I. Ruge and J. Graul (Springer, Berlin, 1971), p. 8. <sup>5</sup>H. Roosendal, Doctoral thesis, University of Amsterdam, 1974 (unpublished).

<sup>6</sup>H. Mueller, H. Ryssel, and I. Ruge, in *Proceedings* of the Second International Conference by Ion Implantation, Garmisch, Germany, 1971, edited by I. Ruge and J. Graul (Springer, Berlin, 1971), p. 85.

<sup>7</sup>J. Gyulai, private communication.

<sup>8</sup>K. B. Winterbon, Radiat. Effects <u>13</u>, 215 (1972).

<sup>9</sup>F. H. Eisen, in Channeling: Theory, Observation,

and Applications, edited by D. V. Morgan (Wiley, New York, 1973), Chap. 14.

<sup>10</sup>P. P. Pronko, J. Bøttiger, J. A. Davies, and J. B. Mitchell, Radiat. Effects 21, 25 (1974).

<sup>11</sup>Some progress on correlations within collision cascades has been made by J. E. Westmoreland and P. Sigmund, Radiat. Effects <u>6</u>, 187 (1970).

<sup>12</sup>The definition of cascade volume is sufficiently crude that a 30% difference in the observed  $N_d$  values for Ga<sup>+</sup> and C<sub>6</sub>(H<sub>6</sub>)<sup>+</sup> is not unreasonable.

## Nuclear Spin-Lattice Relaxation in Amorphous Materials: B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

Mark Rubinstein, H. A. Resing, T. L. Reinecke,\* and K. L. Ngai Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D. C. 20375

(Received 5 February 1975)

The temperature and frequency dependence of the nuclear spin-lattice relaxation in amorphous  $B_2O_3$  has been investigated and a  $T_1$  minimum with unusual properties has been discovered at ~300 K. The relaxation is described in detail by Raman-like processes involving the two-level disorder modes and phonons characteristic of glassy materials.

A direct comparison of the nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rates of solids in their vitreous and crystalline forms has been made in only few materials. Rubinstein and Taylor<sup>1</sup> studied and compared the relaxation rates of crystalline and amorphous  $As_2S_3$ , and reported a much faster relaxation rate in the glass. Earlier, Haupt and Müller-Warmuth<sup>2</sup> found that the relaxation rate of protons in some organic glasses is much faster than in their crystalline counterparts. These results strongly indicate that defects which are intrinsic to the glassy state of matter are very effective sinks for nuclear-spin-excitation energy.

Recently Szeftel and Alloul<sup>3</sup> examined the nuclear spin-lattice relaxation in glassy and crystalline  $B_2O_3$ , among other samples. These authors report that  $T_1$  (the spin-lattice relaxation time) in crystalline  $B_2O_3$  was much longer than in glassy  $B_2O_3$  and that the temperature dependence of the relaxation time is  $T_1 \propto T^{-1.3}$  in the temperature range 1.2 to 90 K.

We report on measurements of the spin-lattice relaxation time of <sup>11</sup>B in crystalline and amorphous  $B_2O_3$  in the temperature range 150 to 500 K, and nuclear resonance frequencies ranging from 10 to 30 MHz. We find a minimum in  $T_1$  as a function of temperature in the vicinity of 300 K, and an increase in  $T_1$  with increasing temperature thereafter. Our data agree with those of Szeftel and Alloul in the small range of temperature overlap between the two experiments. Our values of  $T_1$  are found to be practically independent of Larmor frequency  $\omega_{\rm L}$  at temperatures both above and below the  $T_1$  mimimum, in contrast to the predictions of the Bloembergen, Purcell, and Pound<sup>4</sup> motional-narrowing theory. The temperature at which the mimimum occurs is only weakly dependent on  $\omega_{\rm L}$ , and the value of  $T_1$ at the minimum is nearly independent of  $\omega_1$ . The measured line shape (due to random distribution of electric-field-gradient axes with respect to the applied magnetic field which broadens the <sup>11</sup>B nuclear-resonance line because of the sizable quadrupole moment of this nucleus) does not change at all in the temperature range we have covered, indicating that melting of the glass is not involved at these temperatures.<sup>5</sup> We have made extensive studies of many B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> glasses containing widely different paramagnetic impurity concentrations and absorbed water content which show that the spin relaxation is unrelated to these extraneous impurities.<sup>6</sup>

