Theory of Resonant Multiphoton Ionization*

C. S. Chang and P. Stehle Department of Physics, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260 (Received 23 April 1973)

The quantum-mechanical method developed earlier is applied to the four-photon resonant ionization of Cs. Comparisons of the present calculations vrith the experiments by Held et al. are given, and good agreement with the experiments is obtained. The application of the present theoretical method to the six-photon ionization of hydrogen is indicated.

Recently Held et $al.$ 1 published experimental results on the four-photon ionization of Cs by light of frequency near that required to produce three-photon excitation of the 6f state. They found very rapid variation of the transition probability with frequency and with incident intensity. This Letter describes briefly a theoretical calculation which reproduces the experimental results on the two sides of the resonance and at exact resonance. The calculation very near resonance is numerically complicated and is being carried out. The main features are, however, already clear.

The method developed earlier^{2,3} is used straightforwardly. The electron propagator in the presence of the incident radiation field, including forward scattering processes, can be written in the notation of I and II as

$$
\widetilde{G}(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{n} \widetilde{\Psi}_n(\widetilde{\mathbf{r}}_1) \overline{\widetilde{\Psi}}_n(\widetilde{\mathbf{r}}_2) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\Omega}{2\pi i} \frac{\exp[i\Omega(t_1 - t_2)]}{\widetilde{E}_n + \Omega + \widetilde{H}_{n,n}(-\Omega)}.
$$
\n(1)

The tilde denotes the renormalized quantity; $\widetilde{H}_{n,n}(-\Omega)$ is the resonant part of $H_{n,n}(-\Omega)$, the nonresonal part being incorporated in the shifted energy \widetilde{E}_n . Use of this propagator leads to the four-photon ionization amplitude in the form

$$
C_{\tilde{6}s \to \tilde{E}}(t) = (e^{2}N\omega/2V)^{2} \sum_{n_{1}n_{2}n_{3}} \langle \tilde{E} | \hat{r} | \tilde{n}_{1} \rangle \langle \tilde{n}_{1} | \hat{r} | \tilde{n}_{2} \rangle \langle \tilde{n}_{2} | \hat{r} | \tilde{n}_{3} \rangle \langle \tilde{n}_{3} | \hat{r} | \tilde{6s} \rangle
$$

\$\times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (d\Omega/2\pi i)e^{i\Omega t} \{(\tilde{E} + \Omega + i0)(4\omega + \tilde{E}_{6s} + \omega + i0)[\tilde{E}_{n_{1}} + \omega + \Omega + \tilde{H}_{n_{1},n_{1}}(-\Omega - \omega)]\$
\$\times [\tilde{E}_{n_{2}} + 2\omega + \Omega + \tilde{H}_{n_{2},n_{2}}(-\Omega - 2\omega)][\tilde{E}_{n_{3}} + 3\omega + \Omega + \tilde{H}_{n_{3},n_{3}}(-\Omega - 3\omega)]\}^{-1}\$. (2)

The factor $\widetilde{E}_{n_1}+\omega+\Omega+\widetilde{H}_{n_1,\,n_1}(-\,\Omega-\omega)$ is the energy denominator coming from the state after absorptio of three photons, and when $\ket{\widetilde{n}_1}$ = $\ket{6f}$ is particularly important. This term is therefore separated out:

$$
C_{6\tilde{s} \to \tilde{E}}(t) = \tilde{A}(\tilde{E}, t) + \tilde{B}(\tilde{E}, t). \tag{3}
$$

