

ner, and E. F. Anelli, Università di Bari, Bari, Italy, and Istituto Nazionale de Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Bari, Italy, and D. S. Barton, J. Butler, J. Fines, J. I. Friedman, H. W. Kendall, B. Nelson, L. Rosen-son, and R. Verdier, Massachusetts Institute of Tech-nology, Cambridge, Mass. 02139, and B. Gottschalk, Northeastern University, Boston, Mass. 02115, and R. L. Anderson, D. Gustavson, K. Rich, D. M. Ritson, and G. A. Weitsch, Stanford Linear Accelerator, Stan-ford, Cal. 94305.

¹M. Benot, J. Litt, and R. Meunier, Nucl. Instrum. Methods **105**, 431 (1972).

²D. S. Ayres *et al.*, FNAL Report No. NAL-73/83-EXP 7100.096, 1973 (unpublished).

³L. J. Levinson *et al.*, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. **20**, 593 (1975).

⁴M. Sogard, Phys. Rev. D **9**, 1486 (1974).

⁵V. Bartenev *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **31**, 1088 (1973).

⁶A. A. Derevchikov *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **48B**, 367 (1974).

⁷Yu. M. Antipov *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. **B57**, 333 (1973); S. Nurusev, in *Proceedings of the Seventeenth Inter-national Conference on High Energy Physics, London, England, 1974*, edited by J. R. Smith (Rutherford High Energy Laboratory, Didcot, Berkshire, England, 1975), p. I-25.

⁸A. S. Carroll *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **33**, 928, 932 (1974).

⁹R. A. Carrigan, Jr., Phys. Rev. Lett. **24**, 168 (1970).

¹⁰U. Amaldi *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **36B**, 504 (1971);

G. Barbiellini *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **39B**, 663 (1972).

¹¹I. Ambats *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **9**, 1179 (1974).

Further Observation of Dimuon Production by Neutrinos*

A. Benvenuti, D. Cline, W. T. Ford, R. Imlay, T. Y. Ling, A. K. Mann, R. Orr, D. D. Reeder, C. Rubbia, R. Stefanski, L. Sulak, and P. Wanderer

*Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, and
Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19174, and
Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, and
Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510*

(Received 11 August 1975)

Using a quadrupole focused neutrino beam, 61 events with two muons in the final state have been observed at Fermilab. These include seven $\mu^+\mu^-$ events. A comparison of the event rate in two targets of different hadron absorption length indicates that attributing the events to π or K leptonic decay is ruled out by 4.0 standard deviations. No trimuon events were observed which, combined with lepton conservation, indicates an unobserved neutral lepton is present in most of the events.

We have reported previously evidence for di-muon production by neutrinos.^{1,2} In order to con-firm the existence of dimuon events a new experi-ment was carried out and the results are report-ed here.³

The calorimeter-magnetic spectrometer⁴ was exposed to a very-high-energy neutrino beam ob-tained from quadrupole focusing of the parent had-rons. The quadrupole triplet was set to focus 200-GeV charged hadrons and the primary proton energy was 380 GeV. This beam is predominant-ly composed of neutrinos with a small admixture of antineutrinos ($\sim \frac{1}{7}$). A beam spill of ~ 1 msec was used to minimize accidental coincidences. Events were detected in two separate targets, the liquid scintillation calorimeter and a large block of iron adjacent to the calorimeter (hadron filter). The trigger requirement for all events was either a single muon that penetrated the en-tire magnetic spectrometer or an energy deposi-tion in the calorimeter.

A total of 114 dimuon candidates were observed and the events were distributed as 58 and 56 for the iron and liquid targets, respectively. In about 30% of the events one of the muons failed reconstruction because of chamber topology. The final number of reconstructed events from each target was 41 and 36, respectively. No events with three muons were observed.

The momentum and angle of each muon was measured and extrapolated back into the appro-priate target. The distance (Δ) between the ex-trapolated rays at the interaction point, deter-mined by appropriate counters, is shown in Fig. 1(a). Events with $\Delta < 50$ cm were accepted in the sample provided they passed additional require-ments such as correct timing and correct posi-tion of the muon tracks in a sixteen-element hodo-scope located in the magnetic spectrometer. Fig-ure 1(b) shows the resulting z (along the beam direction) distribution for the events. After ap-plying a fiducial volume cut to the data, we re-




FIG. 1. (a) The distribution in the distance between the extrapolated muon tracks at the z position where the interaction occurred. (b) Distribution of the dimuon event interaction vertices along the z direction.

examined 27 and 34 events originating in the calorimeter and hadron filter, respectively.

