to α is $(\dot{\alpha}/2) \int d^3r u^2(r)$,

which also is $I^n = J^n$. What appears to be an isorotation is in fact a spin rotation—in the quantum theory spin has been created from isospin!⁹

To go beyond the details of our example, it is clear that spin will always emerge from isospin whenever there is an isospin-degenerate, classical solution in the field of a monopole. We have not carried out the construction of all the Lorentz generators in the monopole sector and verified all the commutation relations. This analysis, which we reserve for future investigation, is complicated since perturbative quantization of solitons always begins with a nonrelativistic approximation. However there should be no doubt

that the spin which we have found is the correct relativistic quantity as we encountered it in $\int d^3r (x^i \theta^{0j} - x^j \theta^{0i})$. This is the proper Lorentz angular momentum and formally, as well as in the vacuum sector, satisfies, together with $\int d^3r \times x^i \theta^{00}$, the Lorentz algebra. Moreover Goldhaber has recently shown that our half-integer-spin dyons obey Fermi-Dirac statistics.¹⁰

One of us (R. J.) is happy to acknowledge conversations with P. W. Anderson, who insisted on the possibility of constructing noninteger angular momentum in gauge theories. Both of us benefited from discussions with S. Coleman and J. Goldstone.

*Work supported in part through funds provided by the U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration under Contract No. AT (11-1)-3069.

¹T. T. Wu and C. N. Yang, in *Properties of Matter under Unusual Conditions*, edited by H. Mark and S. Fernbach (Interscience, New York, 1969); A. C. T. Wu and T. T. Wu, *J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.)* **15**, 53 (1974); G. 't Hooft, *Nucl. Phys.* **B79**, 276 (1974); A. M. Polyakov, *Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **20**, 430 (1974) [*JETP Lett.* **20**, 194 (1974)]; B. Julia and A. Zee, *Phys. Rev. D* **11**, 2227 (1975); W. J. Marciano and H. Pagels, *Phys. Rev.*

D **12**, 1093 (1975); A. Charkabarti, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **23**, 235 (1975), and *Nucl. Phys.* **B101**, 159 (1975); E. Corrigan, D. I. Olive, D. B. Fairlie, and J. Nuyts, to be published.

²For a recent comprehensive review see S. Coleman, to be published.

³R. Jackiw and C. Rebbi, *Phys. Rev. D* (to be published).

⁴'t Hooft, Ref. 1; Polyakov, Ref. 1.

⁵Precise limits on the magnitudes are given by R. Rajaraman and E. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev. D* **11**, 2950 (1975).

⁶We envision quantization procedures for the monopole advocated by J. Goldstone and R. Jackiw (unpublished); E. Tomboulis and G. Woo, to be published.

⁷J.-L. Gervais and B. Sakita, *Phys. Rev. D* **11**, 2943 (1975); E. Tomboulis, *Phys. Rev. D* **11**, 1678 (1975); N. Christ and T. D. Lee, *Phys. Rev. D* **11**, 1606 (1975); C. Callan and D. J. Gross, *Nucl. Phys.* **B93**, 29 (1975).

⁸We are repeating a classic calculation of the angular momentum in a magnetic monopole field; H. A. Wilson, *Phys. Rev.* **75**, 309 (1949).

⁹It has been long recognized that spin and magnetic monopoles may be related. An early reference is A. S. Goldhaber, *Phys. Rev.* **140**, B1407 (1965). Also we have learned that P. Hasenfratz and G. 't Hooft have arrived at conclusions similar to ours {following Letter [*Phys. Rev. Lett.* **36**, 1119 (1976)]}.

¹⁰A. Goldhaber, second following Letter [*Phys. Rev. Lett.* **36**, 1122 (1976)].

Fermion-Boson Puzzle in a Gauge Theory*

P. Hasenfratz

Theoretical Physics Department, University of Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

and

G. 't Hooft†

Physics Laboratories, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

(Received 1 March 1976)

It is argued that magnetic monopoles in an SU(2) gauge theory may bind with an ordinary boson with isospin, to give bound states with spin. If the isospin of the free boson is integer or half-odd-integer, the total angular momentum of the bound state is integer or half-odd-integer, respectively. According to the spin-statistics theorem we can obtain fermions this way in a theory that started off with bosons only.

Recently it was shown by Coleman¹ that in a two-dimensional boson theory (the massive sine-Gordon theory) "solitons" occur that actually obey Fermi statistics. We present here a theory in four space-time dimensions where fermions can arise in a similar manner.

Let us consider the Lagrangian²

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\mu\nu}^a - \frac{1}{2} (D_\mu Q^a)^2 - \frac{1}{8} \lambda (Q^2 - F^2)^2, \quad (1)$$

describing neutral massless photons, a massive

charged vector boson, and a neutral Higgs boson.

Here, λ and F are parameters, and

$$G_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + e \epsilon_{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c, \quad (2)$$

$$D_\mu Q^a = \partial_\mu Q^a + e \epsilon_{abc} A_\mu^b Q^c.$$

This model has a magnetic monopole soliton, described by a classical solution of the form³

$$(Q^a)^{\text{cl}} = r_a Q(r), \quad (A_i^a)^{\text{cl}} = \epsilon_{ial} r_l W(r), \quad (3)$$

$$(A_0^a)^{\text{cl}} = 0, \quad a = 1, 2, 3$$