The  $T_1$  measurements were made on the central  $-\frac{1}{2}$  to  $+\frac{1}{2}$  component of the quadrupole-split resonance line with a Bruker SXP pulsed spectrometer using both the saturating-comb and the repetition-rate techniques. Both types of measurements gave identical results for  $T_1$ . The resulting temperature dependence of  $T_1$  in B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is



FIG. 1. Spin-lattice relaxation time versus 1000/T at 10.2, 13.1, 19.3, and 28.9 MHz. Dashed line represents  $T_1 \propto T^{-1.3}$ , valid at low temperatures, and solid triangle is room-temperature datum point of Ref. 3. Heavy solid line is the theoretical fit to the data. Light solid lines are a guide to the eye.

drawn in Fig. 1, where measurements taken at ~10, 20, and 30 MHz are shown. The nearly linear dependence of  $T_1$  on T, found by Szeftel and Alloul to be valid at low temperatures, is shown by a dashed line; note that only one of their data points (300 K) appears in the temperature range shown in Fig. 1. The theoretical temperature dependence, discussed below, is drawn in a broader line. Values of  $T_1$  in polycrystalline  $B_2O_3$  are a factor of ~30 larger than in vitreous  $B_2O_3$ , and will be discussed in a later publication. The variation of the  $T_1$ -mimimum temperature with Larmor frequency is a small, but real, effect.

We describe spin-lattice relaxation in amorphous materials using a model for these materials introduced by Anderson, Halperin, and Varma<sup>7</sup> and by Phillips,<sup>8</sup> which has successfully explained many of the anomalous thermal and ultrasonic properties of glass. In this model the excitations consist of phonons plus a series of twolevel systems (which we call "disorder modes") with a distribution of energy splittings which is continuous in energy and whose levels are separated by a relatively high potential barrier (see Fig. 2). Such a two-level mode is thought to arise from a group of atoms which can sit in two



FIG. 2. Schematic diagram of potential energy of single disorder mode versus a generalized separation coordinate where E is the energy difference between the two mode configurations, and  $\Delta$  is the potential-barrier height between them.

configurations separated by a small energy difference.  $^{7}$ 

The relaxation of the nuclear spin states is caused by the usual coupling of the lattice to the nuclear quadrupole moment Q,

$$\mathcal{H}_Q = \sum_{m=-2}^{m=+2} Q_m V_m , \qquad (1)$$

where  $V_m$  are the matrix elements of the electric field gradient at the nucleus and  $Q_m$  are irreduceable tensor operators of the nuclear spin at, or near, a defect site.  $V_m$  is expanded in the coordinates of phonons and disorder modes of the system.<sup>9</sup> The resulting relaxation is transmitted throughout the glass by rapid spin diffusion.<sup>6</sup> The dominant processes which cause changes in the nuclear-spin states are Raman processes involving two modes and are shown schematically in Fig. 3. The direct decay of the nuclear spin into



FIG. 3. Processes in which nuclear spin state changes from m to m'; (a) involves excitation of a disorder mode of energy E, and de-excitation of a disorder mode of energy E', (b) a phonon and a disorder mode, and (c) two phonons.

a single disorder mode or phonon gives a negligible contribution to  $T_1$ .<sup>10</sup> Process (a) involves a nucleus which is acted on by two overlapping disorder modes; such a pair of modes involves two different disorder modes, each of which produces an electric field gradient at the nucleus. Process (b) is decay via a phonon and a disorder mode and was suggested by Szeftel and Alloul.<sup>3</sup> Process (c) is decay via two phonons.<sup>10</sup> Each process is mediated by thermally activated hopping.

The contributions to  $T_1$  from the processes in Fig. 3 are now obtained. For  $T \ge T_{\min}$ , the temperature at which the minimum in  $T_1$  occurs, the intrinsic lifetimes of the states (especially the disorder modes) must be included. Using a generalization of the density-matrix formalism, we obtain for the contribution of process (a) to the relaxation rate

$$(T_{1})^{-1} = \frac{2Q_{m}^{2}}{\hbar^{2}} \langle [V\lambda]^{2} \rangle \rho^{2} \\ \times \int_{0}^{E_{m}} \int_{0}^{E_{m}} dE \, dE' \left\{ \frac{2\tau_{a}}{1 + \hbar^{-2}(E - E' \pm \hbar\omega_{L})^{2}\tau_{a}^{2}} \right\}$$
(2)  
 
$$\times \left\{ [1 + \exp(E'/k_{B}T)]^{-1} [1 + \exp(-E/k_{B}T)]^{-1} + [1 + \exp(-E'/k_{B}T)]^{-1} [1 + \exp(E/k_{B}T)]^{-1} \right\}.$$

