Measurement of the Charge Asymmetry in the Decay $K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \mu^{\mp} \nu^{\dagger}$

R. Piccioni, R. Coombes, G. Donaldson, D. Dorfan, * D. Fryberger, D. Hitlin, J. Liu, R. Messner, \$

Physics Department and Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305 (Received 5 September 1972)

The charge asymmetry in the decay $K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \mu^{\mp} \nu$ has been measured to be $(2.78 \pm 0.51) \times 10^{-3}$, leading to a value of Re ϵ in good agreement with the results from the $K_L^0 \rightarrow 2\pi$ decay modes.

We present herewith a measurement of the *CP*nonconserving charge asymmetry in the decay $K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi \mu \nu$. This quantity can be directly related to the fundamental parameters describing the $K^0 - \overline{K}^0$ system. Following standard phenomenology,¹ if one defines

$$|K_L^{0}\rangle = \frac{(1+\epsilon)|K^{0}\rangle - (1-\epsilon)|\overline{K}^{0}\rangle}{[2(1+|\epsilon|^2)]^{1/2}},$$

and the parameter x, which is the ratio of ΔS = $-\Delta Q$ to $\Delta S = \Delta Q$ amplitudes, as

$$x = \langle \pi^{-} \mu^{+} \nu | H | \overline{K}^{0} \rangle / \langle \pi^{-} \mu^{+} \nu | H | K^{0} \rangle,$$

then the charge asymmetry δ , defined as $\delta = (\Gamma_+ - \Gamma_-)/(\Gamma_+ + \Gamma_-)$ with $\Gamma_{\pm} = \text{Rate}(K_L^0 - \pi^{\mp}\mu^{\pm}\nu)$, is given by

 $\delta = 2 \operatorname{Re} \epsilon (1 - |x|^2) / (|1 - x|^2).$

The parameter x, and hence δ , can in general be a function of the Dalitz-plot position, and could be different for the $K_{\mu3}^{0}$ and K_{e3}^{0} decay modes. Recent measurements, however, indicate that xis small.²

The experiment was performed at the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center. The components of the experimental setup, as shown in Fig. 1, were as follows:

(1) A neutral kaon beam was taken at an angle of 50 mrad to a beam of 19-GeV electrons incident on a 20-cm Be target. Photons were removed by 36 radiation lengths of lead, and charged particles by three sweeping magnets. At the center of the detector, 77 m from the target, the beam cross section was 64 cm wide by 28 cm high. We received 160 beam pulses per second, each of 1600 nsec duration. Each pulse was sub-divided into buckets of <20 psec duration, with 12.5 nsec between buckets. A signal induced in a coaxial cable placed immediately downstream of the target enabled us to determine the K_L^0 production time. With this information and the time of the K_L^0 decay products, we were able to

determine the K_L^0 momentum. The $K_{\mu 3}{}^0$ decay and detected spectra peaked at 2.5 and 6.5 GeV/c, respectively.

(2) The decay volume, filled with helium, was6 m long.

(3) Five scintillation counter banks were used to identify events of interest. The V counter, operating as a veto, defined the up-beam side of the decay volume. The T-counter bank, which defined the down-beam side of the decay volume, consisted of an upper bank and a lower bank of twenty counters each, covering a total area 100 cm wide by 120 cm high. The twelve A counters covered an area 180 cm wide by 120 cm high. Behind the first and second lead walls were fourteen B and sixteen C counters, respectively. The trigger was \overline{VT}_iT_jABC , in coincidence with a beam signal. Event times were recorded in the A, B, and C counters.

(4) Two ten-gap wire spark chambers with capacitor-diode readout³ were placed on opposite sides of the magnet. Each gap measured positions along one coordinate only, with 4 X, 4 Y, and 2 UV planes in each chamber.

(5) The momentum-analyzing magnet had a gap which was 2.5 m wide by 1 m by 1 m, and im-



FIG. 1. Plan view of experimental apparatus.

Category	Description	Events (×10 ³)
2 track	Muon identification unambiguous; pion and muon seen in both chambers; vertex in decay volume.	8452
1.5 track	Muon identification unambiguous; pion not seen in down- beam chambers; vertex in decay volume.	4701
No pion	Muon identification unambiguous; no track forms vertex with muon.	1235
Ambiguous	Muon identification ambiguous; vertex in decay volume.	312
2 muon	Two distinct muons present; muons come from common vertex in decay volume.	193
Multiprong	Muon identification unambiguous; more than two tracks share same vertex.	244

TABLE I. Event categories.

parted a momentum transfer of 377 MeV/c. The magnetic field, monitored by an NMR probe, remained constant to $\pm 0.1\%$ throughout the experiment. A helium bag was placed in the magnet volume.

