The long-range behaviors (5) and (8) decrease slower at infinity than the first Debye term $r_{12}^{-1/2} \times \exp(-r_{12})$, in agreement with previous three-dimensional findings.^{3,7,8} Nevertheless, the most interesting result is the short-range limit where the foregoing techniques show that the most diverging nodal graph in a given order *n* will be the ladder one. This fact allows us to extrapolate Eqs. (4) and (7) by

$$\lim_{r_{12} \to 0} w_2(r_{12}) \simeq -\epsilon K_0(r_{12}) + (\epsilon^2/2!) K_0^2(r_{12}) - (\epsilon^3/3!) K_0^3(r_{12}) + \dots + [(-\epsilon)^P/P!] k_0^P(r_{12}) + \dots$$

$$= \exp[-\epsilon K_0(r_{12})] - 1,$$
(9)

thus providing a quite straightforward renormalization of the short-range behavior of $g_2(r)$ in accord with Eq. (6).

We are indebted to Professor D. Montgomery for many enlightening discussions at Les Houches Summer School which provided the stimulation for the present work. We also thank Professor P. C. Hemmer for sending a preprint of Ref. 2 before publication and acknowledge the help of Dr. W. E. Wells in the preparation of the manuscript.

¹J. B. Taylor and B. McNamara, Phys. Fluids <u>14</u>, 1492 (1971).

²E. H. Hauge and P. C. Hemmer, Phys. Norv. 5, 209 (1971).

³E. G. D. Cohen and T. J. Murphy, Phys. Fluids <u>12</u>, 1404 (1969).

⁴D. Montgomery and J. Vahala, J. Plasma Phys. <u>6</u>, 425 (1971).

⁵C. Deutsch and M. Lavaud, to be published.

⁶E. E. Salpeter, Ann. Phys. (New York) <u>5</u>, 183 (1958).

⁷We have to thank Dr. S. Klarsfeld for his help in the evaluation of a number of quadratures.

⁸H. De Witt and F. Del Rio, Phys. Fluids 12, 791 (1969).

⁹D. J. Mitchell and B. W. Ninham, Phys. Rev. <u>174</u>, 280 (1968).

Elementary Excitations in He³-He⁴ Mixtures

Michael J. Stephen*

Physics Department, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

and

Laurence Mittag

Center for Theoretical Studies, University of Miami, Coral Gables, Florida 33124 (Received 28 June 1973)

The energy spectrum of elementary excitations in dilute He^3-He^4 mixtures in investigated using a Feynman type of wave function. It is found that the He^3 energy spectrum lies below the phonon-roton energy spectrum and exhibits a minimum near the roton minimum. Some consequences of this energy spectrum are discussed.

Recently, the two-roton Raman spectra of superfluid He³-He⁴ mixtures have been measured.^{1,2} The roton energy Δ_4 inferred from these spectra is practically independent of He³ concentration up to a molar concentration of 31%, the highest concentration used. The roton energy has also been obtained from measurements of the normal-fluid density in mixtures³ by fitting with the formula $\rho_n = n_3 m_3^* + \rho_{nr} + \rho_{np}$, where n_3 is the He³ number density, m_3^* is the He³ effective mass, and the last two terms are the contributions of the rotons and phonons, respectively. The value of Δ_4 ob-

tained in this way decreases markedly with concentration, e.g., $\Delta_4 \simeq 5.1^{\circ}$ K at 30% molar concentration, in striking contrast to the Raman-scattering results. Pitaevski⁴ suggested recently that this could be explained if the energy spectrum of He³ excitations in mixtures exhibited a minimum similar to the roton minimum in pure He⁴.

