Feynman-Kac Formula for Euclidean Fermi and Bose Fields

Konrad Osterwalder* and Robert Schrader†

Lyman Laboratory of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138 (Received 21 September 1972)

We define free, covariant Euclidean Bose and Fermi fields and establish their relation with the corresponding relativistic free fields. Using this correspondence we prove a Feynman-Kac formula for boson-fermion models.

Euclidean boson fields play a fundamental role in the construction of Lorentz-covariant fields. Their importance was stressed by Schwinger¹ and Symanzik,² whose ideas led to an abstract formulation by Nelson.³ Here we introduce free Euclidean fermion fields and the corresponding path-space formula for exp(-tH) and for Euclidean Green's functions.

Euclidean fermion fields involve complications absent for bosons.

(I) The Euclidean boson field $\Phi(x)$ agrees at time zero with the Lorentz boson field, $\Phi(0, \vec{x}) = \varphi(\vec{x})$. The Euclidean boson Fock space \mathcal{S}_B then contains the Lorentz boson Fock space \mathcal{F}_B .^{3,4} This does not hold for fermions, i.e., $\mathcal{F}_F \subset \mathcal{S}_F$, and sharp-time Euclidean Fermi fields create non-normalizable wave functions.

(II) Euclidean boson and fermion fields transform under the analytic continuation of the representation of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group to the inhomogeneous rotation group ISO₄ (Euclidean group). However, it is necessary to introduce two independent, anticommuting Euclidean fields Ψ^1 and Ψ^2 corresponding to the Lorentz fields ψ and $\overline{\psi}$. These extra degrees of freedom avoid a contradiction between Euclidean covariance of the fields ψ^1 and ψ^2 , the canonical anticommutation relations, and the form of the two-point function, which has to be equal to the relativistic Feynman propagator at imaginary times.

(III) In contradistinction to the Euclidean boson action, the Euclidean action V involving fermions is non-Hermitian. The adjoint transformation $V \rightarrow V^*$ is related to Euclidean time inversion (see below). This non-Hermitian property causes no difficulty in the physical interpretation.

(IV) In spite of these differences, as for Euclidean boson fields, the action density for chargeconserving theories is Abelian. Thus our Feynman-Kac formula for fermion-boson systems gives a mathematically precise history integral for both fermions and bosons and relates the history (path-space) integral to a Hamiltonian.

In a separate publication,⁵ a set of axioms for Euclidean Green's functions is given, and the axiomatic relation to Lorentz field theory is derived. Also a detailed version of the material presented here will be given elsewhere.⁶ For notational conventions in the relativistic case, we mostly follow those of Bjorken and Drell.⁷ We write x for a real four-vector (x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3) = $(x^0, \mathbf{\bar{x}})$ and xy for the Euclidean inner product

$$\sum_{i=0}^{3} x^{i} y^{i} = x^{0} y^{0} + \mathbf{\bar{x}} \cdot \mathbf{\bar{y}}.$$

On Euclidean Fock space $\mathscr{E} = \mathscr{E}_{F} \otimes \mathscr{E}_{B}$ we define two distinct Euclidean Fermi fields $\Psi_{\alpha}^{-1}(x)$ and $\Psi_{\alpha}^{-2}(x), \ \alpha = 1, ..., 4$, by

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{\alpha}^{\ 1}(x) &= (2\pi)^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^{4} \int e^{-ipx} (m_{j}^{\ 2} + p^{\ 2})^{-1/2} [D(p,j)^{*} V_{\alpha}^{\ j}(p) + B(-p,j) U_{\alpha}^{\ j}(p)] d^{4}p \,, \\ \Psi_{\alpha}^{\ 2}(x) &= (2\pi)^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^{4} \int e^{-ipx} (m_{j}^{\ 2} + p^{\ 2})^{-1/2} [D(-p,j) \hat{V}_{\alpha}^{\ j}(p) + B(p,j)^{*} \hat{U}_{\alpha}^{\ j}(p)] d^{4}p \,. \end{split}$$

