

Energy Dependence of Backward  $\pi^+p$  Elastic Scattering from 2 to 6 GeV/c

W. F. Baker, D. P. Eartly, K. P. Pretzl, S. M. Pruss, and A. A. Wehmann  
*National Accelerator Laboratory,\* Batavia, Illinois 60185*

and

P. Koehler  
*Argonne National Laboratory,\* Argonne, Illinois 60439*

and

A. J. Lennox, J. A. Poirier, C. A. Rey, and O. R. Sander  
*University of Notre Dame,† Notre Dame, Indiana 46556*  
 (Received 23 October 1973)

The energy dependence of backward  $\pi^+p$  elastic scattering has been measured for incident  $\pi$  momenta 2.0–6.0 GeV/c in steps of typically 100 MeV/c. Values are presented for both the differential cross section extrapolated to  $180^\circ$  and the slope of the backward peak as a function of momentum. In the  $s$  channel we see the effects of the established  $\Delta^{++}$  resonances and evidence for the  $\Delta(3230)$ . Also, the data show the existence of a negative-parity  $\Delta$  resonance with mass  $\sim 2200$  MeV/c<sup>2</sup>.

Numerous experiments have measured the angular distributions of backward elastically scattered pions at various energies. Systematic studies<sup>1-3</sup> of the energy dependence of the backward elastic scattering of negative pions have been made up to 5.3 GeV/c. Similar studies<sup>3-7</sup> for positive pions have the added difficulty of separating the recoil protons from a positive beam. In this experiment, a focusing spectrometer separated the forward-going recoil protons from beam-momentum particles. Scintillation-counter hodoscopes could then be used, permitting the high beam intensities required for an adequate event rate.

A layout of the apparatus at the zero-gradient synchrotron (ZGS) of Argonne National Laboratory is given in Fig. 1. The incident pions were identified by scintillation counters and two threshold Cherenkov counters. Positrons in the beam were vetoed by an additional Cherenkov counter further upstream. The scatterings took place in a liquid-hydrogen target 2 in. in diameter and 10 in. long, and were measured in the vertical plane. The backward-scattered pions were located in both azimuthal,  $\phi^B$ , and polar,  $\theta^B$ , angles by a scintillation-counter hodoscope below the incident beam line. This hodoscope separated the pions into six angular bins of  $\theta^B$  from about  $141^\circ$  to  $173^\circ$ . Similarly, the forward-going recoil protons were located by a hodoscope ( $\theta^F, \phi^F$ ) attached to the entrance face of the first quadrupole magnet of the spectrometer.

The focusing spectrometer separated the recoil

protons from the beam particles and from forward-scattered particles. It consisted of two quadrupole magnets separated by a dipole magnet. The first quadrupole was set for vertical focusing and the second for horizontal focusing. This resulted in a horizontal angular acceptance of  $\pm 12$  mrad and a vertical angular acceptance of  $\pm 45$  mrad, which yields a solid angle acceptance of 2.2 msr. The axis of the spectrometer was raised above the beam line so that recoil protons could be measured at angles up to  $5^\circ$  from the beam. The quadrupole magnets imaged the hydrogen target onto the momentum hodoscope, P-HOD. The linear magnification was 5.7 in the vertical plane and 0.17 in the horizontal plane. The dipole magnet deflected the particles horizontally to produce, at the momentum hodoscope, a dispersion of 0.28 cm/% ( $\Delta P/P$ ). This, combined with the horizontal image size and hodoscope counter size, yielded a momentum resolution of  $\Delta P/P = 2.5\%$  at 3.0 GeV/c, and a spatial




FIG. 1. Experimental layout.

separation of 3.0 cm between the recoil protons and the forward scattered or beam particles.

The recoil-proton momentum hodoscope contained ten vertical scintillation counters of 6.3 mm width. Beyond this a threshold Cherenkov counter  $C_3$  counted pions and was put in anticoincidence for backward scattering.

The hydrogen target was placed at the center of a vertically deflecting dipole C magnet. During data taking this magnet was off, but when energized it was used to sweep the beam vertically to measure the transmissivity of the spectrometer as a function of angle.