We first present a simple argument which indicates that Pitaevski is probably correct. If we construct a wave function for a localized excitation (roton) in the mixture (for example in the manner of Feynman and Cohen^{5,6}) and one of the atoms in the excitation is a He³, then we can relax the condition that the wave function be totally symmetric. Neglecting the mass difference m_4 $-m_3$, this will lead to a lowering of the energy. At small wave vectors the energy of the He³ excitations will have the form $\hbar^2 k^2 / 2m_3^*$ and will lie below the phonon branch. At large wave vectors this argument indicates that the energy of the He³ excitations will probably lie below that of the rotons and exhibit a minimum. A detailed calculation given below confirms this result. This argument is not applicable to other impurities, e.g., electrons or protons in He⁴, because of the very different potentials and masses.

Suppose a He³ atom is dissolved in superfluid He⁴. The ground-state wave function is $\Phi(\vec{R}, \vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2)$

$$\begin{split} I_1 &= \int d^3 r p_{34}(\mathbf{\tilde{r}}) f^2(r), \quad I_2 &= \int d^3 r p_{34}(\mathbf{\tilde{r}}) f(r) \exp(i \mathbf{\tilde{k}} \cdot \mathbf{\tilde{r}}), \\ I_3 &= \int d^3 r_1 d^3 r_2 \left[p_{344}(\mathbf{\tilde{r}}_1, \mathbf{\tilde{r}}_2) f(r_1) f(r_2) \exp[i \mathbf{\tilde{k}} \cdot (\mathbf{\tilde{r}}_1 - \mathbf{\tilde{r}}_2)]. \end{split}$$

In (3), $p_{34}(\mathbf{\tilde{r}})$ is the probability of finding a He⁴ atom at $\mathbf{\tilde{r}}$ and $p_{344}(\mathbf{\tilde{r}}_1, \mathbf{\tilde{r}}_2)$ is the probability of finding a He⁴ at $\mathbf{\tilde{r}}_1$ and another at $\mathbf{\tilde{r}}_2$ if, in each case, the He³ is at the origin. Minimizing (2) with respect to a/b and choosing the lower-energy symmetric state (a/b > 0) gives

$$E_{k} = \frac{\hbar^{2} k^{2}}{2m_{3}} \frac{1}{1+G_{k}}, \quad G_{k} = \frac{2 \alpha I_{2}^{2}}{(D^{2}+4 \alpha I_{1} I_{2}^{2})^{1/2} - D}, \quad (4)$$

where $D = \alpha (I_1 + I_3) - I_1$. We may approximate the integrals in (3) as follows. We choose f(r) = 1for r < d and zero otherwise. When $d > r_0$, the interparticle spacing I_1 is a measure of the number of He⁴ atoms in the excitation. This is left as a variable parameter. For kd > 1 a good approximation is $I_2 \simeq p_{34}(k)$. In I_3 we use the superposition approximation, $n_0 p_{344}(\mathbf{\tilde{r}}_1, \mathbf{\tilde{r}}_2) = p_{34}(\mathbf{\tilde{r}}_1) p_{34}(\mathbf{\tilde{r}}_2)$ $\times p_{44}(\mathbf{\tilde{r}}_1 - \mathbf{\tilde{r}}_2)$, where n_0 is the He⁴ number density; then for kd > 1 a good approximation is $I_3 \simeq I_1 p_{44}(k)$. This is probably an underestimate, as we have not taken into account the most favorable configurations of the three atoms. The energy is not very sensitive to I_1 for $1 < I_1 < 8$. For I_1 very large, $G_k = \alpha [1 + p_{44}(k)] - 1$ and E_k goes over into the roton energy found by Feynman.⁵ This indicates that the addition of a small amount of He³ will not affect the roton gap Δ_4 . If we allow $d \neq 0$ and $I_1 \ll 1$, it is necessary to include the kineticenergy terms arising from ∇f and the lowest energy will be obtained with b = 0 (a single He³ excitation). This is appropriate for small k. To estimate the energy for $k \simeq 2$ Å⁻¹, we need to

