we expect this ratio to be ≈ 8 , in rather good agreement with the measured value.

In conclusion, we find that the magnitude of the cross sections for the reaction ${}^{48}Ca({}^{16}O,{}^{15}C){}^{49}Ti$ leading to several levels in ⁴⁹Ti are comparable to the ones observed for few-nucleon transfers. The experimental results seem to imply that, in general, it may not be possible to ignore the contributions to cross sections originating from the more complex transfer processes. It is hoped that the present data will be used to test reaction calculations which include such processes.

*On leave from Technische Universitat Munchen, München, Germany.

f.Permanent address: University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pa. 19174.

 1 See for example, R.J. Asciutto and N.K. Glendenning, Phys. Lett. 45B, 85 (1973); S. Landowe, R. A. Broglia, and R. Liotta, Phys. Lett. 43B, 160 (1973); K. S. Low and T. Tamura, in Symposium on Heavy-Ion Transfer Reactions, Argonne National Laboratory Report No. CONF 730312-2, 1973 (National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Va., 1973), Vol. 2, p. 655; R. A. Broglia, W. Gotz, M. Ichimura, T. Kammuri, and A. Winther, Phys. Lett. 45B, 23 (1973); W. Götz, M. Ichimura, R. A. Broglia, and A. Winther, in Proceedings of the International Conference on Reactions Between Complex Nuclei, Nashville, Tennessee, 1974, edited by R. L. Robinson et al. (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1974), Vol. 1, p. 74.

 2 A. J. Baltz and S. Kahana, Phys. Rev. Lett. 29, 1267

(1972); B. Bayman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 32, 71 (1974).

 3 F. D. Becchetti, D. G. Kovar, B. G. Harvey, D. L. Hendrie, H. Homeyer, J. Mahoney, W. von Oertzen,

and N. K. Glendenning, Phys. Rev. C 9, 1543 (1974). 4 T. Udagawa, T. Tamura, and K. S. Low, to be pub-

lished.

 ${}^{5}R$. A. Broglia, private communication.

 6 See for example, A. G. Artukh, G. R. Gridnev, V. L. Mikheev, V. V. Volkov, and J. Wilczynski, Nucl. Phys. A211, 299 (1973), and references therein.

 N_K . M. Wilcox, N. A. Jelly, G. J. Wozniak, R. B. Weisenmiller, H. L. Harney, and J. Cerny, Phys. Rev. Lett. 30, 866 (1973).

 8 B. Zeidman, W. Henning, and D. G. Kovar, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 118, 361 (1974).

 A . H. Wapstra and N. B. Gove, Nucl. Data Tables 9, 265 (1971).

 10 D. G. Kovar, W. Henning, and B. Zeidman, Bull.

Amer. Phys. Soc. 19, 45 (1974), and to be published. 11 W. Henning, D. G. Kovar, B. Zeidman, and J. R.

Erskine, Phys. Rev. Lett. 32, 1015 (1974).

¹²DWBA code LOLA; R. M. DeVries, Phys. Rev. C 8 , 951 (1973).

 13 P. Martin, M. Buenerd, Y. Dupont, and M. Chabre, Nucl. Phys. A185, 465 (1972).

 14 P. J. Plauger and E. Kashy, Nucl. Phys. A152, 609 (1970); P. Wilhjelm, O. Hansen, J. R. Comfort, C. K. Bockelman, and P. D. Barnes, Phys. Rev. 166, 1121 (1968).

¹⁵J. D. Goss, A. A. Rollefson, C. P. Browne, R. A. Blue, and H. R. Weller, Phys. Rev. C 8, 514 (1973); K. W. Corrigan, R. M. Prior, S. Darden, and B.A. Robson, Nucl. Phys. A188, 164 (1972).

 16 P. R. Christensen, V. I. Manko, F. D. Becchetti, and R.J. Nickles, Nucl. Phys. A207, 33 (1973).

 17 W. von Oertzen, H. G. Bohlen, and B. Gebauer, Nucl. Phys. A207, 91 (1973).

Blocking Effect of the M1 Core Polarization Studied from the g Factor of the 8^+ State in ²¹⁴Ra

Y. Yamazaki,* O. Hashimoto, † H. Ikezoe, S. Nagamiya, K. Nakai, and T. Yamazaki Department of Physics, Eaculty of Science, University of Tokyo, Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, Japan, and

Cyclotron Laboratory, The Institute of Physical and Chemical Research, Wako-shi, Saitama-ken, Japan (Received 10 June 1974)

