⁵F. D. Becchetti and G. W. Greenlees, Phys. Rev. <u>182</u>, 1190 (1969).

⁶R. L. Clarke and W. G. Cross, Nucl. Phys. <u>A95</u>, 320 (1967).

⁷F. G. Perey, Phys. Rev. <u>131</u>, 745 (1963).

⁸J. H. Coon, R. W. Davis, H. E. Felthauser, and

D. B. Nicodemus, Phys. Rev. <u>111</u>, 250 (1958).

⁹B. Homqvist and T. Wiedling, Studsvik Neutron Physics Laboratory, Sweden, Report No. AE-366, 1969 (unpublished).

¹⁰J. J. Kraushaar, private communication; J. J. Kraushaar, B. W. Ridley, and M. M. Stautberg, University of Colorado Nuclear Physics Laboratory Report No. UCOL-535-561, 1966 (unpublished).

 $^{11}\mathrm{R}.$ J. Peterson and E. W. Stoub, private communication.

¹²N. Baron, R. F. Leonard, and D. A. Lind, Phys. Rev. <u>180</u>, 978 (1969).

Population of 0⁺ Excited States in ²³⁸Pu and ²⁴⁰Pu by Single-Neutron Transfer Reactions*

A. Friedman and K. Katori†

Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439

(Received 27 November 1972)

Studies of the single-particle transfer reactions $^{239}\text{Pu}(d,p)^{240}\text{Pu}$ and $^{239}\text{Pu}(d,t)^{238}\text{Pu}$ indicate that—contrary to theoretical expectations—the ratios of the cross sections for population of the $K^{\pi}=0^+$ excited states to those for population of the ground states are of the same order of magnitude as the ratios for the equivalent (p,t) reactions.

The 0^+ excited states in deformed nuclei have lately attracted much experimental interest, and they have been observed in rare-earth¹⁻³ and actinide nuclei.^{4,5} Throughout the latter region of the nuclide chart, the (p, t) reaction has been found to excite the 0^+ states with uniform and fairly high cross sections.

There has also been a great deal of theoretical discussion⁶⁻⁸ of the character of these 0_1^+ states. Griffin, Jackson, and Volkov⁶ proposed a model in which the pairings between oblate and prolate levels were unequal, and van Rij and Kahana⁷ believed that this model could explain the high ratio of the cross sections for (p, t) reactions to the $K^{\pi} = 0^+$ excited states to the cross sections for reactions to the ground state. As pointed out in Ref. 7, one prediction of this model is that the excited $K^{\pi} = 0^+$ states should be weakly excited in (d, p) and (d, t) reactions. Our purpose in this paper is to test this prediction. It should be noted that Chasman,⁸ who based his calculations on experimentally determined single-particle energies, could not agree with their calculated energies for the excited $K^{\pi} = 0^+$ states, and found that states of the predicted character would be at much higher excitation.

Reference 7 also argued that the experiments of Bjørnholm, Dubois, and Elbek,⁹ who studied single-particle transfer reactions to states in ²³⁴U, gave evidence for weak single-particle excitation of excited $K^{\pi} = 0^+$ states. However, since the configuration of the target nucleus prevented excitation of low-spin states in these experiments, this evidence was not definitive.

To learn more about the structure of these states and about their possible two-quasiparticle components, we have studied their population by use of single-neutron transfer reactions on ²³⁹Pu, which is a more suitable target because its low spin $(I = \frac{1}{2})$ and its $\frac{1}{2}$ +[631] configuration would lead to higher cross sections for populating states with I = 0, 2, and 4. Also, the reaction⁵ ²⁴²Pu(p, t)²⁴⁰Pu and the reaction¹⁰ ²⁴⁰Pu(p, t)²³⁸Pu had been studied, and several $K^{\pi} = 0^+$ excited states in each final nucleus had been identified through studies either of these reactions or of radioac-tive decay.

The experimental results are shown in Figs. 1 and 2. The ²³⁹Pu(d, p)²⁴⁰Pu spectrum from the present work and the ²⁴²Pu(p, t)²⁴⁰Pu spectrum given by Maher *et al.*⁵ are plotted on the same energy scale in Fig. 1. Our ²³⁹Pu(d, t)²³⁸Pu spectrum and the ²⁴⁰Pu(p, t)²³⁸Pu spectrum given by Schiffer *et al.*¹⁰ are similarly plotted in Fig. 2. All four spectra were taken with an Enge splitpole spectrograph at the Argonne FN tandem Van de Graaff. A 12-MeV deuteron beam was used for the present work, and data for each target were taken at two or three angles and were analyzed by use of the computer program AUTOFIT.