They satisfy the anticommutation relations

 $\left\{\Psi_{\alpha}{}^{i}(x),\Psi_{\beta}{}^{j}(y)\right\}=0,$

$$\{\Psi_{\alpha}{}^{i}(x),\Psi_{\beta}{}^{j}(y)^{*}\}=2\delta_{ij}\delta_{\alpha\beta}(2\pi)^{-4}\int e^{-ip(x-y)}(p^{2}+m_{j}^{2})^{-1/2}d^{4}p.$$

The two-point function is

 $\langle \Psi_{\alpha}{}^{1}(x)\Psi_{\beta}{}^{2}(y)\rangle = \langle \overline{T}\hat{\psi}_{\alpha}(x)\hat{\overline{\psi}}_{\beta}(y)\rangle = i S_{\mathrm{F}\alpha\beta}(i(x^{0}-y^{0}),\mathbf{\overline{x}}-\mathbf{\overline{y}}),$

where $\hat{\psi}$ and $\hat{\psi}$ are the relativistic fields at imaginary time,

 $\hat{\psi}_{\alpha}(x^{0},\vec{\mathbf{x}}) = \exp(-x^{0}H_{0})\psi_{\alpha}(\vec{\mathbf{x}})\exp(x^{0}H_{0}), \quad \hat{\psi}_{\alpha}(x^{0},\vec{\mathbf{x}}) = \exp(-x^{0}H_{0})\overline{\psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{\mathbf{x}})\exp(x^{0}H_{0}).$

We also define the Euclidean Bose field $\Phi(x)$ by

$$\Phi(x) = (2\pi)^{-2} \int e^{-ikx} (k^2 + m_b^2)^{-1/2} [A(k)^* + A(-k)] d^4k.$$

Then $[\Phi(x), \Phi(y)] = 0$ and

$$\langle \Phi(x)\Phi(y)\rangle = \langle \overline{T}\hat{\varphi}(x)\hat{\varphi}(y)\rangle = i\overline{\Delta}_{\mathrm{F}}(i(x_0 - y_0), \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}),$$

where $\hat{\varphi}(x^0, \mathbf{\bar{x}}) = \exp(-x^0 H_0)\varphi(\mathbf{\bar{x}}) \exp(x^0 H_0)$.

The Euclidean vacuum $\Omega_{\rm E} \in \mathscr{E}$ is cyclic for the smeared fields $\Psi_{\alpha}{}^{i}(f), \Phi(g)$. There is a unitary representation $U_{1}(a)$ of the translation group and a unitary representation $U_{2}(A)$ of the universal covering group SU(2) \otimes SU(2) of the four-dimensional rotation group SO₄, such that with $U(A,a) = U_{2}(A)U_{1}(a)$, for all $A \in$ SU(2) \otimes SU(2), $a \in \mathbb{R}^{4}$,

$$U(A,a)\Omega_{\rm E} = \Omega_{\rm E}, \quad U(A,a)\Psi_{\alpha}^{1}(x)U(A,a)^{-1} = \sum_{\beta}R_{\alpha\beta}(A^{-1})\Psi_{\beta}^{1}(r(A)(x+a)),$$

$$U(A,a)\Psi_{\alpha}^{2}(x)U(A,a)^{-1} = \sum_{\beta}\Psi_{\beta}^{2}(r(A)(x+a))R_{\beta\alpha}(A), \quad U(A,a)\Phi(x)U(A,a)^{-1} = \Phi(r(A)(x+a)).$$

Here $A \rightarrow r(A)$ is the homomorphism of SU(2) \otimes SU(2) onto SO₄ and $A \rightarrow R(A)$ is a four-dimensional unitary representation of SU(2) \otimes SU(2).

As was explained in the introduction, the Lorentz boson Fock space \mathfrak{F}_B is a subspace of the Euclidean space \mathscr{E}_B . This does not hold for fermions, and the relation between \mathscr{E}_F and \mathfrak{F}_F is more complicated.

In \mathscr{E} we define the subspace \mathscr{E}_+ , the subspace of "positive times," to be the closed linear hull of the set of vectors of the form

$$X = : \prod_{i=1}^{k} \Psi_{\alpha_{i}}^{1}(f_{i}) \prod_{j=1}^{k'} \Psi_{\beta_{j}}^{2}(g_{j}) \prod_{l=1}^{k''} \Phi(h_{l}) : \Omega_{B},$$
(1)

where Wick ordering is defined as usual and the test functions f_i , g_i , and h_i are such that they vanish for $x^0 < 0$.