 \tilde{B} contains all contributions other than the resonant one:

$$
\widetilde{A}(\widetilde{E},t) = (A/2\pi i) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\Omega \, e^{i\Omega t} \{ (\widetilde{E} + \Omega)(\widetilde{E}_{6s} + 4\omega + \Omega) [\widetilde{E}_{6f} + \omega + \Omega + \widetilde{H}_{6f,6f}(-\Omega - \omega)] \}^{-1},\tag{4}
$$

$$
\widetilde{B}(\widetilde{E},t)=(B/2\pi i)\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}d\Omega \,e^{i\Omega t}\big[(\widetilde{E}+\Omega)(\widetilde{E}_{6s}+4\omega+\Omega)\big]^{-1},\tag{5}
$$

$$
A = (e^2 N \omega / 2V)^2 \sum_{n_2, n_3} \langle \widetilde{E} | \hat{r} | \widetilde{6f} \rangle \langle 6\widetilde{f} | \widehat{r} | \widetilde{n}_2 \rangle \langle \widetilde{n}_2 | \widehat{r} | \widetilde{n}_3 \rangle \langle \widetilde{n}_3 | \widehat{r} | \widetilde{6s} \rangle \left[(\widetilde{E}_{n_2} - 2\omega - \widetilde{E}_{6s}) (\widetilde{E}_{n_3} - \omega - \widetilde{E}_{6s}) \right]^{-1}, \tag{6}
$$

$$
B = (e^{2}N\omega/2V)^{2} \sum_{n_{2},n_{3},n_{1} \neq 6f} \langle \widetilde{E}|\widehat{r}|\widetilde{n}_{1}\rangle\langle\widetilde{n}_{1}|\widehat{r}|\widetilde{n}_{2}\rangle\langle\widetilde{n}_{2}|\widehat{r}|\widetilde{n}_{3}\rangle\langle\widetilde{n}_{3}|\widehat{r}|\widetilde{6s}\rangle
$$

$$
\times [(\widetilde{E}_{n_{1}} - 3\omega - \widetilde{E}_{6s})(\widetilde{E}_{n_{2}} - 2\omega - \widetilde{E}_{6s})(\widetilde{E}_{n_{3}} - \omega - \widetilde{E}_{6s})]^{-1}.
$$
 (7)

The quantity $\widetilde{H}_{6f,6f}$ is obtained principally from the forward scattering process in which three photons are emitted leading to 6s and then three photons are absorbed:

$$
\widetilde{H}_{6f,6f}(-\Omega) = -\xi^2/(\widetilde{E}_{6s} + 3\omega + \Omega), \quad \xi^2 \propto I^3.
$$
\n(8)

The shifted energy \tilde{E}_{6f} can be writte

$$
\widetilde{E}_{6f} = \widetilde{E}_{6f}' + i\gamma_{6f},\tag{9}
$$

1283

where $\gamma_{\epsilon f}$ is positive and comes from the imaginary part of the single forward scattering process $|6f\rangle$ $+\omega + \sqrt{E} + 6f$ + ω . It is proportional to $I = N\omega/V$, the laser intensity.

In units with $\hbar = c = 1$, and measuring I in cm⁻⁴ (1 W/cm²=1.058×10¹³ cm⁻⁴), the desired quantities are^4

$$
\gamma_{6f} = e^2 \pi I \left[\rho(E) |\langle E| \hat{r} | 6f \rangle|^2 \right]_{E = E_{6f} + \omega} \approx 0.35 \times 10^{-22} I \text{ cm}^{-1}, \tag{10}
$$

$$
\xi^2 = I^3 \left| \sum_{n_2, n_3} \frac{\langle 6f | e\hat{r} | n_2 \rangle \langle n_2 | e\hat{r} | n_3 \rangle \langle n_3 | e\hat{r} | 6s \rangle}{(E_{6f} - \omega - E_{n_2})(E_{6f} - 2\omega - E_{n_3})} \right|^2 \approx 10^{-69} I^3 \text{ cm}^{-2},\tag{11}
$$

$$
\widetilde{E}_{6s} - E_{6s} = H_{6s,6s} = -(2.77 \times 10^{-22} I + 1.33 \times 10^{-46} I^2 + 8.2 \times 10^{-72} I^3) \text{ cm}^{-1},\tag{12}
$$

$$
\widetilde{E}_{6f}' - E_{6f} = H_{6f,6f}' = (0.72 \times 10^{-22} I + 1.62 \times 10^{-47} I^2 + 1.3 \times 10^{-72} I^3) \text{ cm}^{-1},\tag{13}
$$

$$
\beta = B/A \approx 0.021 \text{ cm.}
$$
 (14)