The events were corrected for geometrical loss, i.e., the requirement that both tracks from an event pass through at least two spark chambers, using a Monte Carlo calculation. Each event was assigned a geometrical detection efficiency and a corresponding weight.

To calculate the event rate for $\mu^+\mu^-$ production a sample of 18 $\mu^+\mu^-$ events were selected in a further restricted fiducial volume in which 6200 single-muon events were also recorded. Apply-

ing the geometrical and estimated reconstruction efficiency to this sample gave for a $\mu^+\mu^-$ to single-muon ratio $(0.8 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$ where the error includes statistical and estimated systematic uncertainties.

In order to test the sample of $\mu^+\mu^-$ dimuons for the presence of a background which might arise from neutrino-induced single-muon events followed by the decay of a pion or kaon into a muon and neutrino, a comparison between the event rates for the calorimeter sample and the hadron-filter sample was carried out. This comparison makes use of the different absorption lengths of the liquid scintillator and the hadron filter. The fraction of events originating from pion or kaon decays is defined as α , which is determined in turn from the dependence of the event rate on absorption length. Table I lists the corrected rates for the calorimeter and hadron-filter samples. To eliminate trigger bias we require here that at least one muon traverses the entire spectrometer. Note also that the rate for calorimeter and hadron-filter events is the same when a restrictive $p_\mu > 10$ GeV momentum cut is required for individual muons. While (π, K) background contamination is expected to increase rapidly with decreasing momentum, there is no observed difference between the ratio of rates in the different targets for the lower and higher muon momentum samples. In Fig. 2(a) is plotted the event rate for the two different absorption lengths.⁵ The significant rate at the extrapolated zero absorption length indicates the existence of muon production arising from sources that are necessarily much shorter lived than π or K mesons. A maximum likelihood analysis for α , incorporating the different absorption lengths and the detection efficiencies for events in the two targets, is presented in Fig. 2(b). The best solution gives α

TABLE I. The number of events observed in the liquid scintillator and hadron-filter targets. Both corrected and uncorrected numbers of events are reported.

Target	No. of $\mu^+\mu^-$		No. of $\mu^+\mu^-$		Target mass (g/cm ²)	Selection criteria
	Raw	Corr	Raw	Corr		
Cal	19	29.1 ± 8.2	1	1.8	576	Fiducial cut and identical trigger requirements
Hadron filter	23	28.7 ± 8.3	4	4.3	590	
Cal	11	15.2 ± 4.6	0	0	576	Above requirements and $P_{\mu 1} > 10$ GeV, $P_{\mu 2} > 10$ GeV
Hadron filter	12	13.8 ± 4.0	4	4.3	590	




FIG. 2. (a) The number of events recorded per gram per square centimeter of target for the different absorption lengths of the two targets. The line is an extrapolation to zero absorption length. (b) Results of the likelihood analysis of the fraction α of events that arise from π or K decays.

= 0 and is four standard deviations away from the solution $\alpha = 1$.

While the sample of $\mu^+\mu^-$ events is too small to obtain a statistically meaningful comparison we note that if all the events were due to (π^-, K^-) decay, from the observed four events in the hadron filter and the estimated ratio of absorption lengths of 3.54, the number of events expected in the calorimeter is fourteen as compared to the one event observed. It is thus unlikely that the $\mu^+\mu^-$ events can be explained as (π^-, K^-) decay background. From the corrected number of events recorded in Table I the ratio of rates for $\mu^+\mu^-$ to $\mu^+\mu^+$ production is found to be 0.1 ± 0.05 . Note that three $\mu^+\mu^+$ events were also observed.

The projected transverse momentum P_{t2} with respect to the plane formed by the incident neutrino and the first muon (P_1) is given by

$$P_{t2} = \frac{\vec{P}_2 \cdot (\vec{P}_\nu \times \vec{P}_1)}{|\vec{P}_\nu \times \vec{P}_1|}.$$

The plane must also contain the momentum vector of the recoiling hadronic jet, and therefore P_t is the projected transverse momentum with respect to the hadronic jet. In the case of the $\mu^+\mu^+$ events P_{t2} is calculated whereas for the $\mu^+\mu^-$ events the P_t corresponds to the lowest momentum muon (i.e., P_{t2} with $P_1 > P_2$). If the muon arises from pion decay, P_t is very closely the




FIG. 3. (a) The distribution of the μ^+ momentum component transverse to the μ^- -neutrino plane (P_t) for all μ^+ momenta. (b) Same as (a) but requiring $p_{\mu^+} \geq 10$ GeV/c. (c) Same as (b) except $p_{\mu^+} \geq 20$ GeV/c is required. (d) The P_t distribution for the $\mu^+\mu^-$ events, for the lowest momentum μ^- . (e) Same as (d) except the lowest momentum μ^- is required to have $p_{\mu^-} \geq 10$ GeV/c. (f) Same as (d) except for the requirement that the lowest momentum μ^- have $p_{\mu^-} \geq 20$ GeV/c.