Here  $\langle [V\lambda]^2 \rangle$  is an averaged electric-field-gradient matrix element and overlap integral for process (a);  $\tau_a$  is a combined lifetime for the two disorder modes;  $\rho$  is the density in energy of disorder modes (which is taken to be a constant),  $E_m$  is their maximum energy; and  $\omega_L$  is the nuclear Larmor frequency ( $\hbar \omega_L / E_m \ll 1$ ). There are similar contributions for processes (b) and (c).

For  $T \ll T_{\min}$ , process (a) accounts for available experimental results.<sup>3</sup> There  $\tau(T)$  becomes very long, and the factor in the first curly brackets of Eq. (2) becomes an energy-conservation  $\delta$  function. Taking the energy density of disorder modes to be constant, process (a) gives  $T_1 \propto T^{-1}$  in the low-temperature limit. Processes (b) and (c) give contributions proportional to  $T^{-4}$  and  $T^{-7}$ , respectively, at low temperatures. The low-temperature data of Ref. 3 show that mechanism (a) dominates below ~100 K. The observed deviation of the exponent of T from unity at low T may arise from a weak energy dependence of the disorder-mode density of states.

For  $T > T_{\min}$ , on the other hand,  $\tau(T)$  becomes small and  $T_1$  once again becomes large. The minimum in  $T_1$  near room temperature can be understood from the form of Eq. (2). Changing variables to the sum and difference of E and E', and performing one integration gives for the integrals in  $T_1$ 

$$\int_{-\gamma/2}^{+\gamma/2} dy \left\{ \frac{E_m \tau_a(T) \hbar^{-1}}{1 + \gamma^2 [y \pm (\hbar \omega_L / k_B T)]^2 [E_m \tau_a(T) \hbar^{-1}]^2} \right\} F_T(y),$$
  
$$F_T(y) = [e^{2y} - 1]^{-1} \ln[(e^{\gamma + y} + 1) / (e^{\gamma} + e^{y})],$$
(3)

where  $\gamma = (E_m/k_BT)$  and  $y = (E - E')/k_BT$ . We assume that the dominant contribution to  $\tau_a(T)$  for T in the neighborhood of room temperature and higher comes from the disorder modes decaying and nuclei hopping over potential barriers, which has the form<sup>11</sup>

$$\tau_a(T) = \tau_0 \exp(\Delta/k_{\rm B}T) \,. \tag{4}$$

We neglect the contributions to  $\tau$  from barriertunneling and scattering processes which we expect to be much smaller at these temperatures. The function  $F_T(y)$  is a smoothly varying function of y for all temperatures. For temperatures such that  $E_m \hbar^{-1} \tau_a(T) \sim O(1)$ , the term in curly brackets in Eq. (3) is a rapidly varying function.  $T_1$  has a minimum at a  $\tau$  given by the solution of

$$(E_m + \hbar \omega_L) \hbar^{-1} \tau_a(T_{\min}) = g(T_{\min}), \qquad (5)$$

where the function g(T) arises from the T dependence of  $F_T(y)$  and  $g(T_{\min}) \approx 10$  for  $B_2O_3$ . Processes (b) and (c) give expressions similar to (5).

By using (4) in (3) and noting that  $(\hbar\omega_{\rm L}/E_m) \ll 1$ , we see that the temperature at which the minimum in  $T_1$  occurs is weakly dependent on  $(\hbar\omega_{\rm L}/E_m)$  and that the magnitude of  $T_1$  at the minimum is virtually independent of  $\omega_{\rm L}$ . Both of these observations are in agreement with experiment.

The integrals for  $T_1$  have been evaluated numerically using (4) for  $\tau_a(T)$ , and estimates of the several parameters have been obtained. When  $T \gg T_{\min}$ ,  $T_1(T) \propto \exp(-\Delta/k_B T)$ , and from the slope of the data we find  $\Delta \simeq 2000$  K. Fitting the shape of  $T_1$  to experiment over all T gives  $E_m \simeq 800$  K. Using  $T_{\min} = 300$  K in Eq. (5) gives

 $E_m \hbar^{-1} \tau_0 \simeq 10 \exp(-\Delta/300)$ ; this yields  $\tau_0 \simeq 1.2 \times 10^{-16}$  sec. The overall magnitude of  $T_1$  is scaled to agree with experiment near the minimum, and the curve for the above parameters is shown in Fig. 1.