..., \mathbf{r}_n), where \mathbf{R} is the position of the He³ and \mathbf{r}_1 , ..., \mathbf{r}_n are the positions of the He⁴ atoms. The wave function for an excitation of momentum $\hbar \mathbf{k}$ in the liquid is taken in the form $F\Phi$, as suggested by Feynman and Cohen.^{5,6} We choose

$$F = a \exp(i\vec{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{R}}) + b \sum_{i} f(\vec{\mathbf{R}} - \vec{\mathbf{r}}_{i}) \exp(i\vec{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{r}}_{i}), \quad (1)$$

where a and b are coefficients to be chosen by minimizing the energy and f(r) is a smooth function which vanishes for r > d. The effects of backflow have been neglected in (1). The energy of the excitation is

$$E_{k} = \frac{\hbar^{2}k^{2}}{2m_{3}} \frac{a^{2} + \alpha^{-1}b^{2}I_{1}}{a^{2} + 2abI_{2} + b^{2}(I_{1} + I_{3})}, \qquad (2)$$

where derivatives of f have been neglected, $\alpha = m_4/m_3$, and

(3)

know the structure factor $p_{34}(k)$. This was obtained by putting $p_{34}(k) = p_{44}(k) - \Delta(k)$. For $p_{44}(k)$ the experimental values of Goldstein and Reekie⁷ were used. $\Delta(k)$ was estimated from the calculations of Massey, Woo, and Tan⁸ by taking the Fourier transform of the difference of their calculated pair correlation functions, $g_{44}(r) - g_{34}(r)$. The results are given in Fig. 1. Because of the larger zero-point motion and larger volume (by about 30%) of a He³ atom in He⁴, the maximum in $p_{34}(k)$ is at a smaller value of k, ~1.9 Å⁻¹, than



FIG. 1. The structure factors $p_{34}(\mathbf{k})$ and $p_{44}(\mathbf{k})$.

VOLUME 31, NUMBER 15

that in p_{44} and p_{34} is somewhat broader. We represent the He³ spectrum for $k \sim 1.9$ Å⁻¹ by $E_k = \Delta_3$ $+(\hbar^2/2\mu_3)(k-k_3)^2$, with $k_3 = 1.9$ Å⁻¹. From (4) and the data of Fig. 1 we find $G_{k_3} = 0.64$, $\Delta_3 = 16.5^{\circ}$ K, and a/b = 0.4 for $I_1 = 2$. The value of Δ_3 varies by 10% for $1 < I_1 < 8$. Δ_3 is probably too large, as we have omitted backflow effects. The roton gap Δ_4 , calculated omitting backflow,⁶ is 19°K and the ratio $\Delta_3/\Delta_4 = 0.86$. The important result is that the energy of the He³ excitations lies below the roton spectrum. A sketch of the spectrum is given in Fig. 2. The effective mass $\mu_3 > \mu_4$, corresponding to the fact that $p_{34}(k)$ is broader than $p_{44}(k)$. To summarize, the energy spectrum of He³ excitations in He⁴ has the form $E_{b} = \hbar^{2}k^{2}/2m_{3}^{*}$ at small wave vectors and $E_{k} = \Delta_{3} + \hbar^{2} (k - k_{3})^{2} / 2 \mu_{3}$ for $k \sim 1.9 \text{ Å}^{-1}$. For convenience we refer to these latter excitations as He³ rotons. As rotons are very localized excitations, normal rotons may also exist in the mixtures up to quite high concentrations.



FIG. 2. Sketch of the energy spectrum of He³ excitations (dashed line) in He³-He⁴ mixtures and the phononroton (solid line) energy spectrum. We have assumed Δ_3 is of the order of magnitude found by Woerner (Ref. 9).