Now we define the mapping W_0 from a dense set of vectors in \mathcal{E}_+ onto a dense set of vectors in the Lorentz Fock space \mathcal{F} to be the linear extension of

$$W_0X = : \prod_{i=1}^{k} \hat{\psi}_{\alpha_i}(f_i) \prod_{j=1}^{k'} \hat{\psi}_{\beta_j}(g_j) \prod_{l=1}^{k''} \hat{\varphi}(h_l):\Omega$$

where X is defined by (1) and Ω is the vacuum vector in \mathcal{F} . W_0 is a bounded operator. For a proof we define a unitary involution Θ on \mathcal{E} such that $\Theta \Omega_{\rm E} = \Omega_{\rm E}$ and

 $\Theta \Psi_{\alpha}{}^{i}(x) \Theta^{-1} = \sum_{\beta} \Psi_{\beta}{}^{3^{-i}}(\theta x) * \gamma_{0\beta\alpha},$

where $\theta x = (-x_0, \bar{x})$. Then for all vectors X, Y of the form (1), we find that

$$\left(W_{0}X, W_{0}Y\right)_{\alpha} = \left(\Theta X, Y\right)_{g}.$$
 (2)

Equation (2) shows that W_0 is a bounded operator with norm smaller than 1. Therefore, it can be

extended to a bounded map W from \mathcal{S}_+ into \mathfrak{F} , and Eq. (2) holds for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}_+$ if we replace W_0 by W. We also find that for all $X \in \mathcal{S}_+$, $t \ge 0$,

$$WU_1((t,\overline{0}))X = \exp(-tH_0)WX, \qquad (3)$$

where $U_1((t, \vec{0}))$ is the unitary time translation group in \mathcal{E} . By formulas similar to (3) we can also establish the relation between the Euclidean fields and the Lorentz fields.

To establish the Feynman-Kac formula we consider a system with Yukawa interaction and polynomial boson self-interaction. Let P be a real polynomial of even degree with positive leading coefficient. In \mathscr{E} we define the following operators:

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\mathscr{G}}_{\kappa}(t,V) &= \int_{0}^{t} dx^{0} \int_{\boldsymbol{V}} d^{3}x : \boldsymbol{P}(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\kappa}(x)):, \\ \boldsymbol{Q}_{\kappa}(t,V) &= \int_{0}^{t} dx^{0} \int_{\boldsymbol{V}} d^{3}x \sum_{\alpha} : \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\kappa\alpha}^{2}(x) \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\kappa\alpha}^{1}(x) : \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\kappa}(x). \end{aligned}$$

The index κ denotes an ultraviolet cutoff; V is a finite volume in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Note that $Q_{\kappa}(t, V)$ is not symmetric, but using the involution Θ introduced above we have

$$Q_{\kappa}^{*}(t, V) = -\Theta Q_{\kappa}(-t, V)\Theta^{-1}$$

Similarly, we define in the relativistic Fock space \mathfrak{F}

$$P_{\kappa}(V) = \int_{V} d^{3}x : P(\varphi_{\kappa}(\mathbf{\bar{x}})):,$$
$$Q_{\kappa}(V) = \int_{V} d^{3}x \sum_{\alpha} : \overline{\psi}_{\kappa\alpha}(\mathbf{\bar{x}}) \psi_{\kappa\alpha}(\mathbf{\bar{x}}): \varphi_{\kappa}(\mathbf{\bar{x}})$$

Now let $X \in \mathcal{S}_+$ be a finite linear combination of vectors of the form (1). Under the above as-

sumptions, for $0 \le t \le \infty$,

$$W \exp\{-\left[\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\kappa}(t, \boldsymbol{V}) + \boldsymbol{Q}_{\kappa}(t, \boldsymbol{V})\right]\} \boldsymbol{U}_{1}((t, \boldsymbol{\vec{0}})) \boldsymbol{X}$$
$$= \exp\{-t\left[H_{0} + \boldsymbol{P}_{\kappa}(\boldsymbol{V}) + \boldsymbol{Q}_{\kappa}(\boldsymbol{V})\right]\} W \boldsymbol{X}$$

This is the Feynman-Kac formula. We remark that special care is needed for the definition of the operator $\exp[-Q_{\kappa}(t, V)]$ because the exponent $Q_{\kappa}(t, V)$ is not self-adjoint.