The cross sections and energies of the rotational states observed in the different $K^{\pi} = 0^+$ bands in ²⁴⁰Pu and ²³⁸Pu are listed in Tables I and II, respectively. The energies and cross sec-



FIG. 1. Comparison of spectra observed in (a) the reaction 239 Pu(d, p) and (b) the reaction 242 Pu(p,t) to final states in 240 Pu. The (p,t) data are from Ref. 5.

tions obtained from the corresponding (p, t) reactions are also included for comparison.

In the reaction 239 Pu $(d, p){}^{240}$ Pu, two excited K^{π}



FIG. 2. Comparison of spectra observed in (a) the reaction $^{239}Pu(d,t)$ and (b) the reaction $^{240}Pu(p,t)$ to final states in ^{238}Pu . The (p,t) data are from Ref. 10.

= 0⁺ rotational bands appear to be populated. Levels 4, 5, and 6 fit the energies of the *I*=0, 2, and 4 levels of the first excited $K^{\pi} = 0^{+}$ band observed⁵ in the reaction ²⁴²Pu(*p*, *t*)²⁴⁰Pu. We will define the population ratio $(\sigma_{d,p}^{\text{exc}}/\sigma_{d,p}^{\text{gnd}})_{I}$ as the ratio of the

TABLE I. Excitation energies E_x and population ratios observed for the K^{π}
= 0 ⁺ bands in ²⁴⁰ Pu from the reaction ²³⁹ Pu(d, p). Here $\sigma_{d,p}^{exc}$ is the Q-corrected
cross section for the transition to the spin-I member of the excited-state band
and $\sigma_{d,p}^{\text{gnd}}$ is the cross section for the transition to the spin-I member of the
ground-state band. The ground-state Q value for this reaction 239 Pu(d,p) 240 Pu
is $Q_0 = 4.300 \pm 0.010$ MeV.

State E_x Population ratio $(\sigma_d e^{ex} / \sigma_d e^{gnd})_l$			on ratio $(\sigma_{d, p}^{\text{gnd}})_{l}$	Angle- averaged	(<i>p</i> , <i>t</i>)	
No.	(keV)	Ι	100°	125°	ratio	ratio ^a
1	0	0	1	1	1	1
2	42	2	1	1	1	1
3	142	4	1	1	1	1
4	862	0	0.180 ± 0.043	0.171 ± 0.039	0.176 ± 0.041	0.15
5	902	2	0.251 ± 0.033	0.243 ± 0.030	0.247 ± 0.032	0.30
6	1005	4	0.378 ± 0.046	0.272 ± 0.043	0.275 ± 0.045	0.27
7	1091 ^b	0	0.889 ± 0.129 ^b	0.918 ± 0.124 ^b	0.904 ± 0.016 ^b	0.10
8	1137	2	0.759 ± 0.070	0.741 ± 0.064	0.750 ± 0.067	0.80
9	1227	4	1.006 ± 0.113	1.111 ± 0.117	1.059 ± 0.115	0.74

^aValues from Ref. 5.

^bDoublet, as explained in the text.

TABLE II. Excitation energies E_x and cross-section ratios $\sigma_{d,t}^{exc}/\sigma_{d,t}^{gud}$ observed for the $K^{\pi} = 0^+$ bands in ²³⁸Pu from the reaction ²³⁹Pu(d,t). Here $\sigma_{d,t}^{exc}$ is the Q-corrected cross section for the transition to the spin-I member of the excited-state band and $\sigma_{d,t}^{gud}$ is the cross section for the transition to the spin-I member of the ground-state band. The ground-state Q value for this reaction ²³⁹Pu(d,t)²³⁸Pu is $Q_0 = 0.604 \pm 0.010$ MeV.

State	Ex		Popula	tion ratio $(\sigma_{d,t}^{exc}/c$	Angle-averaged	(<i>p</i> , <i>t</i>)	
No.	(keV)	1	90°	120°	140°	ratio	rat10 "
1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
2	44	2	1	1	. 1	1	1
3	146	4	1	· 1	1	1	1
4	943	0	0.054 ± 0.014	$\textbf{0.051} \pm \textbf{0.010}$	0.018 ± 0.003	0.034 ± 0.008	0.131
5	984	2	0.044 ± 0.008	0.058 ± 0.007	0.058 ± 0.003	0.053 ± 0.006	0.193
6	1228	0	0.022 ± 0.007	0.023 ± 0.008	0.009 ± 0.003	$\boldsymbol{0.018 \pm 0.007}$	≤0.01
7	1264	2	$\textbf{0.018} \pm \textbf{0.004}$	0.033 ± 0.008	0.011 ± 0.002	0.021 ± 0.005	≤0.01

