
 COMMENTS

New Value for the Magnetic Moment of the Antiproton*

B. L. Roberts,† C. R. Cox, M. Eckhause, J. R. Kane, and R. E. Welsh
College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, Virginia 23185

and

D. A. Jenkins and W. C. Lam
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia 24061

and

P. D. Barnes, R. A. Eisenstein, J. Miller, and R. B. Sutton
Carnegie-Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

and

A. R. Kunselman
University of Wyoming, Laramie, Wyoming 82070

and

R. J. Powers
California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91109

and

J. D. Fox‡
Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973
 (Received 19 August 1974)

From a study of the fine-structure splittings in antiprotonic atoms formed in Pb and U, we have obtained a precise value of the \bar{p} magnetic moment: $\mu_{\bar{p}} = (-2.819 \pm 0.056)\mu_N$.

We wish to report a more precise value for the antiproton magnetic moment than that published by us in an earlier Letter.¹ This more precise value was obtained from data collected on the same experiment as described in our earlier publication, but is based on a more comprehensive analysis of the data.

In this more complete analysis of the \bar{p} -U and \bar{p} -Pb x-ray transitions for fine-structure information, we incorporated the following:

(1) Corrections for the contributions from the dominant noncircular ($l = n - 2$) transitions. The amplitudes of these noncircular transitions (averaging between 10 and 15%) were obtained in a number of ways, including (a) a free-parameter fit to several of the lower-lying x-ray transitions, (b) various cascade calculations, and (c) direct information from experimental limits on the am-

TABLE I. Magnetic moment derived from antiprotonic x rays in Pb and U. The contributions of the first noncircular transitions were included in the data analysis for each of the doublet transitions indicated. The errors quoted not only represent statistical uncertainty but reflect also the uncertainties associated with the contribution from noncircular transitions.

Element	Transition ($n_i \rightarrow n_f$)	μ ($e\hbar/2m_p c$)
Pb	13 → 12	-2.70 ± 0.85
	12 → 11	-2.76 ± 0.55
	11 → 10	-2.58 ± 0.21
U	13 → 12	-2.78 ± 0.65
	12 → 11	-2.81 ± 0.13
	11 → 10	-2.847 ± 0.066
Weighted average		-2.819 ± 0.056

plitudes of $\Delta n = 2$ transitions.²

(2) Calculation of the effect of dynamic electric-quadrupole mixing of nuclear and atomic states³ in U. In particular, for the \bar{p} atomic states formed with the deformed U nucleus, we calculate that the two fine-structure components of the $n = 10$, $l = 9$ doublet are shifted equally to within 20 eV, a difference which is far less than the experimental precision. Our results agree with those of Ara and Chen.³

(3) Inclusion of the $n = 13 \rightarrow n = 12$ and $n = 12 \rightarrow n = 11$ \bar{p} -Pb and \bar{p} -U x-ray transitions in this fine-structure analysis, as well as the (statistically more important) $11 \rightarrow 10$ transition.

The results of this analysis are given in Table I, and the final value for the \bar{p} magnetic dipole moment,

$$\mu_{\bar{p}} = (-2.819 \pm 0.056)\mu_N$$

(where μ_N is the nuclear Bohr magneton, $e\hbar/2m_p c$), is in very good agreement with the value

predicted by the *TCP* theorem.

We thank Dr. D. Berley, Dr. D. Lazarus, Dr. Y. Y. Lee, and the staff of the Brookhaven National Laboratory alternating-gradient synchrotron for their continued support.

*Work supported in part by the National Science Foundation and the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

†The work reported here constitutes part of a thesis submitted to the College of William and Mary in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Ph. D. degree. Present address: Rutherford Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, Berkshire, England.

‡Present address, Centre d'Etudes Nucléaires de Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.

¹J. D. Fox *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 29, 193 (1972).

²For a more complete description of the effects of contributions from the noncircular transitions see B. L. Roberts, thesis, College of William and Mary, Report No. WM-74-52, 1974 (unpublished).

³G. Ara and M. Y. Chen, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 19, 599 (1974); M. Y. Chen, Phys. Rev. C 1, 1176 (1970).