The quantities \widetilde{A} and \widetilde{B} are

and the state of the state of the

$$
\widetilde{A}(\widetilde{E},t) = \frac{Ae^{-i\widetilde{E}t}}{(z-\frac{1}{2}i\gamma_{6f})^2-\frac{1}{4}\eta^2}\bigg\{1-\exp(-izt-\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{6f}t)\bigg[\cos\frac{\eta t}{2}+\bigg(\frac{2iz+\gamma_{6f}}{\eta}\bigg)\sin\frac{\eta t}{2}\bigg]\bigg\},\tag{15}
$$

$$
\widetilde{B}(\widetilde{E},t) = [Be^{-i\widetilde{E}t}/(\widetilde{E} - \widetilde{E}_{6s} + 4\omega)]\{exp[-i(\widetilde{E}_{6s} + 4\omega - \widetilde{E})t] - 1\},\tag{16}
$$

where

$$
z = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\tilde{E} - \tilde{E}_{6f}^{\prime} - \omega \right) + \left(\tilde{E} - \tilde{E}_{6s} - 4\omega \right) \right],\tag{17}
$$

$$
\eta^2 = (\eta_1 + i\eta_2)^2 = (\Delta - i\gamma_{6f})^2 + 4\xi^2,\tag{18}
$$

$$
\Delta = \widetilde{E}_{6f}^{\prime} - \widetilde{E}_{6s} - 3\omega,\tag{19}
$$

$$
\eta_1^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\Delta^2 + (\gamma_{6f} - 2\xi)^2 \right)^{1/2} \left[\Delta^2 + (\gamma_{6f} + 2\xi)^2 \right]^{1/2} + \Delta^2 - \gamma_{6f}^2 + 4\xi^2 \right],\tag{20}
$$

$$
\eta_2^2 = \eta_1^2 - \Delta^2 + \gamma_6^2 - 4\xi^2. \tag{21}
$$

The transition probability is found by squaring the amplitude, summing over final states, and averaging over the interaction time, which is the time spent by the atom in the focal volume. The velocity is distributed according to v times a Maxwellian speed distribution. Finally the result is averaged over the laser frequency width γ_i . Thus

$$
P(t) = \int d\widetilde{E} \rho(\widetilde{E}) \left[C_{\widetilde{6}s \to \widetilde{E}}(t) \right]^2, \tag{22}
$$

$$
\langle P(\omega) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} M^2 (kT)^2 \int_0^\infty dv \ v^3 P(l/v) \exp(-Mv^2/2kT), \tag{23}
$$

$$
\overline{P}(\Delta, I) = (\gamma_1/2\pi) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \langle P(\omega + x) \rangle / (x^2 + \frac{1}{4}\gamma_1^2).
$$
 (24)

Off resonance the exponentially damped term in (11) can be neglected. Then

$$
\overline{P}(\Delta, I) \approx [4\pi A^2 \rho(0)/\gamma_{6f}] \{ [\Delta^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}\zeta(2+\sqrt{2})]^2 + \frac{1}{4}\zeta^2[\gamma_{6f}\tau - 2(3+2\sqrt{2})] \},
$$
\n(25)

with $\tau = (\pi M l^2 / 8kT)^{1/2}$. At resonance, $\Delta = 0$, (16) can be neglected, and (24) may be approximated by

$$
P(0, I) \approx \pi A^2 \rho (0) \tau / 4 \gamma_{6f}^2. \tag{26}
$$

In a log-log plot of $\overline{P}(\Delta, I)$ for fixed Δ , the slope is given by

