able.

Our results are therefore in surprisingly good agreement with the other determinations, given the simplicity of the model assumed and particularly the neglect of all interference effects. In support of this our $\pi\pi$ data show peaks near 980 MeV, in contrast with the near minima in the $\pi\pi$ scattering data.^{2,3} Also the S* cross section is large, with an approximate value for $d\sigma/dt$, extracted as described,¹ of 0.3 mb/(GeV/c)² which is about 3 times that of the X^0 or φ .

We acknowledge discussions with D. Morgan.

¹D. M. Binnie *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 8, 2789 (1973).

²M. Alston-Garnjost *et al.*, Phys. Lett. <u>36B</u>, 152

(1971); S. M. Flatté *et al.*, Phys. Lett. <u>38B</u>, 232 (1972). ³G. Grayer *et al.*, in *Experimental Meson Spectros copy*, AIP Conference Proceedings No. 8, edited by K. W. Lai and A. H. Rosenfeld (American Institute of Physics, New York, 1972), p. 5.

⁴J. S. Ball *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>28</u>, 1143 (1972). ⁵S. D. Protopopescu *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D <u>7</u>, 1279 (1973).

⁶Reported by W. Ochs, in $\pi\pi$ Scattering and Associated Topics, AIP Conference Proceedings No. 13, edited by P. K. Williams and V. Hagopian (American Institute of Physics, New York, 1973).

⁷Reported by A. D. Martin, in $\pi\pi$ Scattering and Associated Topics, AIP Conference Proceedings No. 13, edited by P. K. Williams and V. Hagopian (American Institute of Physics, New York, 1973).

ERRATUM

SCALING FUNCTION FOR CRITICAL SCATTER-ING. Michael E. Fisher and Amnon Aharony [Phys. Rev. Lett. 31, 1238 (1973)].

On page 1240, the nineteenth line should read: "... continuous spin, us^4 Hamiltonian...."

On page 1241, Ref. 22, the first sentence should end with "... explicitly confirmed through the coefficient $\frac{1}{8}$ of $\ln(r/\Lambda^2)$ in the expression for K(0, r)."