We are grateful to Professor A. Jaffe for many helpful discussions and for permanent encouragement.

*Work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. GP 31239X.

†Work supported in part by the U.S. Air Force Office

of Scientific Research under Contract No. F 44620-70-C-0030.

¹J. Schwinger, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. <u>44</u>, 956 (1958). ²K. Symanzik, in *Local Quantum Theory*, *Proceedings* of the International School of Physics "Enrico Fermi," *Course* XLV, edited by R. Jost (Academic, New York, 1969).

³E. Nelson, "Construction of Quantum Fields from Markoff Fields" (to be published), and "The Free Markoff Field" (to be published).

⁴J. Feldman, "A Relativistic Feynman-Kac Formula" (to be published).

⁵K. Osterwalder and R. Schrader, "Axioms for Euclidean Green's Functions" (to be published).

⁶K. Osterwalder and R. Schrader, "Euclidean Fermi Fields and a Feynman-Kac Formula for Boson-Fermion Models" (to be published).

⁷J. Bjorken and S. Drell, *Relativistic Quantum Fields* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965).

Measurement of the Asymmetry Parameter in the Photoproduction of φ Mesons

H. J. Halpern, R. Prepost, and D. H. Tompkins University of Wisconsin,* Madison, Wisconsin 53706

and

 R. L. Anderson, B. Gottschalk,[†] D. B. Gustayson,
D. M. Ritson, G. A. Weitsch, and B. H. Wiik[‡] Stanford University,[§] Stanford, California 94305 (Received 17 August 1972)

We have measured the asymmetry parameter $\Sigma = (\sigma_{\parallel} - \sigma_{\perp})/(\sigma_{\parallel} + \sigma_{\perp})$ for the photoproduction of φ mesons with photons polarized parallel and perpendicular to the plane of decay for the reaction $\gamma p \rightarrow \varphi p \rightarrow K^+ K^- p$. We find $\Sigma = 0.985 \pm 0.12$ at a photon energy of 8.14 GeV and |t| of 0.2 (GeV/c)², consistent with pure diffraction production, or pure natural-parity Regge exchange.

As first pointed out by Freund and more recently by Barger and Cline,¹ φp elastic scattering is expected to proceed purely by Pomeranchukon exchange, and therefore photoproduction of φ mesons should be purely diffractive. If this is correct, polarized photons should produce φ 's with polarizations 100% correlated with the incident polarization. Specifically, the asymmetry Σ , defined in terms of the yield of φ mesons σ_{\parallel} produced with a polarization vector parallel to the incident photon polarization and the yield σ_{\perp} normal to the incident photon polarization vector, should be unity²:

 $\Sigma = (\sigma_{\parallel} - \sigma_{\perp}) / (\sigma_{\parallel} + \sigma_{\perp}) = 1.$

In the present experiment, the detection plane of the K pairs from the decay $\varphi \rightarrow K^+K^-$ is fixed perpendicular to the production plane, and the photon beam has a polarization which may be oriented perpendicular or parallel to the production plane. The measured asymmetry A is defined as $A = (N_{\perp} - N_{\parallel})/(N_{\perp} + N_{\parallel})$, where N_{\perp} (N_{\parallel}) is the coincidence counting rate, corrected for accidentals, with the photon beam polarization vector normal (parallel) to the production plane. The quantity Σ is related to the measured asymmetry A by

$$\Sigma = A/|P_{\gamma}|(1-\epsilon),$$

where $|P_{\gamma}|$ is the magnitude of the photon beam polarization and ϵ is a small correction factor, about 6%, due to the finite angular acceptance of the K-pair spectrometer. In terms of densitymatrix elements,

$$\Sigma = (\rho_{11}^{1} + \rho_{1-1}^{1}) / (\rho_{11}^{0} + \rho_{1-1}^{1}).$$

1425