The g factor of the 8^+ state of ²¹⁴Ra (T_{1/2} = 67 μ sec) has been determined to be g = 0.88 \pm 0.01 by the in-beam γ -ray NMR method. The blocking effect of the $[\pi_{11/2}$ ⁻¹ $\pi h_{9/2}]$ -1⁺type core polarization on g factors of $\pi h_{9/2}$ ⁿ states is investigated. The observed blocking effect is much less than the theoretical expectation, implying that the correction to the g factor of the $\pi h_{9/2}$ state because of $[\pi h_{11/2}$ ⁻¹ $\pi h_{9/2}]$ 1⁺ excitation is comparable with that because of $\left[\nu i_{13/2} \right]^{1} \nu i_{11/2}$

Until a few years ago it had been one of the she11-model puzzles why the magnetic moment of the $m_{9/2}$ state of ²⁰⁹Bi deviates largely from the Schmidt value. Recently it was found¹ that half the deviation is due to the anomaly in the proton orbital g factor ($\delta g_1 \approx 0.1$). With this anomaly the puzzle seemed to be solved, since the other half of the deviation had already been explained by the $M1$ core polarization theory.²⁻⁴ From the experimental point of view, however, questions still remain as to the mecha-

⁾Work performed under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

nism of the M1 core polarization.

The $M1$ spin polarization of the ²⁰⁸Pb core arises mainly from the following one-particle, one-hole excitations: $[\pi h_{11/2}^{-1} \pi h_{9/2}]$ 1⁺ and $[\nu i_{13/2}^{-1} \nu i_{11/2}]$ 1⁺. One way to study quantitatively the effect of these excitations on the g factor is to measure their blocking effect.⁵ The probability of making such particle-hole pairs is proportional to the number of vacancy sites in the $\pi h_{9/2}$ orbital (or $\nu i_{11/2}$ orbital). Therefore, the g factor for the $\pi h_{9/2}^n$ state is expressed as
 $g(\pi h_{9/2}^n) = g_{Schmidt}(\pi h_{9/2}) + \frac{12}{11}\delta g_t + [(9-n)/8]\delta g_{\text{core}}(\pi h) + \delta g_{\text{core}}(\nu i),$

$$
g(\pi h_{9/2}^{\prime\prime}) = g_{\text{Schmidt}}(\pi h_{9/2}) + \frac{12}{11}\delta g_{1} + [(9 - n)/8]\delta g_{\text{core}}(\pi h) + \delta g_{\text{core}}(\nu i), \tag{1}
$$

where $\delta g_{\text{core}}(\pi h)$ is the effect of the $[\pi h_{11/2}]$ ⁻¹ $\pi h_{9/2}]$ where $v_{\text{Core}}(m)$ is the effect of the $\lfloor m_{11/2} \rfloor$ in 1^+ excitation on the g factor of $\frac{209}{B1}$ and so on. If we look at the variation of the g factors for the $\pi h_{9/2}$ ⁿ states as a function of *n*, we will be able to deduce $\delta g(\pi h)$.

aeduce og(1*n*).
Recently Maier *et al*.⁶ found a 67-µsec 8⁺ isomeric state in ${}^{214}_{88}Ra_{126}$. The main configuration of this state is $\pi h_{9/2}^{\frac{10}{6}}$ which is suitable to study the blocking effect. In this Letter we report the g factor determination of this state and discuss the mechanism of the M1 core polarization in the 208 Pb region.

The method employed was the in-beam γ -ray NMR method.⁷ The 8^+ isomeric state was populated via the reaction $^{206}Pb(^{12}C, 4n)^{214}Ra$ with the 90-MeV "C beam from the Institute of Physical and Chemical Research cyclotron. A metallic foil of enriched ^{206}Pb was used as the target. A preliminary spectroscopic study, using a 30-cm' Ge(Li) detector, revealed a clean peak of the 1381-keV 2^+ - 0⁺ transition. Therefore, the gfactor measurement was carried out with 4. 5 cm \times 4.5 cm NaI(Tl) detectors placed at 0° and 90° with respect to the beam direction. A static external magnetic field (H_0) was applied parallel to the beam direction, and an rf field $(2H_1)$ with fixed frequency was applied perpendicular to H_0 . The measurements were done at two different temperatures, 270° C and room temperature.