There are a number of interesting consequences

of this form for the spectrum of He³ excitations. The impurity contribution to the normal fluid density, ρ_{ni} , the energy density E_i , and the He³ chemical potential μ_3 are respectively given by (in the nondegenerate case)

$$\rho_{ni} = n_3 m_3^* \left(\frac{1 + \frac{1}{3} (\hbar^2 k_3^2 / m_3^* kT) A \exp(-\beta \Delta_3)}{1 + A \exp(-\beta \Delta_3)} \right), \tag{5}$$

$$E_{i} = \frac{3}{2} kT \left(\frac{1 + \frac{2}{3}A(\Delta_{3}/kT + \frac{1}{2})\exp(-\beta\Delta_{3})}{1 + A\exp(-\beta\Delta_{3})} \right),$$
(6)

$$m_{3}\overline{\mu}_{3} = kT \ln n_{3} - \frac{3}{2}kT \ln(m_{3} + kT/2\pi\hbar^{2}) - kT \ln[1 + A \exp(-\beta\Delta_{3})], \qquad (7)$$

where $\beta = (kT)^{-1}$ and $A = (2\hbar^2 k_3^2 / m_3 * kT)(\mu_3 / m_3 *)^{1/2}$. At $T = 1.5^{\circ}$ K we estimate $\hbar^2 k_3^2 / m_3 * kT = 13$ and A = 7.

The measurements of ρ_n of Sobolev and Esel'son³ have been fitted with the formula $\rho_n = \rho_{ni} + \rho_{nr}$ by Woerner.⁹ At a He³ molar concentration of 11% and $T < 1.5^{\circ}$ K the best fit was obtained with $\Delta_3 = 5 \pm 1.5^{\circ}$ K and $(\hbar^2 k_3^2/m_3^* kT)A \simeq 30$. The error in this latter value may be large (a factor of 3) and the data are not sufficiently accurate over a wide temperature range to determine A. The impurity contribution to the specific heat follows by differentiation of E_i . The He³ chemical potential also reflects the energy spectrum of the excitations. $\overline{\mu}_3$ can be obtained from a measurement of the He³ concentration in the vapor in equilibrium with the liquid.¹⁰

The He³ dynamic structure factor $S_3(k,\omega)$ for $k \simeq k_3$ and $\omega \simeq \Delta_3$ in the nondegenerate case is

$$S_{3}(k,\omega) = (\pi n_{3}/kT)(\mu_{3}/m_{3}^{*})^{1/2} \exp(-\beta \gamma |\omega - \Delta_{3}|) / [1 + A \exp(-\beta \Delta_{3})],$$
(8)

where $\gamma = 1$, $\omega < \Delta_3$; and $\gamma = \mu_3/(m_3^* - \mu_3)$, $\omega > \Delta_3$. The function is broadened by the thermal distribution of the particles. In the degenerate case,

$$S_{3}(k,\omega) = \frac{m_{3}^{*}}{\pi\hbar^{2}} \left(\frac{2\mu_{3}}{\hbar^{2}}\right)^{1/2} \left\{ (\omega - \Delta_{3} + \epsilon_{F})^{1/2} - \left[\left(\frac{m_{3}^{*}}{m_{3}^{*} - \mu_{3}}\right) (\omega - \Delta_{3}) \right] \right\}^{1/2},$$
(9)

where ϵ_F is the Fermi energy, imaginary terms are to be omitted, and $-\epsilon_F < \omega - \Delta_3 < \epsilon_F (m_3^* - \mu_3/\mu_3)$. As a result of the strong absorption, it may not be possible to observe this function by neutron scattering.

The possibility also exists of observing a Raman-scattering process in which two He³ rotons are created. The spectrum, neglecting any possible interactions between the particles, is the convolution of two factors of S_3 .¹¹ For a frequency shift $\omega \simeq 2\Delta_3$ and $\beta | \omega - 2\Delta_3 | < 1$ the spectrum is proportional to $\exp[\beta\gamma(\omega - 2\Delta_3)]$, with $\gamma = \mu_3/(m_3^* - \mu_3)$, while for $\beta(\omega - 2\Delta_3) > 1$ the spectrum varies as $(\omega - 2\Delta_3)^{-1/2}$. Again the spectrum is thermally broadened compared with the two-roton Raman spectrum. The ratio of the intensities of the two-He³-roton and two-roton Raman spectra is approximately $(n_3/n_4)^2$, where n_3 and n_4 are the He³ and He⁴ densities, respectively.

