ization of photons.

We would like to thank Dr. Roy Schwitter for his advice on crystals and coherent production. Our calculations were based largely on a modification of his computer program. We are grateful to Professor Giordano Diambrini-Palazzi for mentioning to us this method of polarizing photons.

*Work supported in part by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission and in part by the National Science Foundation.

¹N. Cabibbo, G. Da Prato, G. De Franceschi, and U. Mosco, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>9</u>, 270 (1962). These authors also discuss the birefringence of crystals in the same context: Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>9</u>, 435 (1962).

²H. Überall, Phys. Rev. <u>103</u>, 1055 (1956).

³G. Bologna, G. Diambrini, and G. Murtas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 4, 134 (1960).

 4 G. Diambrini-Palazzi, Rev. Mod. Phys. <u>40</u>, 611 (1968); G. Lutz and U. Timm, Z. Naturforsch <u>21a</u>, 1976 (1966); U. Timm, DESY Report No. 69/14 (un-published).

⁵D. Gustavson and R. Talman, Stanford Linear Accelerator Center Internal Report (unpublished).

⁶A. W. Moore, A. R. Ubbelohde, and D. A. Young Nature (London) <u>198</u>, 1192 (1963), and Proc. Royal Soc., Ser. A <u>280</u>, 153 (1964). (1964).

⁷N. F. Graves, A. W. Moore, and S. L. Strong, in Proceedings of the Ninth Biennial Conference on Carbon, Boston, Massachusetts, June 1969 (to be published), Abstract CA-27.

⁸G. Barbiellini, G. Bologna, G. Diambrini-Palazzi, and G. P. Murtas, Nuovo Cimento <u>28</u>, 436 (1963).

⁹G. Diambrini-Palazzi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>25</u>, 478 (1970).

¹⁰G. McClellan et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 22, 374 (1969). ¹¹Instead of using the coincident $\pi^+\pi^-$ rates, we used the single-arm pion rates, since, for the average of the polarization at the four points of highest polarization, they gave $(\pm 1.0\%)$ the same value for P as did the coincident rates. This allows us to study the angular dependence of Fig. 2 with better statistics. The fact that the production plane of single pions photoproduced from complex nuclei can serve as an analyzer is entirely reasonable. That is because the dominant source of such pions is photoproduced ρ^0 mesons. These are concentrated in a forward cone which is small compared with the ρ^0 decay cone. As a result, detecting a single pion from the decay fixes the decayplane azimuth with fair accuracy. This assumption yields an upper limit for the analyzing power.

¹²G. E. Bacon, Nature (London) 166, 794 (1950).

¹³D. Cromer and J. Waber, Acta Crystallogr. <u>18</u>, 104 (1965).

¹⁴D. Luckey and R. Schwitters, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 81, 164 (1970).

Search for Violation of *CP* Invariance in τ^{\pm} Decay*

W. T. Ford, † P. A. Piroué, R. S. Remmel, A. J. S. Smith, and P. A. Souder

Department of Physics, Joseph Henry Laboratories, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08540 (Received 17 August 1970)

We report a comparison of the Dalitz-plot distributions of 1.6 million τ^+ decays ($K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$) and an equal number of τ^- decays. No significant asymmetry has been found in any region of the plot. In terms of the difference in the slope parameters a^+ and $a^$ for the odd-pion c.m.-energy spectra, the asymmetry is $\Delta = (a^+ - a^-)/(a^+ + a^-) = -0.0070$ ± 0.0053 . We also present a preliminary result for the slope parameter itself: a=0.283 ± 0.005 . New measurements of the τ^{\pm} decay rates and their difference confirm previous results.

In an experiment at the Brookhaven alternatinggradient synchrotron, we have analyzed ~3.2 million τ decays $(K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}\pi^{\pm}\pi^{\mp})$, ~1.6 million for each charge of the kaon. The purpose of the experiment was twofold: (1) To search for a violation of *CP* invariance in τ decay by comparing the τ^{+} and τ^{-} Dalitz plots. Any difference would indicate a *CP* violation outside the neutral kaon system, and hence one which could not occur via the "superweak" interaction.¹ (2) To determine the structure of $|M|^2$, the square of the τ -decay matrix element. All existing data on τ decay² (~58 000 τ^- , ~37 000 τ^+ events) are consistent with the linear approximation $|M|^2 dX dY \propto (1+aY) dX dY$, where *a* is a constant, and $X = \sqrt{3} |T_1 - T_2|/Q$ and $Y = (3T_3 - Q)/Q$ are the Dalitz-Fabri coordinates.³ Here T_1 , T_2 , and T_3 are the c.m. kinetic energies of the two "even" pions (same charge as the kaon's) and the "odd" pion, respectively; $Q = T_1$ $+ T_2 + T_3$. In addition to reducing the uncertainty in the slope parameter *a*, this high-statistics experiment should give new information as to the presence of higher terms in the expansion of $|M|^2$. In this Letter we report the final results



FIG. 1. Experimental arrangement. Upstream of D_3 and not shown are the Cherenkov counter and more scintillation counters.

of the τ^+ - τ^- Dalitz-plot comparison and a preliminary value for the slope a.