The length of the spectrometer could be changed by sliding the target assembly, with counters and magnet, on rails provided. Such a move was made only once during the experiment. The initial position was used for incident momenta from 2.0 to 4.6 GeV/c. The spectrometer was then lengthened to extend the measurements to 6.0 GeV/c. At this setting several points were measured at lower energies to check the consistency of the two geometries. The momentum spread  $\Delta P_0$  of the incident beam was typically  $\pm \frac{3\%}{4}$  and in all cases was less than the momentum separation of the points.

As only counters were used, it was possible to run at beam intensities as high as  $3 \times 10^6$  particles per ZGS pulse. Approximately 1 day was spent accumulating data at each beam momentum (1000 to 13 000 events). Forward scattering was measured concurrently, and served as a continuous, high-rate monitor of the spectrometer system.

Conventional logic circuitry identified events as to angle, coplanarity, and momentum, and these rates were recorded on scalers. Latches for the individual counters and their logic combinations were set by an event signal. These were then read into an on-line computer where checks on the data were made and where the data were subsequently recorded on magnetic tape.

Background events resulted from accidental coincidences, inelastic processes, and interactions in other materials than liquid hydrogen. Accidentals were continuously monitored with out-of-time coincidence circuits. Most inelastic events were rejected by the momentum hodoscope of the spectrometer since their recoil proton momenta were below that for elastic scattering. Periodic target-empty runs determined the number of nonhydrogen interactions. The fraction of events due to all other background processes varied with energy, but typically amounted to 3% of

the total.

The geometrical acceptance of the combined pion and proton hodoscopes was calculated with a Monte Carlo program taking into account the incident-beam divergence and other geometrical factors.

The transmissivity used to obtain cross sections was evaluated by a second Monte Carlo calculation and ranged between 95 and 100%. The magnet surrounding the target was used to sweep the beam vertically over the spectrometer aperture. This measurement served as a check on the latter Monte Carlo program. To measure the energy dependence of  $\pi^+p$  backward scattering, only the magnet currents were changed. Therefore, any systematic errors should have a minimal energy dependence and are estimated to be  $\pm 5\%$ .

The differential cross sections were calculated from the measurements at each energy for each of the angular bins mentioned above. As these bins were at fixed laboratory angle, their  $u$  values varied with energy, but in general the range covered corresponded to the region of positive  $u$ . Backward  $\pi^+p$  scattering is characterized by a




FIG. 2. Differential cross section for  $\pi^+p$  elastic scattering at  $180^\circ$ . Solid curve, fit to the data including the  $\Delta(2200)$  and  $\Delta(3230)$  resonances. The dashed portions show the effect of omitting these two resonances.




FIG. 3. Energy dependence of the slope of the backward peak in  $\pi^+p$  elastic scattering.

steep peak dropping from a maximum at  $180^\circ$  to a minimum at  $u = -0.15 \text{ GeV}^2$ . We therefore assumed a simple exponential for the  $u$  dependence of our data,  $ae^{bu}$ , and extrapolated to  $180^\circ$  to obtain the differential cross sections plotted in Fig. 2. The energy dependence of the slope parameter  $b$  is given in Fig. 3. In this energy range the slope appears to be increasing slowly with beam momentum except for the structure evident between 2.0 and 2.7  $\text{GeV}/c$ .

Within the concept of semilocal duality, the structure observed in the energy dependence of the  $\pi^+p$  differential cross section at  $180^\circ$  seems to be best interpreted by  $s$ -channel resonances with isospin  $\frac{3}{2}$ . The most prominent feature is the  $\Delta(2420)$ , with the  $\Delta(2850)$  appearing as a broad shoulder on its high-energy side. The data were fitted with a model, similar to that of Ma and Shaw,<sup>8</sup> consisting of Breit-Wigner resonance amplitudes superimposed on a nonsignatured Regge background term. The parameters of the  $I = \frac{3}{2}$   $\Delta$  resonances used in this fit were taken from Lasinski *et al.*<sup>9</sup> Interpreting the shoulder centered at approximately 5.1  $\text{GeV}/c$  as the  $\Delta(3230)$ ,<sup>10</sup> we adjusted its parameters to give a good fit to our data. We thus confirm the existence of the  $\Delta(3230)$  which SU(6) predicts to have spin  $\frac{19}{2}$ .