$$
K = \partial \log \overline{P}(\Delta, I) / \partial \log I. \tag{27}
$$

Let the effective interaction length $l \approx 0.5 \times 10^{-3}$ cm, $T \approx 1200$ °K, and $I = 1.4 \times 10^8$ W cm⁻², then $\tau \approx 10^{-8}$ sec. We find (25) has a minimum at $\Delta \approx -28$ cm⁻¹. Remembering $A \propto I^2$ and $\gamma_{\text{rf}} \propto I$, we find the values of $K \approx 8$, 5.5, and 3.2 at $\Delta \approx -28$, -60, and 30 cm⁻¹, respectively. The ratio of the minimum to the maximum calculated from (25) and (26) is found to be about 4×10^{-6} . All these quantitative results are in satisfactory agreement with experiments.¹ The functions $\overline{P}(\Delta, I)$ and K are plotted in Figs. 1 and 2.

There are some features of the calculation just sketched of special interest. The intensity dependence is not a simple fourth-power law as it would be far from resonance because the intensity enters

FIG. 1. Variation of four-photon ionization probability $\overline{P}(\Delta, I)$ as a function of the laser frequency detuning for a given laser intensity $I = 1.4 \times 10^8$ W cm⁻², assuming the number of Cs atoms crossing the focal area to be 10^9 sec⁻¹. The experimental points are taken from Ref. 1. The relative level shift between 6s of 6f levels is found to be about 0.3 cm^{-1} .

the level shifts and widths. The minimum in the transition probability comes from the interference between the resonant and nonresonant amplitudes. It is near this minimum that the intensity dependence is greatest. The form of the expression (15) for the resonant amplitude results from the presence of three poles in the Ω integration of this term. The form of (15) is much like that of the Rabi formula for a two-level system but is complicated by the presence of the width $\gamma_{\rm sf}$ absent in the Rabi formula⁵ where no transition to the continuum is included. In the case of six-photon ionization of hydrogen,⁶ the laser frequency is far from resonance with the bare hydrogen levels, but the intensity-dependent shifts cause the physical states to be near resonance with the laser frequency at a sufficiently high intensity. The method here indicated can therefore be directly applied. The details will given elsewhere.

FIG. 2. Variation of the slope K as a function of the laser frequency detuning. Minimum occurs at laser frequency 9444 cm $^{-1}$, K \approx 2. Maximum occurs at laser frequency 9452 cm⁻¹, $K \approx 8$. $I = 1.4 \times 10^8$ W cm⁻² as in Fig. 1. The experimental points are taken from Bef. l.

Because of the angular momentum of the resonant state, the resonant contribution to the fourphoton ionization comes from transitions with Δl $=+1$, but with no definite Δm required. Circular polarization can be just as effective as plane polarization.

*Work supported by the U. S. Army Research Office, Durham, N. C.

 1 B. Held, G. Mainfray, C. Manus, J. Morellec, and F. Sanchez, Phys. Rev. Lett. 30, 423 (1973).

²C. S. Chang and P. Stehle, Phys. Rev. A $\frac{4}{1}$, 641 (1971). Hereafter quoted as I.

 3 C. S. Chang and P. Stehle, Phys. Rev. A $\overline{5}$, 1087 (1972). Hereafter quoted as II.

⁴The numerical values given in (10) - (14) are computed from the tables by D. R. Bates and A. Damgaard, Phil. Trans. Boy. Soc. London 242, 101 (1949), and P. M. Stone, Phys. Rev. 127, 1151 (1962). The number in (11) is also computed by B. A. Zon, M. L. Manakov, and L. P. Rapoport, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 60, 1246 (1971) [Sov. Phys. JETP 33, 583 (1971)], using the quantum defect method.

 5 See, e.g., N. F. Ramsey, *Molecular Beams* (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, England, 1956); P. Kusch and V. W. Hughes, in Handbuch der Physik, edited by S. Flugge (Springer, Berlin, 1959), Vol. 37, Part I.

 $6⁶M. Luvan, G. Mainfray, C. Manus, and I. Tugov,$ Phys. Rev. A 7, 91 (1973).