^aValues from Ref. 10.

cross section for populating an excited state, when corrected for Q dependence, to the cross section for populating the state of the same spin I in the ground-state rotational band. The value of this ratio averaged over all angles is $(\sigma_{d,p}^{-862}/\sigma_{d,p}^{0})_{0} = 0.176$ for the reaction $^{239}\text{Pu}(d,p)^{240}\text{Pu}$ and $(\sigma_{p,t}^{-862}/\sigma_{p,t}^{0})_{0} = 0.15$ for the reaction $^{242}\text{Pu}(p,t)^{240}\text{Pu}$. The excitation energies of levels 8 and 9 also fit the energies of the I = 2 and 4 states of the second $K^{\pi} = 0^{+}$ band observed in the reaction $^{242}\text{Pu}(p,t)^{240}\text{Pu}$. Similarly, for the reactions to the $I^{\pi} = 2^{+}$ state (level 8) and to the state of corresponding spin in the ground-state band, the population ratio is 0.75 in the present (d, p) study, while the corresponding ratio in the (p, t) studies is 0.80.

However, the energy of level 7 is 15 keV lower than that of the second $I^{\pi} = 0^+$ excited state seen in the (p, t) studies, and the population ratio for the reactions to level 7 and to the $I^{\pi} = 0^+$ ground state is 0.90, while the corresponding ratio measured in the (p, t) studies is 0.10. Because of this energy shift, we believe that level 7 is a doublet. one component of which is the second $I^{\pi} = 0^+$ excited state. If this is true, then for both $K^{\pi} = 0^+$ excited vibrational bands, the population ratios are about the same for the (d, p) and (p, t) reactions to ²⁴⁰Pu. That is, the population of the state with $K^{\pi} = 0^+$, I = 0, $E_{x} = 862$ keV is about 18% of that of the ground-state band; and the population of the state with $K^{\pi} = 0^+$, I = 0, E_{π} = 1091 keV is about 10% of that of the ground state.

Since (to first order) the (d, p) reaction can populate only states in which one component is the $\frac{1}{2}$ +[631] neutron (which is unpaired in the target), we must be populating the $\{\frac{1}{2}$ +[631] $\}^2$ part of each state.² Also, in this case the only two-quasiparticle component that can contribute to these states

is the $\{\frac{1}{2}^{+}[631] + \frac{1}{2}^{+}[620]\}$ state, whose excitation energy is ~2 MeV so it would not mix strongly in either band. Moreover, the signature of this state shows no evidence for a strong admixture.

In the reaction ²³⁹Pu(d, t)²³⁸Pu, two excited $K^{\pi} = 0^+$ rotational bands are also populated. One of these—the one that includes the state with $K^{\pi} = 0^+$, I=0, $E_x = 943$ keV—was seen to be populated in the reaction ²⁴⁰Pu(p, t)²³⁸Pu.¹⁰ The other band, which includes the state with $K^{\pi} = 0^+$, I=0, $E_x = 1228$ keV, was not observed in the (p, t) reaction, but was assigned in studies of the electron-capture decay of ²³⁸Am.¹¹

In the lowest excited $K^{\pi} = 0^+$ band, the energies of levels 4 and 5 at 943 and 984 keV, respectively, agree within 1 keV with the energies of the I=0 and I=2 states seen in the reaction $^{240}Pu(p, t)^{238}Pu$.⁷ The angle-averaged population ratio for the (d, t) reactions populating the I=0 state is 0.034, while the corresponding ratio for the (p, t)reaction is 0.131.

Levels 6 and 7 at 1228 and 1264 keV, respectively, have the same excitation energies as the I=0 and I=2 states observed by Ahmad *et al.*¹¹ in ²³⁸Pu. The inability to observe population of these states in the (p, t) studies¹⁰ sets an upper limit of 1% of the ground-state strength. In the (d, t) studies we find that the angle-averaged value of the population ratio for transitions to the $I^{\pi} = 0^+$ state is 0.018. Therefore, the two excited $K^{\pi} = 0^+$ bands are seen to have 3.4 and 2% of the ground-state strength in the (d, p) reaction, but 13.1 and $\leq 1\%$ of the ground-state strength in the (p, t) reaction. Thus, these two reactions show no marked similarity in their ratios of excitedstate to ground-state strengths.