Typical NMR patterns at room temperature are shown in Fig. 1. Solid curves are those fitted by the theoretical function of Matthias $et al.^{7}$ At the beginning of the experiment it was anticipated that the electric field gradients due to radiation damage might cause an appreciable relaxation of the spin orientation of the $8⁺$ state during the period of the lifetime.⁸ To avoid such a relaxation we first tried to heat the target up to 270'C (just below the melting point). However, it turned out that the observed NMR patterns at room temperature were cleaner than those at 270'C. This implies that the quadrupole relaxation due to radiation damage is negligible in the present case, and a possible relaxation is only the magnetic relaxation due to conduction electrons (Korringa type). 9 One of the reasons may

be that the quadrupole moment of the $8⁺$ state is expected to be very small [in fact, $B(E2; 8^+ \rightarrow 6^+)$] is very small], since the protons in 214 Ra fill half the $h_{9/2}$ orbital.

At room temperature the NMR pattern disappeared at $H_1 = 2.7$ G (but not at $H_1 = 5.5$ G). From this fact the effective relaxation time, $\tau_{eff} = 1/\omega_1$ $=\hbar/g\mu_{N}H_{1}$, was estimated to be $\tau_{eff} \approx 44 \pm 14$ μ sec. This τ_{eff} is related both to the lifetime of the state, τ , and to the conventional longitudinal relaxation time T_1 through the equation¹⁰

$$
\frac{1}{\tau_{\text{eff}}} = \frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{3}{T_1}.
$$
 (2)

 $\tau_{\rm eff}$ τ T_1
Thus, we obtain $T_1 \simeq$ 240 $^{+220}_{-80}$ µsec at room temperature. Detailed discussions of the relaxation process will be given elsewhere.

FIG. 1. Typical NMR patterns for the $67-\mu\sec 8^+$ state of ²¹⁴Ra populated via the reaction ²⁰⁶Pb(12 C, $(4n)^{214}$ Ra in a metallic lead target at room temperature. The ratio of the counting rates of two detectors placed at 0° and 90° was taken as a function of the longitudinal external field. The solid curves are the theoretical curves (Ref. 7) best fitted to the experimental points.

The g factor (uncorrected value) obtained is

$$
g_{\text{uncorr}}(8^{\ast};^{214}\text{Ra}) = 0.881 \pm 0.003. \tag{3}
$$

The diamagnetic correction for a Ra atom estimated from the calculation by Feiock and Johnson¹¹ is $\sigma = -2.0\%$, while the Knight shift (Δ_K) of Ra in Pb has not been known. We have estimated Δ_K by the following two ways. One is from the observed relaxation time. If the observed T_1 is mainly due to conduction electrons, we have Δ_K \simeq 1.2% from the Korringa relation.⁹ The other way is from the extrapolation of the known Δ_K data for alkali and alkali-earth metals.¹² This data for alkali and alkali-earth metals.¹² This data for alkali and alkali-earth metals.¹² Th
yields $\Delta_{\rm K}\simeq 2.8\%.^{13}$ Therefore, the reasonabl value is $\Delta_K \simeq (2 \pm 1)\%$ which nearly cancels the diamagnetic correction. After the corrections for the Knight shift and diamagnetism we obtain

$$
g_{\text{corr}}(8^+;\,^{214}\text{Ra}) = 0.88 \pm 0.01. \tag{4}
$$

The g factors of *n*-proton states outside the 208 Pb core (where main configurations are believed to be $\pi h_{9/2}$ ⁿ) are summarized in Fig. 2. The g factor of the 8^+ state of 214 Ra is 3% smaller than those of the $\frac{9}{7}$ state of ²⁰⁹Bi and of the 8⁺ state of ²¹⁰Po, clearly showing the blocking effect of the $[\pi h_{11/2}]$ ⁻¹ $\pi h_{9/2}$]-1⁺-type core polarization. If all the states in Fig. 2 have pure configurations of $\pi h_{9/2}$ ⁿ, then the observed gradient readily

FIG. 2. The observed g factors of the *n*-proton states of $h_{9/2}$ ⁿ I character outside of the ²⁰⁸Pb core. The previous data (the $\frac{9}{2}$ state of ²⁰⁹Bi, the 8⁺ state of ²¹⁰Po, the $\frac{21}{2}$ state of ²¹¹At, and the 8⁺ state of ²¹²Rn) are taken from Ref. 14. The dotted line represents the experimental points.