Raman scattering in which a He³ roton near k_3 and a He⁴ roton near k_4 (where the density of states in each case is largest) are created is forbidden by momentum conservation when $k_4 > k_3$. Thus Raman scattering at a frequency shift $\omega \sim \Delta_3$ $+\Delta_4$ would not be expected to show any special feature.

We wish to thank Professor G. Benedek for hospitality at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology where this work was carried out.

*Work supported in part by the National Science Foun-

dation.

¹C. M. Surko and R. E. Slusher, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>30</u>, 1111 (1973).

²R. L. Woerner, D. A. Rockwell, and T. J. Greytak, Phys. Rev. Lett. 30, 1114 (1973).

 3 V. I. Sobolev and B. N. Esel'son, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. <u>60</u>, 240 (1971) [Sov. Phys. JETP <u>33</u>, 132 (1971)]. Values for ρ_{n} in mixtures have also been obtained by D. A. Rockwell from light-scattering measurements (to be published). The results are in reasonable agreement with those given in this reference.

⁴L. P. Pitaevski, in Proceedings of the U.S.-Soviet Symposium on Condensed Matter, Berkeley, California, May 1973 (to be published).

⁵R. P. Feynman, Phys. Rev. 94, 262 (1954).

⁶R. P. Feynman and M. Cohen, Phys. Rev. <u>102</u>, 1189 (1956).

⁷L. Goldstein and J. Reekie, Phys. Rev. <u>98</u>, 857 (1955).

⁸W. E. Massey, C. W. Woo, and H. T. Tan, Phys. Rev. A <u>1</u>, 519 (1970).

⁹We are grateful to R. L. Woerner for these calculations.

¹⁰This was suggested by Dr. R. Meservey.

¹¹M. J. Stephen, Phys. Rev. <u>187</u>, 279 (1969).

Critical Scattering in a Field and Below T_c

H. B. Tarko and Michael E. Fisher Baker Laboratory, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14850 (Received 5 July 1973)

Series expansions for spin-spin correlations of simple-cubic, bcc, and square lattice Ising ferromagnets for general field and temperature have been analyzed. To within the attainable precision (of ± 1 to $\pm 5\%$) the exponents ν' and ν^c are consistent with scaling predictions. Deviations of the scattering from Ornstein-Zernike forms are significantly larger quantitatively than above T_c ; the second-moment correlation lengths verify $\xi_1^+(T)/\xi_1^-(T) = 1.96 \pm 0.03$ as $T \rightarrow T_c^{\pm}$.

The behavior of the spin-spin correlation functions, and thence of the critical scattering, in Ising models has been studied in considerable detail as the critical point is approached along the critical "isochore" (or H=0) above T_c .¹⁻³ We report here the results of the first calculations by series expansion techniques which include the complete field dependence, so enabling one to study the scattering in zero field below T_c (i.e., along the phase boundary) and at the critical temperature as a function of field H. To within the comparatively low precision attainable $(\pm 1$ to $\pm 5\%$), the estimated exponent values confirm scaling predictions (but they throw little new light on "hyperscaling" relations such as $d\nu' = 2$ $-\alpha'$, etc.). More significantly, the calculations

reveal large deviations in the form and scale of the critical scattering from the Ornstein-Zernike perdictions. These have been elucidated quanti-tatively and should be susceptible to experimen-tal test (as have similar predictions above T_c).^{4,5}

Series expansions were generated in powers of the variables

$$u = x^{2} = \exp(-4J/k_{\rm B}T)$$
 and
 $y = \exp(-2mH/k_{\rm B}T),$ (1)

for the correlation functions

~

$$\Gamma(\vec{r}, H, T) = \langle S_{\vec{0}} S_{\vec{r}} \rangle - \langle S_{\vec{0}} \rangle \langle S_{\vec{r}} \rangle$$
(2)

of nearest-neighbor Ising models using the semiinvariant techniques as applied by Jasnow and