state in which trace impurity atoms are present in the copper. Moreover, these results suggest that the many conflicting observations on the resistance minimum may be due to differences in the preparation of the samples.

In addition to work now in progress with dilute alloys of copper with various impurities subjected to oxidizing and reducing atmospheres, a similar study is contemplated for silver and gold. The effect of other reducing atmospheres on the

resistivity of copper is also under investigation.

Oak Ridge National Laboratory is operated by Union Carbide Corporation for the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

'D. K. C. MacDonald and W. B. Pearson, Acta Met. 3, 392 (1955).

 2 Blewitt, Coltman, and Redman, Phys. Rev. 93, 891 (1954).

³Redman, Coltman, Blewitt, and Klabunde, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 4, 150 (1959).

MAGNETIC SPIN PUMPING IN FLUIDS CONTAINED IN POROUS MEDIA

H. C. Torrey Physics Department, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, New Jersey

Jan Korringa Physics Department, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio

D. O. Seevers California Research Corporation, La Habra, California

and

Jean Uebersfeld Universite de Besangon, Besangon, France (Received September 8, 1959)

In several cases a large nuclear polarization has been produced in a fluid by pumping with microwave radiation in resonance with paramagnetic centers near a solid-fluid interface.^{1,2} The number of such paramagnetic sites per unit volume of the sample is often very small. Only nuclei in the fluid molecules nearest to the paramagnetic centers are directly experiencing the spin flips which give rise to such an Overhausertype effect. Therefore, molecular diffusion must play an essential role in these phenomena. In this note we derive a theoretical expression for the total nuclear polarization, which contains the fluid volume as an independent variable.

Let an amount of fluid with volume V and of spherical shape be bounded by the solid. The surface contains a number of paramagnetic centers which are in resonance with the microwave power; we denote by V_{p} the volume occupied by fluid molecules in the surface layer in the immediate vicinity of these centers, and by V_s (which is part of V) the volume occupied by fluid molecules in the remainder of the surface layer. We will assume that $V_{p} \ll V$. The nuclei in V_{p} are flipped at a given rate by the external microwave power through the two known processes of

the Overhauser type or through that of the type reported by Uebersfeld $\underline{\text{et}} \underline{\text{al}}$. and by Abragam and Proctor.³ Some flipped nuclei escape to the volume V before they are relaxed. In V they are exposed to the ordinary relaxation, characterized by the relaxation time T_1^0 . They also may penetrate the part V_s of V, as we assume that the fluid forms a single continuous phase. In V_s relaxation is influenced by the strongly reduced mobility of the molecules which, unless one approaches a solid-like condition, is an enhancement. Also surface magnetism not in resonance with the microwave power can contribute to the relaxation in V_s . We denote by T_1^S the relaxation time in V_s . Finally the nuclei may move back into V_b and be relaxed there, with a characteristic time $T₁$ ^{*p*}.

In a steady situation a complicated pattern of nuclear polarization will be established, given by the density $m_0 + m(x, y, z)$, where m_0 is the equilibrium value. We wish to calculate the total excess magnetization, $M = \int_V m dx dy dz$. This can be obtained from two simultaneous equations, for the steady state spin polarization in V and in V_{h} , respectively. The coupling describes the transfer of spin polarization across their boundary. In V

we use the equation⁴ for nuclear relaxation with diffusion:

$$
0 = \frac{\partial m}{\partial t} = D \nabla^2 m - m/T_1', \qquad (1)
$$

where D is the molecular diffusion constant, and T_1 ' is the relaxation time in V excluding the contribution from V_p . One of us⁵ has shown that under a wide variety of circumstances in sufficiently small pores:

$$
1/T_1' = 1/T_1^0 + (V_s/V)/(T_1^S + \tau_S),
$$
 (2)

where τ_s is the sticking time of molecules entering V_s . As a boundary condition for (1) we use the condition that the flux of polarization across the surface of the sphere equals the net flux across the boundary of V_b and V :

$$
4\pi R^2 D (dm/dr)_{r=R} = (V_p/\tau_p)[m_p - m(R)], \qquad (3)
$$

where R is the radius of the sphere, m_b is the steady state polarization density in $V_{\bm b}$, and τ is the sticking time of molecules in $\mathring{V}_{\bm{p}}$. Finally m_b is determined by the production rate of nuclear polarization in V_{p} , and by local relaxation and net transfer to V :

$$
0 = dm_p/dt
$$

= $\rho KS^*/T_1^p - m_p/T_1^p - [m_p - m(R)]/\tau_p$. (4)

Here ρ is the nuclear density in $V_{\bm{p}},\;S^{^*}$ is a measure for the electron spin polarization produce by the microwave source: $S^* = S_g - S_0$, i.e., the deviation of spin polarization from thermal equilibrium, for the Overhauser effect and $S^* = S_{\sigma}$ for the effect reported in references 1 and 3. $K=1$ for the effect mentioned last under the conditions of their experiments and for the Overhauser effect due to contact interaction; $K = -1/2$ for the Overhauser effect due to dipole-dipole interaction.

The solution of (1) which is well behaved at $r=0$ is of the form:

$$
m(r) = C \sinh(u/u)
$$
, $u = r(DT_1)^{-1/2}$.

Using (3) and (4), we obtain in the limit that $R(DT_1')^{-1/2} \ll 1$:

$$
M = V_p \rho K S^* T_1 / (T_1^p + \tau_p),
$$
 (5)

where

$$
1/T_1 = 1/T_1' + (V_p/V)/(T_1^p + \tau_p).
$$

This limiting condition which makes the result independent of the shape, is also a requirement for the validity of (2). Equation (5) also holds obviously when V is very large and at the same time $T_1 \approx T_1^0$. T_1 as given by (6) is, by analogy with (2), seen to be the experimentally observable relaxation time of the fluid in the pore.

One can distinguish two cases: Case 1. $T_1 \ll T_1^0$:

$$
M = V \rho K S^* \left[1 + \frac{V_S}{V_p} \left(\frac{T_1}{T_S} \frac{p + \tau_p}{r_1 + \tau_s} \right) \right]^{-1}, \tag{7}
$$

Case 2. $T_1 \approx T_1^0$:

$$
M = V_p \rho K S^* T_1^0 / (T_1^p + \tau_p).
$$
 (8)

Case 1, which applies when the surface relaxation dominates, describes an enhancement of the nuclear resonance absorption by a factor independent of V. One obtains the full Overhauser effect if relaxation in V_{b} dominates, $V_{s}/(T_{1}^{S}+\tau_{S})$ $\ll V_p/(T_1^{p} +\tau_p)$. If relaxation in V_s dominates one finds a reduced effect. This may be realized, e.g., if the electron resonance is inhomogeneous broadened. In case 2, i.e., when the bulk relaxation dominates, M is independent of V so that the observed enhancement factor varies as V^{-1} . This may apply when V is made very large compared with V_b and V_s , or when τ_b and τ_s are very long. One may conclude that the study of spin pumping in these systems gives rather detailed information concerning relaxation at the surface.

4H. C. Torrey, Phys. Rev. 104, 563 (1956).

Work was performed in the La Habra Laboratory of the California Research Corporation.

Erb, Motchane, and Uebersfeld, Compt. rend. 246, 2121 (1958).

 $3J.$ P. Borel and P. Cornaz, Compt. rend. 247 , 1988 (1958).

 $3A.$ Abragam and W. G. Proctor, Compt. rend. 246, 2253 (1958).

⁵H. C. Torrey (to be published).