(6) The muon filter consisted of two lead walls, 75 and 30 cm thick, respectively, for a total of 7.7 interaction lengths. The minimum muon energy loss in traversing the lead walls was 1.6 GeV.

(7) An on-line PDP-9 computer served to accumulate and monitor the data, which were written onto magnetic tape for later analysis on the SLAC IBM 360/91 computer. The PDP-9 also displayed histograms of wire-chamber and scintillation-counter data, and periodically tested the phototubes and the readout and trigger electronics.

Data runs lasted approximately 2 h. After every other data run, the magnet polarity was reversed and diagnostic and calibration runs were made. Approximately 20 million triggers were taken. After removing data taken under inferior conditions and events with no identifiable muon, 15.1 million events remained. These were divided into groups as enumerated in Table I. Only the 2-track and 1.5-track events were used in the charge-asymmetry computation.

The following selection criteria, designed to reduce possible contamination, were then imposed on the data: (a) residual muon energy at the C bank, as computed from track-curvature measurement, > 220 MeV; (b) muon transverse momentum <187 MeV/c; (c) one and only one C counter triggered; (d) pion and muon triggered distinct T counters; (e) decay vertex >25 cm upbeam of the T bank; (f) kinematically fitted pion momentum >500 MeV/c; and (g) $P_0'^2 < 0$, eliminating $K_{\pi3}^0$ events. The variable $P_0'^2$ denotes the square of the K_L^0 momentum in the frame in which the sum of the longitudinal momenta of the charged pair is zero when the event is analyzed as $K_{\pi3}^0$ decay. A total of 7.7 million events satisfied these seven criteria.

A great deal of attention was devoted to the study and correction of systematic biases. Geometric asymmetries were eliminated by averaging magnet-polarity-forward and -reverse data. Reversing the magnetic field did not affect the wire-chamber readout, and had an insignificant effect on the phototube pulse heights, which were recorded with each event.

Particle charge determination was totally unambiguous, but some uncertainty could enter into the muon identification. We have therefore excluded from the data all events in which there was any doubt as to which wire-chamber track correlated with the muon counters behind the lead walls.

We have considered the possibility that accidental coincidences of tracks and scintillationcounter signals might result in spurious events. However, a study of events in which a single muon track was merged with tracks from another totally uncorrelated event showed the probability of accidental vertices to be very small.

The level of regeneration was exceedingly small and readily calculable. Beam interaction biases were measured by placing a 2.5-cm-thick carbon slab at several positions in the decay volume. From the number and asymmetry of the events verticizing at the slab, and from the helium/carbon slab mass ratio, we have determined the bias in the main data sample.

Potential muon biases were subject to direct measurement. We observed a large negativecharge asymmetry for those muons which just barely penetrated the lead walls. This may be due to μ^- capture or to ionization differences



FIG. 2. The uncorrected charge asymmetry δ plotted with respect to several variables. (a)-(c) δ versus the muon position in the *A*, *B*, and *C* counter banks. (d)-(f) δ versus the kinetic energy of the μ , π , and ν in the K_L^0 rest frame. (g), (h) δ versus the momentum of the μ and π . (j) δ versus the residual energy of the muon after the second wall; all events with muon energy <220 MeV are included in the lowest bin, including those in which the muon was apparently below threshold. (k) δ versus the horizontal distance between the projected muon wire-chamber trajectory and the muon *C*-counter position. (m) δ versus the (π , μ) vertex position along the beam axis. The upper left-hand corner of each plot contains χ^2 and the number of degrees of freedom for a fit to the average δ from that plot.

which extend the μ ⁻ range.⁴ We removed all such biases from the data by excluding events in which the computed muon energy after the second wall was <220 MeV.

The pion absorbing material of the detector was divided into two equal sections: the decay volume helium, the T counters, and the up-beam chambers; and the magnet volume helium, a polyethylene sheet duplicating the T-counter material, and the down-beam chambers. By measuring the absorption in the down-beam section, we could compute the overall bias. Using the muon and K_L^0 information and only the up-beam pion direction, we kinematically selected those events in which the pion momentum must have been large enough for it to traverse the magnet and the down-beam chambers. The numbers of these events which did and did not have an observed down-beam pion segment determined the absorption level and asymmetry. However, since this procedure was not equally sensitive for all pion momenta, we have excluded from the data all events which could have had a pion with momentum < 500 MeV/c, and applied a momentumdependent correction based on the 2-track events.

Pion decay effects were computed by Monte Carlo simulations. Biases due to pion penetration of the lead walls were measured via the $K_{\pi 3}^{0}$ mode. As the charge asymmetry in this mode is identically zero, any asymmetry observed in the $K_{\pi 3}^{0}$ events must be due to the penetration process.

