surface. At levels below  $\approx 40$ -mW absorbed power, the drop has a nearly spherical shape. The resolution in this picture is limited by the scanning raster to  $\sim 10 \ \mu m$  on the crystal.

The lifetime of the drop in this crystal (sample CR15) has been measured to be 490  $\mu$ sec from the decay of the total luminescence intensity from the entire crystal after the laser is switched off.<sup>2,5</sup> The long lifetime is a consequence of the reduced density of electron-hole pairs in the drop under stress. Alfvén-wave experiments for this sample geometry have determined the drop radius to be of order 0.3 mm at the same laser power. Most recently we have performed time-resolved slit-scanning experiments<sup>5</sup> which agree well with the Alfvén-wave data, as well as the photographs reproduced here. We regard the Alfvén-wave results as strong evidence that the image we have photographed here is that of a single drop and not a cloud of small drops. For unstressed Ge we observe a much weaker and more diffuse video image, which translates with the laser spot, consistent with a cloud of small drops having a much lower average electron-hole density.

\*Work supported in part by the U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration and the National Science Foundation.

<sup>1</sup>R. S. Markiewicz, J. P. Wolfe, and C. D. Jeffries,

Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>32</u>, 1357 (1974), and <u>34</u>, 59(E) (1975). <sup>2</sup>J. P. Wolfe, R. S. Markiewicz, C. Kittel, and C. D.

Jeffries, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>34</u>, 275 (1975). <sup>3</sup>The vidicon has a Pb-salt photoconductive surface

sensitive at  $1.75 \ \mu$ m. <sup>4</sup>It is known that contact stresses of this sort produce a maximum shear stress below the surface, as discussed by J. P. Wolfe, S. M. Kelso, R. S. Markiewicz, and J. E. Furneaux, to be published.

<sup>5</sup>Wolfe *et al.*, Ref. 4.

## Localization and the Minimum Metallic Conductivity in Si Inversion Layers

D. C. Tsui and S. J. Allen, Jr. Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974 (Received 19 March 1975)

We observe the "minimum metallic conductivity,"  $\sigma_m$ , to be a decreasing function of surface-state charge density,  $Q_{ss}$ , near the Si-SiO<sub>2</sub> interface. This dependence of  $\sigma_m$  on  $Q_{ss}$  is contrary to Mott's concept of minimum metallic conductivity, but explains the large differences in  $\sigma_m$  reported in the recent literature.

Carriers in Si inversion layers constitute a twodimensional (2D) electronic system whose density  $n_{\rm c}$  can be varied by more than two orders of magnitude. Several recent papers have reported carrier localization in this system at low temperatures.<sup>1-7</sup> This phenomenon, which has been called Mott-Anderson localization, is characterized by a transition from metallic conduction at high  $n_s$  to thermally activated conduction at low  $n_s$  [this transition occurs at  $n_s \sim 10^{12}/\text{cm}^2$  for an n-type (100) inversion layer] and its cause has been attributed to potential fluctuations at the Si-SiO<sub>2</sub> interface. Although all the reported data agree on the qualitative features of this transition, there exist large differences in the value of the reported minimum metallic conductivity,  $\sigma_m$ , below which the conductivity becomes thermally activated. In particular, while we reported in Ref. 2 the value  $\sigma_m \sim 6 \times 10^{-4} \Omega^{-1}$ , Pepper *et al.* 

reported  $\sigma_m \sim 2 \times 10^{-5} \ \Omega^{-1}$  which is an order of magnitude lower than other reported values.<sup>3-6</sup> Mott's concept of minimum metallic conductivity,<sup>8</sup> applied to a 2D system, requires that  $\sigma_m$  be relatively insensitive to material parameters and parameters characterizing the potential fluctuations. Since the wide variation of  $\sigma_m$  reported in the literature seriously questions the concept of a minimum metallic conductivity, it is important to establish this variation on a firmer experimental footing.

We have chosen surface-state charge density,  $Q_{ss}$ , near the Si-SiO<sub>2</sub> interface<sup>9</sup> as a convenient parameter to characterize potential fluctuations at the interface and studied the dependence of  $\sigma_m$ on  $Q_{ss}$ . It is the purpose of this Comment to report results from this study and to point out that the differences in  $\sigma_m$ , as reported in recent literature, are consistent with the  $Q_{ss}$  dependence of  $\sigma_m$  observed in our experiment. We attribute these differences in  $\sigma_m$  to the differences in the interface potential fluctuations of the devices used by the different groups and conclude that the minimum metallic conductivity in this 2D electronic system indeed depends on  $Q_{ss}$ , which is a widely used parameter for characterizing Si-SiO<sub>2</sub> interface properties.