In conclusion, the excited $K^{\pi} = 0^+$ states in both ²⁴⁰Pu and ²³⁸Pu are seen to be populated in single-

particle transfer reactions. For the ²⁴⁰Pu final nucleus, the ratio of the strengths for population of the excited $K^{\pi} = 0^+$ bands to those for the ground-state bands is the same for the reaction ²⁸⁹Pu(*d*, *p*) as for ²⁴²Pu(*p*, *t*). For the ²³⁸Pu final nucleus, the corresponding ratio for the reaction ²³⁹Pu(*d*, *t*) is only $\frac{1}{4}$ of that for the reaction ²⁴⁰Pu(*p*, *t*).

Since the relative population of the $K^{\pi} = 0^+$ states is as large for the (d, p) reaction as it is for the (p, t), and since the relative population in the (d, t) reaction is still a quarter of that in the (p, t), it appears that the prediction of van Rij and Kahana⁷ is not upheld in these two cases. However, it should be noted that we have not taken two-step processes into account, and these could be responsible for the strengths seen in both reactions.

The authors would like to thank J. P. Schiffer and R. R. Chasman for many helpful discussions and are also grateful to J. Lerner for preparing the targets. Atomic Energy Commission.

†On leave from Tokyo University of Education, Tokyo, Japan.

¹M. Oothoudt, N. M. Hintz, and P. Vedelsby, Phys. Lett. <u>32B</u>, 270 (1970).

²J. H. Bjerregaard, O. Hansen, O. Nathan, and S. Hinds, Nucl. Phys. <u>86</u>, 145 (1966).

 $^3W.$ McLatchie, J. E. Kitching, and W. Darcey, Phys. Lett. <u>30B</u>, 529 (1969).

⁴J. V. Maher, J. R. Erskine, A. M. Friedman, J. P. Schiffer, and R. H. Siemssen, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>25</u>, 302 (1970).

⁵J. V. Maher, J. R. Erskine, A. M. Friedman, R. H. Siemssen, and J. P. Schiffer, Phys. Rev. C <u>5</u>, 1380 (1972).

⁶R. E. Griffin, A. D. Jackson, and A. B. Volkov, Phys. Lett. <u>36B</u>, 281 (1971).

⁷W. I. van Rij and S. H. Kahana, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>28</u>, 50 (1972).

⁸R. R. Chasman, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>28</u>, 1275 (1972). ⁹S. Bjørnholm, J. Dubois, and B. Elbek, Nucl. Phys. <u>118A</u>, 241 (1968).

¹⁰J. P. Schiffer, K. Katori, A. M. Friedman, and D. Albright, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 17, 463 (1972).

¹¹I. Ahmad, R. K. Sjoblom, R. F. Barnes, F. Wagner, Jr., and P. R. Fields, Nucl. Phys. <u>186A</u>, 620 (1972).

*Work performed under the auspices of the U.S.

Qualitative Theory of Pion Scattering by Nuclei*

H. A. Bethe

Laboratory of Nuclear Studies, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14850 (Received 6 December 1972)

The requirement of unitarity, together with the theory of the refractive index, puts a limit on the wave number of a pion (of given energy) in nuclear matter (of given density). A paradox in the conventional theory of pion scattering by complex nuclei is thereby avoided.

The standard theory of the scattering of pions by complex nuclei is based on the Kisslinger potential.¹ Several numerical calculations have been carried out²⁻⁴ with this potential, and agreement with experiment has generally been satisfactory. There is also an extensive literature on modifications of this potential and other approaches.

The Kisslinger potential has, however, a very puzzling feature, as follows. Using Ref. 2a, Eqs. (20) to (22), and neglecting the Coulomb potential, we find for the wave number of a pion in nuclear matter of *constant* density⁵ ρ

$$k^{2} = k_{0}^{2} (1 + b_{0} \rho) / (1 - b_{1} \rho), \qquad (1)$$

where k_0 is the free-space wave number, ρ the density of nuclear matter, $k_0^2 = \omega^2 - \mu^2$, and b_0

and b_1 are parameters describing the *s* and *p* scattering of a pion by a nucleon. These are determined by Auerbach *et al.* from the scattering of π by *free* nucleons, with the result (at 80-MeV lab energy)

$$b_1 = 6.5 + 1.8i \, \mathrm{F}^3.$$
 (2)

Disregarding the imaginary part, k^2 becomes infinite at $\rho = 0.16 \text{ F}^{-3}$, i.e., just about the density of normal nuclear matter, and becomes negative for higher density. This is a quite unphysical behavior.

The paradox is solved by two considerations, viz. (a) unitarity and (b) the Pauli principle. To discuss this problem, we start from the general theory of the refractive index which gives

$$k^2 - k_0^2 = 4\pi \rho f(k, 0), \tag{3}$$