In summary, we have discovered the existence of a  $T_1$  minimum in vitreous  $B_2O_3$  at ~300 K, well below its melting point of 730 K. We have developed a theory which explains the experimental properties of the longitudinal relaxation time in the vicinity of the minimum, as well as both the low- and high-temperature behavior. At low temperatures, Raman-like processes involving twolevel disorder modes and acoustic phonons are dominant; at high temperatures thermally activated relaxation involving two-level defects dominates. Thus, the anomalous nuclear spinlattice relaxation in glasses is caused by the same defects which are now believed to be responsible for their anomalous thermal and ultrasonic properties.

We thank Professor Alloul for his preprint, and Dr. P. C. Taylor for helpful discussions. We are grateful to Dr. J. Bucaro and Dr. P. Klein for providing water-free samples.

\*National Academy of Sciences-Naval Research Laboratory Resident Research Associate (1974).

<sup>1</sup>M. Rubinstein and P. C. Taylor, Phys. Rev. B <u>9</u>, 4258 (1974).

<sup>2</sup>J. Haupt, in Proceedings of the Sixteenth Colloque Ampère, Bucharest, 1970 (unpublished), p. 630.

<sup>3</sup>J. Szeftel and H. Alloul, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>34</u>, 657 (1975).

<sup>4</sup>N. Bloembergen, E. M. Purcell, and R. V. Pound, Phys. Rev. <u>73</u>, 679 (1948).

 ${}^{5}$ F. Kramer, W. Müller-Warmuth, and H. Dutz, Glastech. Ber. <u>46</u>, 191 (1973).

<sup>6</sup>N. Bloembergen, Physica (Utrecht) 15, 386 (1949).

<sup>7</sup>P. W. Anderson, B. I. Halperin, and C. M. Varma, Phil. Mag. <u>25</u>, 1 (1972); see also P. W. Anderson (to be published), in which the author interprets some of the disorder modes as the tunneling of electron pairs.

<sup>8</sup>W. A. Phillips, J. Low Temp. Phys. <u>7</u>, 351 (1972). <sup>9</sup>Details of the expansion of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{Q}}$  and the resulting  $T_1$  will be presented in a separate publication by T. L.

Reinecke and K. L. Ngai.

<sup>10</sup>J. Van Kranendonk, Physica (Utrecht) <u>20</u>, 781 (1954). <sup>11</sup>M. Pollack and G. E. Pike, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>28</u>, 1449 (1972).

## Field-Induced Charge-Carrier Trapping in the Photoconduction of a Quasi One-Dimensional System: Phenanthrene–Pyromellitic Acid Dianhydride

## D. Haarer and H. Möhwald\*

IBM Research Laboratory, San Jose, California 95193

(Received 24 February 1975)

A field-dependent charge-carrier trapping process has been observed in the photoconduction mechanism of phenanthrene-pyromellitic acid dianhydride. This effect can be observed at electric field strengths exceeding  $10^3$  V/cm. A calculation on the basis of a hopping model shows that field-induced charge-carrier trapping occurs in a three-dimensional system at critical field strengths well above  $10^6$  V/cm. In a one-dimensional model, however, the critical field strength can be as low as 1 V/cm.

Organic charge-transfer crystals belong to the class of solids which exhibit quasi one-dimensional properties. This one-dimensionality is due to a characteristic packing of the molecules in the solid state. In phenanthrene-pyromellitic acid dianhydride (-PMDA), which belongs to the class of weak charge-transfer crystals, the molecules form stacks of alternating donors and acceptors. The molecular planes are parallel or close to parallel and have a spacing which is close to 3.5 Å.<sup>1</sup> Consequently, the intermolecular interaction along the stack axis is considerably larger than the interaction perpendicular to the stack axis. This gives rise to an anisotropy of those physical properties which are governed by the overlap of molecular wave functions (short-range interaction) such as triplet-exciton energy transfer<sup>2</sup> and charge-carrier transport.<sup>3,4</sup>

In this Letter we report a specific charge-carrier-trapping phenomenon which has to our knowledge not been reported in the field of photoconduction of organic solids: field-induced charge-