The samples studied in this experiment are conventional two-terminal silicon metal-oxidesemiconductor field-effect transistors (Si-MOSFETs) of circular and linear geometry and also one four-terminal device, which was used to check that the effect of contact resistance at the source and drain is indeed negligible in our measurements. All the devices are fabricated on 10- to  $15-\Omega$ -cm (100)-oriented substrate material. The size of the gate area varies from  $12 \times 50 \ \mu m^2$ to  $250 \times 250 \ \mu m^2$  with oxide thickness varying from 1000 to 4000 Å.  $Q_{ss}$ , which is positive in all the samples, is determined from flat-band voltage shift in capacitance-voltage curves measured at 1 MHz.<sup>9</sup> Except for one device whose  $Q_{ss}$  is induced by the oxygen-reduction technique of Fowkes and Hess,<sup>10</sup> no additional process was deliberately introduced in the device fabrication to produce  $Q_{ss}$  in any of the other samples. It is assumed that  $Q_{ss}$  in these other samples results from variations in oxidation procedure and postoxidation annealing treatment. The impurity mobile-ion charge densities,  $Q_0$ , in all the samples, as determined from conventional bias-drift measurements, are  $Q_0 \leq 8 \times 10^{10} / \text{cm}^2$ . The mobile-ion contamination is kept at this low level to eliminate interface potential inhomogeneities resulting from mobile-ion clustering, which has been seen at higher ion densities.<sup>11</sup>

In Fig. 1 the sheet resistance,  $\rho$ , of a *p*-channel device is plotted as a function of 1/T, taken at fixed gate voltages  $V_{\mathbf{g}}$ .  $n_s$  given in the figure caption is determined by  $n_s = c_0 (V_F - V_t)/e$ , where  $c_0$  is the oxide capacitance and  $V_t$  is the conduction threshold voltage at 78°K. In this sample, whose  $Q_{ss} = 3.0 \times 10^{11} / \text{cm}^2$ , the transition from metallic conduction to thermally activated conduction occurs at  $n_s = (2.7 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{12} / \text{cm}^2$ . For smaller  $n_s$ ,  $\rho$  shows thermally activated behavior with an activation energy, which increases with decreasing  $n_s$ . The departure of  $\rho$  from a simple exponential dependence on 1/T at lower temperatures is consistent with Mott's variablerange hopping conduction in a 2D system.<sup>1,2</sup> σ", which is taken as the temperature-independent conductivity at  $n_s = 2.7 \times 10^{12} / \text{cm}^2$ , is  $4.2 \times 10^{-4}$ 



FIG. 1.  $\ln\rho$  versus 1/T for a *p*-channel device at various  $n_s$ : trace  $a, n_s=1.3 \times 10^{12}/\text{cm}^2$ ; trace  $b, n_s=1.6 \times 10^{12}/\text{cm}^2$ ; trace  $c, n_s=1.9 \times 10^{12}/\text{cm}^2$ ; trace  $d, n_s=2.2 \times 10^{12}/\text{cm}^2$ ; trace  $e, n_s=2.8 \times 10^{12}/\text{cm}^2$ .

Ω<sup>-1</sup>.

Figure 2 shows our data on  $\sigma_m$  as a function of  $Q_{ss}$ . The crosses indicate data from *p*-channel devices on n-Si and the circles indicate data from n-channel devices on p-Si. For comparison, we also show  $\sigma_m$  from Pepper *et al.*<sup>1</sup> (as a triangle) at  $Q_{ss} = 8 \times 10^{12} / \text{cm}^2$ , which is the approximate amount of charge stored in their metal-nitride-oxide-semiconductor (MNOS) device.<sup>1</sup> These data clearly show that  $\sigma_m$  depends strongly on  $Q_{ss}$ . In the range of  $Q_{ss}$  which we have studied, it decreases from  $\sim 7 \times 10^{-4} \Omega^{-1}$  at  $Q_{ss}$ =  $1.0 \times 10^{11}$  /cm<sup>2</sup> to ~  $4 \times 10^{-5} \Omega^{-1}$  at  $Q_{ss} = 1.6 \times 10^{12}$  / cm<sup>2</sup>. Although we have not measured any sample with  $Q_{ss}$  as large as the amount of charges in the devices used by Pepper et al.,<sup>1</sup> it is apparent that  $\sigma_m$ , reported by them, is also consistent with the general  $Q_{ss}$  dependence observed in our results. It appears that the different values of  $\sigma_m$  reported in the recent literature may be understood to be due to different values of  $Q_{ss}$ . Since  $Q_{ss}$  is not the only source of potential fluctuations at the interface, it is not, a priori, clear that such an unambiguous dependence of  $\sigma_m$ 