We have also searched for other possible biases by examining many subsamples of the data, on the assumption that sizable systematic biases would affect different distributions in different ways. We have thus examined the asymmetry as a function of many variables. Some of these results are shown in Fig. 2. We have found no evidence of extraneous biases in any of these distributions. Furthermore, the asymmetry of the 2-track and 1.5-track events agree within statistics.

The systematic corrections and the final charge asymmetry are presented in Table II. Our value of $\delta = (+2.78 \pm 0.51) \times 10^{-3}$ agrees well with previous determinations in both the $K_{\mu3}{}^0$ and $K_{e3}{}^0$ decay modes.⁵ We have also examined the dependence of the uncorrected asymmetry on the center-of-mass energies of the pion, muon, and neutrino, and have found no statistically significant variation. In the absence of $\Delta S = -\Delta Q$ amplitudes, our result gives $\text{Re}\epsilon = (1.39 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-3}$, in excellent agreement with the *CP*-nonconserv-

VOLUME 29, NUMBER 20

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

TABLE II. Tabulation of systematic corrections.

Description	Corrections (ppm)	
Events passing final cuts	$+3010\pm360$	
Pion absorption	-290 ± 221	
Pion decay	$+431 \pm 41$	
Pion penetration	-394 ± 271	
Beam interactions	$+8 \pm 19$	
Pulse-height variation	-2 ± 2	
Asymmetric contributions to "ambiguous" event group	-25 ± 25	
Asymmetric elimination of multiple C-counter events	$+65 \pm 65$	
Regeneration	-16 ± 6	
Accidentals	-8 ± 10	
Final corrected charge asymmetry	$+2779 \pm 509$	

ing results in the $K_{\pi 2}^{0}$ modes,² which yield Re $\epsilon = (1.51 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$. If we assume the $K_{\pi 2}^{0}$ result for Re ϵ , we may compute limits on the $\Delta S = -\Delta Q$ amplitudes. Assuming Imx = 0, we find Re $x = -0.04 \pm 0.10$. Thus, the results of this experiment are completely consistent with the class of theories assigning the *CP*-invariance violation entirely to the $K^0 - \overline{K}^0$ mass matrix,⁶ and with the absence of $\Delta S = -\Delta Q$ amplitudes.

We particularly wish to thank L. Birkwood, D. Clark, K. Hense, D. Ouimette, and C. Rasmussen for their invaluable contributions to the construction and maintenance of the spectrometer. We are also grateful to our colleagues from the University of Colorado, A. Franklin, R. Morse, and U. Nauenberg, for their help during the initial checkout of the system. Finally, we acknowledge the splendid support of the Experimental Facilities, Accelerator Operations, and Computer Operation Groups of the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center.

†Work supported by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

*Alfred P. Sloan Foundation Fellow.

[‡]Permanent address: University of California, Santa Cruz, Calif. 95060.

[§]Permanent address: University of Colorado, Boulder, Colo. 80302.

|| Present address: Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovoth, Isreal.

¹T. T. Wu and C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>13</u>, 380 (1964).

²K. Winter, in *Proceedings of the Amsterdam International Conference on Elementary Particles*, edited by A. G. Tenner (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1971).

³R. Coombes *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods <u>98</u>, 317 (1972).

⁴J. D. Jackson and R. L. McCarthy, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Report No. LBL-742 (to be published).

⁵D. Dorfan *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>19</u>, 987 (1967);

S. Bennett et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 19, 993 (1967);

J. Marx *et al.*, Phys. Lett. <u>32B</u>, 219 (1970); R. L. Mc-Carthy, thesis, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Report No. LBL-550, 1971 (to be published); V. Ashford *et al.*, Phys. Lett. <u>38B</u>, 47 (1972).

⁶The best known of these is the superweak theory, L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>13</u>, 562 (1964).

Comparison of Particle-Antiparticle Elastic Scattering from 3 to 6 GeV/c^*

I. Ambats, D. S. Ayres, R. Diebold, A. F. Greene, S. L. Kramer, A. Lesnik, D. R. Rust,

C. E. W. Ward, A. B. Wicklund, and D. D. Yovanovitch

Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439

(Received 5 September 1972)

Differential cross sections for $\pi^{\pm}p$, $K^{\pm}p$, pp, and $\overline{p}p$ elastic scattering were measured at 3, 3.65, 5, and 6 GeV/c for momentum transfers from 0.03 to 1.5 GeV² using the Argonne effective mass spectrometer. Particular attention was paid to the relative particle-antiparticle normalization. The crossover points are consistent with no energy dependence, average values being 0.14 ± 0.03 , 0.190 ± 0.005 , and 0.160 ± 0.007 GeV² for π 's, K's, and protons, respectively.

The difference between elastic scattering of particles and antiparticles from protons is due to interference terms between amplitudes of op-

posite charge conjugation (C) in the t channel. For example, the $K^{\pm}p$ cross sections are believed to be dominated by P, f (or P'), and ω non-