projected transverse momentum of the pion and the resulting distribution is expected to fall exponentially with P_t . Figure 3 shows the P_t distributions for the $\mu^+\mu^-$ events [Figs. 3(a)–3(c)] and $\mu^+\mu^+$ events [Figs. 3(d)–3(f)] for increasing muon momentum cuts. The P_t distribution for the $\mu^+\mu^+$ events [Figs. 3(a)–3(c)] are inconsistent with an exponential falloff that is characteristic of (π, K) production as observed in neutrino collisions.⁶ The trend of the P_t distribution for the $\mu^+\mu^-$ events [Figs. 3(d), 3(e)] is also not characteristic of (π, K) production and decay and provides additional support for the existence of $\mu^+\mu^-$ production that is not caused by (π, K) decay. We note that the P_t distributions for both $\mu^+\mu^+$ and $\mu^+\mu^-$ events appear to cut off at roughly $P_t \approx 1$ GeV/c.

A further related characteristic of the dimuon events is the distribution of the azimuthal angle between the muon momentum vectors projected on a plane normal to the neutrino direction. This correlation is shown in Fig. 4(a) for both the $\mu^+\mu^+$




FIG. 4. (a) The difference in azimuthal angles for the μ^+ and μ^- muons. The neutrino beam direction heads into the paper and μ_1 , μ_2 are the muon momenta projected on the (x,y) plane. Also shown is the same difference plotted for the $\mu^+\mu^-$ events. (b) The μ^- momentum spectrum compared with the expected spectrum obtained for the assumption of a linearly rising total cross section and a flat y distribution. The cross-hatched data refer to possible antineutrino events for which $p_{\mu^+} > p_{\mu^-}$.

and $\mu^+\mu^-$ events. For the $\mu^+\mu^+$ events there is a tendency for two muons to emerge on opposite sides of the neutrino beam.

The neutrino energy dependence of dimuon production is of interest in establishing the origin of the phenomena. In a previous experiment the observed fraction of dimuons relative to single-muon production was found to be $(0.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$ where the error is statistical only.² The mean visible energy for these events was 55 GeV. Within error the corresponding ratio has not changed in the present experiment where the mean neutrino energy is roughly 100 GeV. Since the total neutrino cross section is found to rise linearly in this energy region it appears that the dimuon

cross section also rises with neutrino energy.⁴

Using a flat y distribution, the calculated neutrino spectrum for the quadrupole triplet beam and a linearly rising cross section allows a prediction for the μ momentum spectrum which is also shown in Fig. 4(b).⁷ The rough agreement of the prediction and the data in Fig. 4(b) is consistent with the assumption of a linear rising dimuon cross section. Note that if dimuon events with $P_{\mu^+} > P_{\mu^-}$, which may be examples of antineutrino events, are removed from the sample, the resulting μ^- spectrum would be distorted in the low-momentum region compared to the predicted distribution. This distortion might be caused by a threshold in the dimuon cross section at low neutrino energy.

In summary, the work reported here has established a dimuon signal that does not arise from the decay of pions or kaons produced in neutrino collisions.

The first examples of $\mu^+\mu^-$ events have been observed and are also shown to be unlikely to arise from (π, K) decay.

It is a pleasure to acknowledge the aid and encouragement of the Fermilab staff.

*Work supported in part by the U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration.

¹B. Aubert *et al.*, in *Proceedings of the Seventeenth International Conference on High Energy Physics, London, England, 1974*, edited by J. R. Smith (Rutherford High Energy Laboratory, Didcot, Berkshire, England, 1975), and in *Neutrinos—1974*, AIP Conference Proceedings No. 22, edited by C. Baltay (American Institute of Physics, New York, 1974), p. 201.

²A. Benvenuti *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **34**, 419, 597 (1975).

³Additional evidence based on four dimuon events has recently been given by B. C. Barish *et al.*, *Colloq. Int. CNRS* **245**, 131 (1975).

⁴A. Benvenuti *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **32**, 125 (1974).

⁵The absorption length of the liquid has been directly measured by us to be 118 ± 5 cm using an incident π beam. The absorption length for the hadron filter was obtained from the known absorption length of pions in iron and a calculation that took into account the air gaps. S. P. Denisov, *Nucl. Phys. B* **61**, 62 (1973).

⁶F. Nezzrick, private communication.

⁷A. Benvenuti *et al.*, *Colloq. Int. CNRS* **245**, 397 (1975).