FIG. 2.  $\sigma_m$  versus  $Q_{ss}$ . Circles are from *n*-channel devices on *p*-Si, crosses are from *p*-channel devices on *n*-Si, and the triangle is from Ref. 1.

on  $Q_{ss}$  should be observed.

Although the dependence of  $\sigma_m$  on  $Q_{ss}$  demonstrated in Fig. 2 is convincing, there is at least one contrary piece of evidence. Mott *et al.*<sup>7</sup> report a value of  $\sigma_m$  close to the theoretical value,  $\approx 0.07e^2/\hbar$ , for a MOSFET with  $Q_{ss} \approx 10^{11}/\text{cm}^2$ . This suggests that  $Q_{ss}$  alone may not explain the reported differences in  $\sigma_m$ . At the same time Hartstein and Fowler<sup>6</sup> find a variation of  $\sigma_m$  with  $Q_{ss}$  consistent with Fig. 2.

According to Mott's concept of minimum metallic conductivity,  $\sigma_m$  in a 2D system is relatively insensitive to parameters characterizing potential fluctuations in the system. The strong dependence of  $\sigma_m$  on  $Q_{ss}$ , as seen in Fig. 2, is an evidence that this minimum-metallic-conductivity concept is not valid in this 2D system. It is also important to note that this dependence of  $\sigma_m$  on  $Q_{ss}$  is still inconsistent with Mott's minimum

metallic conductivity even if the Si inversion layer is assumed to be a three-dimensional (3D) electronic system. In the case of a 3D system,  $\sigma_m$  is expected to increase with increasing density of localizing centers,<sup>8</sup> contrary to our observation of  $\sigma_m$  decreasing with increasing  $Q_{ss}$ . Finally, we recall that electron-electron interaction effects may be important at low carrier densities.<sup>2,12</sup> In the case of an *n*-type (100) inversion layer, the Coulomb interaction energy between electrons exceeds their kinetic energy for  $n_s < 3$  $imes 10^{12}/cm^2$  and the Mott-Anderson localization occurs for  $n_s \lesssim 1 \times 10^{12} / \text{cm}^2$ . Thus, many-body effects probably play an important role in this localization phenomenon and may mitigate Mott's concept of a minimum-metallic-conductivity concept in this 2D system.

We are grateful to F. H. Hielscher for providing us with the oxygen-reduced samples, to G. Kaminsky for technical assistance, and to J. Brews for helpful conversations.

<sup>1</sup>M. Pepper, S. Pollitt, C. J. Adkins, and R. E. Oakley, Phys. Lett. <u>47A</u>, 71 (1974); M. Pepper, S. Pollitt, and C. J. Adkins, Phys. Lett. <u>48A</u>, 113 (1974).

<sup>2</sup>D. C. Tsui and S. J. Allen, Jr., Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>32</u>, 1200 (1974).

<sup>3</sup>E. Arnold, Appl. Phys. Lett. <u>25</u>, 705 (1974).

<sup>4</sup>A. Fowler, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>34</u>, 15 (1975).

<sup>5</sup>M. E. Sjöstrand and P. J. Stiles, to be published.

<sup>6</sup>A. Hartstein and A. B. Fowler, to be published.

<sup>7</sup>N. F. Mott, M. Pepper, S. Pollitt, R. H. Wallis, and C. J. Adkins, to be published.

<sup>8</sup>N. F. Mott, Phil. Mag. 26, 1015 (1972).

<sup>9</sup>B. E. Deal, J. Electrochem. Soc. 121, 199c (1974).

<sup>10</sup>F. M. Fowkes and D. W. Hess, Appl. Phys. Lett. <u>22</u>, 377 (1973).

<sup>11</sup>T. H. DiStefano, Appl. Phys. Lett. <u>19</u>, 280 (1971);

D. J. Silversmith, J. Electrochem. Soc. <u>119</u>, 1589 (1972).  $^{12}$ See, for example, J. L. Smith and P. J. Stiles, Phys. Rev. Lett. 29, 102 (1972).