

---

**ERRATA**


---

PARALLEL NATURE OF THE  $\Lambda_1$ - $\Lambda_3$  ENERGY BANDS IN GERMANIUM. Stephen Koeppen, Paul Handler, and Stephen Jasperson [Phys. Rev. Lett. 27, 265 (1971)].

The value of the transverse matrix element in Eqs. (3) and (4) should be  $P_t^2 = \frac{1}{2}(0.65)^2$ , where 0.65 is the one-electron matrix element from Ref. 12 which neglects the spin-orbit splitting. This results in a value of  $\Delta K_{111}$  from Eq. (4) which is too large by a factor of 2. In other words, the value of  $P_t$  necessary to give  $\Delta K_{111} = 0.4$  a.u. is larger than the one-electron value by about  $\sqrt{2}$ , which is probably a result of the Coulomb interaction. The conclusions of our paper remain the same: The one-electron theory describes the data very well although a somewhat larger matrix element must be used.

OBSERVATION OF THE OPTICAL ANALOG OF THE MÖSSBAUER EFFECT IN RUBY. A. Szabo [Phys. Rev. Lett. 27, 323 (1971)].

The first sentence on page 325 should read, "Taking<sup>5</sup>  $\Delta B_z = 7$  G, we calculate at  $\theta = 0^\circ$  the homogeneous linewidths  $\Delta(\pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{3}{2}) = 35$  MHz, and  $\Delta(\pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}) = 5$  MHz."

In Eq. (1) read  $(I+1)$  for  $(I=1)$ . In Eq. (2) the term  $(\gamma/I)\vec{I}_i \cdot \vec{H}$  should be preceded by a negative sign.

SOME REMARKS ON THE  $(3, 3^*) \oplus (3^*, 3)$  BREAKING OF CHIRAL SYMMETRY. Riazuddin and

S. Oneda [Phys. Rev. Lett. 27, 548 (1971)].

In Eq. (20),  $m_\pi^2$ ,  $m_K^2$ ,  $m_{\eta_8}^2$ , and  $m_{\eta_8-\eta_0}^2$  should read as

$$\begin{aligned} m_\pi^2 &= \epsilon_0[\alpha + (\frac{2}{3})^{1/2}\beta] + (\epsilon_8/\sqrt{3})\beta, \\ m_K^2 &= \epsilon_0[\alpha + (\frac{2}{3})^{1/2}\beta] - (\epsilon_8/2\sqrt{3})\beta, \\ m_{\eta_8}^2 &= \epsilon_0[\alpha + (\frac{2}{3})^{1/2}\beta] - (\epsilon_8/\sqrt{3})\beta \equiv A, \\ m_{\eta_8-\eta_0}^2 &= \epsilon_8(\frac{2}{3})^{1/2}(\beta + \frac{1}{2}\beta') \equiv C. \end{aligned}$$

In the fourth line after Eq. (20), read  $C(q^2 - p^2) \times (pq)^{-1} = B - A$  instead of  $C(q^2 - p^2)(pq)^{-1} = A - B$ . In Eq. (21), change  $(m_K^2 - m_\pi^2)$  to  $(m_K^2 - m_\pi^2)^2$ . Equation (22) should read as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\eta\eta'} &= -\frac{c + \sqrt{2}}{3c} \frac{C}{m_{\eta'}^2 - m_\eta^2} \{ (1 - \sqrt{2}c) \\ &\quad \times [m_{\eta'}^2 + m_\eta^2 - 2m_K^2] + \sqrt{2}cm_0^2 \}. \end{aligned}$$

Equation (24) should then read  $G = \{2 \text{ GeV}^2 - 1.8m_0^2\}$ . For the values of  $m_0^2$  lying between 0 and 1.5 GeV<sup>2</sup>,  $\Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi\pi)$  lies between  $1.6 \times 10^{-2}$  and  $2 \times 10^{-3}$  MeV. [The most realistic value  $m_0^2 \sim 1$  GeV<sup>2</sup> gives  $\Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi\pi) \approx 0.2 \times 10^{-3}$  MeV.] In any case, our conclusion does not change.

Eu<sup>153</sup> INDIRECT SPIN-SPIN INTERACTION AND INHOMOGENEOUS LINE BROADENING IN FERROMAGNETIC EuO. J. Barak, I. Siegelstein, A. Gabai, and N. Kaplan [Phys. Rev. Lett. 27, 817 (1971)].

The drawings, but not the captions, of Figs. 1 and 2 should be exchanged.