On the low side of the  $\Delta(2420)$  peak, the cross section drops to a deep minimum at  $P_0 = 2.1 \text{ GeV}/c$  and then rises toward the  $\Delta(1950)$ . Attempts to fit the data with positive-parity  $\Delta_\delta$  resonances alone were unsuccessful in this region, the resultant dip being an order of magnitude too shallow. The data could only be fitted by introducing

a negative-parity  $\Delta$  resonance with a mass of approximately 2200  $\text{MeV}/c^2$ .

We wish to thank our former collaborator, Dr. George Burleson, for his participation in the preparatory phases of this experiment and Dr. David Carey for the use of his program TURTLE. It is a pleasure to thank Dr. Bruce Cork and his staff at the Argonne ZGS for their advice and help throughout this experiment.

\*Work supported by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

†Supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

<sup>1</sup>S. W. Kormanyos, A. D. Krisch, J. R. O'Fallon, K. Ruddick, and L. G. Ratner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **16**, 709 (1966).

<sup>2</sup>R. J. Ott, J. Trischuk, J. Va'vra, T. J. Richards, and L. S. Schroeder, *Phys. Lett.* **42B**, 133 (1972).

<sup>3</sup>P. J. Duke, D. P. Jones, M. A. R. Kemp, P. G. Murphy, J. D. Prentice, and J. J. Thresher, *Phys. Rev.* **149**, 1077 (1966).

<sup>4</sup>T. Dobrowolski, B. N. Gus'kov, M. F. Likhachev, A. L. Lubimov, Yu. A. Matulenko, V. S. Stavinsky, and A. S. Vovenko, *Phys. Lett.* **24B**, 203 (1967).

<sup>5</sup>A. S. Carroll, J. Fischer, A. Lundby, R. H. Phillips, C. L. Wang, F. Lobkowicz, A. C. Melissinos, Y. Nagashima, and S. Tewksbury, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **20**, 607 (1968).

<sup>6</sup>W. F. Baker, P. J. Carlson, V. Chabaud, A. Lundby, J. Banaigs, J. Berger, C. Bonnel, J. Dufflo, L. Goldzahl, and F. Plouin, *Nucl. Phys.* **B9**, 249 (1969).

<sup>7</sup>R. E. Rothschild, T. Bowen, P. K. Caldwell, D. Davidson, E. W. Jenkins, R. M. Kalbach, D. V. Petersen, and A. E. Pifer, *Phys. Rev. D* **5**, 499 (1972).

<sup>8</sup>E. S. Ma and G. L. Shaw, *Phys. Rev. D* **3**, 1264 (1971).

<sup>9</sup>T. A. Lasinski, A. Barbaro-Galtieri, R. L. Kelly, A. Rittenberg, A. H. Rosenfeld, T. G. Trippe, N. Barash-Schmidt, C. Bricman, V. Chaloupka, P. Soding, and M. Roos, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, Suppl. **45**, S1 (1973).

<sup>10</sup>There is some evidence of this resonance in the energy dependence of backward  $\pi^-p$  scattering. In the latter reaction, isospin- $\frac{1}{2}$  resonances also contribute in the  $s$  channel. By adding the  $I = \frac{3}{2}$  resonances we obtained a good fit to the  $\pi^-p$  data of Ref. 1. Likewise there is some evidence of structure at this energy derived from the  $\pi^+p$  total-cross-section data; when the  $\Delta(2850)$  is subtracted from the isospin- $\frac{3}{2}$  total cross section, a bump remains at 3230  $\text{MeV}/c^2$  with a height of 0.5% of the total. See A. Citron, W. Galbraith, T. F. Kycia, B. A. Leontic, R. H. Phillips, A. Rousset, and P. H. Sharp, *Phys. Rev.* **144**, 1101 (1966).