gives $\delta g_{\text{core}}(\pi h) = 0.05 \pm 0.01$ from Eq. (1). This value is only one third of the theoretical value, value is only one unit of the the
 $[\delta g_{\rm core}(\pi h)]_{\rm theory} = 0.12 - 0.16.^{2-4}$

The above treatment to deduce $\delta g_{\text{core}}(\pi h)$ is too crude, since it is expected that the 8^+ state of ²¹⁴Ra involves the $\pi f_{7/2}$ and $\pi i_{13/2}$ components because these orbitals lie just above the $\pi h_{9/2}$ orbital. The wave function of the state may be written as

$$
|8^{\dagger};^{214}\text{Ra}) = (1 - \sum |\alpha_i|^2)^{1/2} |h_{9/2}^6; 8^{\dagger}) + \alpha_1 |[h_{9/2}^4]8^{\dagger} \otimes [j^2]0^{\dagger}; 8^{\dagger}) + \alpha_2 |h_{9/2}^5 f_{7/2}; 8^{\dagger}) + \alpha_3 |[h_{9/2}^4] J_1 \otimes [j^2] J_2; 8^{\dagger}), \tag{5}
$$

where $j = f_{7/2}$ or $i_{13/2}$. Among the three terms added to the $\pi h_{9/2}$ ⁶ component, the first term (α_1 component), which is the $n = 4$ component, makes the occupation number of protons in the $\pi h_{9/2}$ orbital smaller than 6. Using the pairing energy of $G = 0.11-0.15$ MeV, we estimated that the effective occupation number, n_{eff} , of protons in the $m_{9/2}$ orbital for the 8⁺ state of ²¹⁴Ra is ~4.3-4.9. Using the notion of n_{eff} , we can write down the g factor of the 8⁺ state of ²¹⁴Ra as

$$
g(8^+; {}^{214}\text{Ra}) = g(\pi h_{9/2}^{\bullet} \cdot \text{ref}) + |\alpha_2 |^2 \Delta g_2 + |\alpha_3 |^2 \Delta g_3,
$$

where $\Delta g_2 = g([\pi h_{9/2}^5 \pi f_{7/2}] 8^+) - g(\pi h_{9/2}^{\prime n} e^{f f})$ and so on. Because the g factors of the $\pi f_{7/2}$ and $\pi i_{13/2}$ states are larger than that of the $\pi h_{9/2}$ state, Δg_2 and Δg_3 are always positive. Arita¹⁵ calculated the coefficients α_2 and α_3 , and obtained $|\alpha_2|^2 \Delta g_2$ $+ \, | \alpha_3|^2 \Delta g_3 = 0.011$. Thus we obtain,

$$
g(\pi h_{9/2}^{n_{\text{eff}}}) = 0.87 \pm 0.01 \ (n_{\text{eff}} \cong 5). \tag{7}
$$

Using this value in Eq. (1) leads to

$$
\delta g_{\rm core}(\pi h) \simeq 0.09, \tag{8}
$$

where to deduce this value the present result (7) together with the g factors of the $\frac{9}{7}$ state of

$$
(6)
$$

²⁰⁹Bi and the 8⁺ state of ²¹⁰Po have been used. This value of $\delta g_{\text{core}}(\pi h)$ is, however, still smaller than the theoretical value.²⁻⁴

Since the value of δg_i is known to be $0.1-0.15$,¹ Eq. (1) gives $\delta g_{\text{core}}(\nu i)$ =0.13-0.07. This means that

$$
\delta g_{\rm core}(\pi h) \simeq \delta g_{\rm core}(\nu i) \simeq 0.1. \tag{9}
$$

So far the theoretical calculations²⁻⁴ alway showed that $\delta g_{\text{core}}(\pi h)$ plays a dominant role for the magnetic moment of the $\pi h_{9/2}$ state of ²⁰⁹Bi, while $\delta g_{\text{core}}(\nu i)$ does not. Contrary to this prediction, our conclusion is that the effect of the like-particle excitation, $\delta g_{\text{core}}(\pi h)$, is comparable with that of the unlike-particle excitation, $\delta g_{\text{core}}(\nu i)$. In other words, the $(\sigma \cdot \sigma)$ $(\tau \cdot \tau)$ force plays an essential role in the magnetic core polarization. Arita¹⁵ made calculations using various forces and showed that the Rosenfeld force reproduces the experiment when the force range becomes long.

The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to Professor A. Bohr, Professor A. Arima, and Dr. K. Arita for various stimulating discussions. The hospitality by Dr. H. Kamitsubo and all members of the Institute of Physical and Chemical Research is gratefully acknowledged. The present work was partially supported by the Mitsubishi Foundation.

*Present address: Nuclear Pesearch Bldg. , Florida State University, Tallahassee, Fla. 32306.

4 Present address: Institute for Nuclear Study, University of Tokyo, Midori-cho, Tanashi-shi, Tokyo, Japan.

¹T. Yamazaki, T. Nomura, S. Nagamiya, and T. Katou, Phys. Rev. Lett. 25, 547 (1970); S. Nagamiya and T. Yamazaki, Phys. Rev. C 4, 1961 (1971); T. Yamazaki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., Suppl. 34, 17 (1973); S. Nagamiya, Phys. Lett. 45B, 15 (1973).

 2 A. Arima and H. Horie, Progr. Theor. Phys. 12, 623 (1954), and 17, 580 (1957).

 $3J.$ Blomqvist, N. Freed, and O. Zetterstrom, Phys. Lett. 18, 47 (1965).

 4 H. A. Mavromatis and L. Zamick, Nucl. Phys. A104, 17 (1967).

 $5S.$ Nagamiya, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., Suppl. 34, 230 (1973).

 K . H. Maier, J. R. Leigh, F. Puhlhofer, and R. M. Diamond, J. Phys. (Paris), Colloq. 32, C6-211 (1971).

 ${}^{7}E$. Matthias, B. Olsen, D. A. Shirley, J. E. Templeton, and R. M. Steffen, Phys. Rev. A $\frac{4}{10}$, 1626 (1971).

 8 H. Bertschat, J. Christiansen, H.-E. Mahnke,

E. Recknagel, G. Schatz, R. Sielemann, and W. Whitthuhn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 25, 102 (1970), and Nucl. Phys. A150, 282 (1970).

 ${}^{9}C.$ P. Slichter, Principle of Magnetic Resonance (Harper and Row, New York, 1963).

 10 H. Gabriel, Phys. Rev. 181, 506 (1969).

 11 F. D. Feiock and W. R. Johnson, Phys. Rev. 187 , 39 (1969).

 12 L. E. Drain, Met. Rev. 12, 195 (1967).

¹³An estimate of Δ_K of Ra in Pb is slightly complicated. If we plot $log \Delta_K$ versus $log Z$, experimental data of Δ_K for pure alkali and alkali-earth metals fall in a straight line. From the extrapolation we obtain $\Delta_K(Ra)$ in Ra). From the empirical law it can be assumed that $\Delta_K(X \text{ in } Pb) \simeq \Delta_K(X \text{ in } X)$ [L. H. Bennett, R. M. Cotts, and R.J. Snodgrass, in Nuclear Magnetic Resonance and Relaxation in Solids, edited by L. van Gerven (North-Ho11and, Amsterdam, 1965), p. 171]. Therefore, we have Δ_K (Ra in Pb) $\simeq \Delta_K$ (Ra in Ra) $\simeq 2.8\%$.

¹⁴S. Nagamiya, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., Suppl. 34 , 623 (1973).

 $15K.$ Arita, in Proceedings of the International Conference on Nuclear Physics, Münich, Germany, 1973, edited by J. de Boer and H. J. Mang (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973), Vol. I, p. 266.

10 - μ m Heterodyne Stellar Interferometer*

M. A. Johnson, \dagger A. L. Betz, and C. H. Townes Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720 {Received 17 October 1974}

A spatial interferometer for $10-\mu m$ wavelength which uses two independent telescopes separated by 5.5 m, heterodyne detection of the infrared radiation, and path equalization by a variable-length rf cable, has given interference fringes from radiation of the planet Mercury. Continuous fringe observations during 4000 sec indicate remarkable stability in the optical-path difference through the atmosphere and the two telescopes, fluctuations between 20-sec averages being about $\frac{1}{6}$ of the 10- μ m wavelength.

A two-element spatial interferometer, operating with two 10 - μ m-wavelength heterodyne receivers on a baseline of 5.5 m, has been constructed and successfully tested on an astronomical source. In purpose, the apparatus is similar to Michelson's stellar interferometer in that it

provides very high angular resolution of infrared stars and other localized sources; in construction, however, the instrument more closely re-
sembles a long-baseline microwave interferometer.^{1,2} sembles a long-baseline microwave interferometer. $1,2$

Presently, the interferometer uses the two in-