The experimental arrangement is shown in Fig. 1. The 3.0-GeV/c separated K^+ and $K^$ beams were defined by a differential gas Cherenkov counter and three scintillation counters, only the last of which is shown. Kaons decaying into three charged pions were detected with $<\!\!2\%$ background by a threefold coincidence in an eightcounter hodoscope octagonally symmetric about the beam. For 58% of such coincidences the odd pion passed through the wide-aperture spectrometer magnet D_{\star} and hit the sixteen-counter hodoscope behind it. The eleven magnetostrictive wire spark chambers (each with x and y planes) were then triggered. The five chambers ahead of D_4 measured the directions of all three pions. For three-track events each chamber had >95%efficiency per spark and $\sim 25\%$ probability for an extra spark. Two of the chambers were rotated 45° with respect to the other three to resolve ambiguities. The six chambers behind D_4 , which were missed completely by all even pions and beam particles, served to measure the odd-pion momentum. The digitized spark coordinates were fed to a PDP-9 computer, which monitored the chamber and hodoscope performances. The PDP-9 was interfaced to the PDP-6 computer of the Brookhaven on-line data facility, where a substantial fraction of the events were completely reconstructed.4

In principle this experiment is more sensitive than previous comparisons² not only because it has much larger statistics, but also because the same apparatus and programs were used in the detection and analysis of the τ^+ and τ^- events. Many checks were performed to ensure that the systematic differences between τ^+ and τ^- operation were negligible: (1) The position, size, momentum, angular spread, rate, accidential rate, etc. of the K^+ and K^- beams were carefully monitored with counters, circuitry, and on-line reconstruction programs. Except for the kaon rates $(R_{K^+} \approx 2R_{K^-})$ the two beams were identical; the central-momentum difference between them, for example, was <0.1%. Accidentals were negligible.

(2) The most critical parameter in the comparison, the field in the spectrometer magnet D_4 , was monitored with Hall and NMR probes and further checked by sending through the sparkchamber spectrometer system, the K^+ and $K^$ beams and also π^+ and π^- mesons of 1.5, 1.0, and 0.6 GeV/c. The results showed any positivevs-negative momentum asymmetry to be $\leq 0.05\%$. As the Earth's magnetic field introduced a systematic error of 0.03%, the correction applied to the difference between the positive and negative odd-pion momenta was $(0.03 \pm 0.05)\%$.

(3) The distributions of τ^+ and τ^- events were compared for a series of laboratory parameters, e.g., decay-point position along the beam line, opening angle between decay pions, odd-pion momentum, hodoscope rates, etc., and also for parameters defined in the τ rest frame, namely the directions of the normal to the decay plane and of the odd-pion momentum vector. No asymmetries were observed in any case.

(4) Nuclear interactions of the decay pions in the apparatus caused no noticeable asymmetry.

(5) Monte Carlo studies showed that Dalitzpair events (e.g., $\pi^{\pm}e^{\pm}e^{\mp}\gamma$) contributed only ~0.5% to the trigger rate, and hence could not cause any significant asymmetry.

(6) Because of the redundancy in the system any biases introduced by reasonable fluctuations in chamber efficiences were negligible, as shown by Monte Carlo studies. Even so, chamber and counter efficiencies were always closely regulated, especially when the magnet polarities were reversed.

Two million events were obtained for each kaon charge; after reconstruction and kinematic fitting (two-constraint fit) 80% of them were accepted as τ decays. The 20% loss, consistent with Monte Carlo predictions, arose mainly from decays of the τ -decay pions within the apparatus. Figure 2 gives the τ^+ and τ^- Dalitz-plot distributions, both containing the same total number of events, and uncorrected for apparatus acceptance. To compare the distributions, an asymmetry parameter $A = (N^+ - N^-)/(N^+ + N^-)$ was calculated for various regions of the plot,

1	1165	645 623	71								
	8136 8349	7513 7534	6459 6523	4366 4475	2014 2098	163 167					
Y	10588 10708	10362 10341	9799 9911	9285 9210	8207 8145	6011 6024	1657 1701				
	11812 11895	11477 11468	10906 11041	10351 10528	9673 10010	8867 8818	7244 7343	2356 2279			
	12161 12235	12227 12063	11657 11622	11230 11014	10570 10609	9836 10009	8737 8619	6498 6604	1105 1094		
	12666 12867	12457 12368	11967 11971	11602 11485	11007 10836	10433 10410	9448 9598	8093 8002	4272 4314	37 29	
	13250 13008	12770 12767	12251 12418	11785 11846	10963 11244	10424 10462	9707 9704	8600 8765	6510 6590	985 1052	
0	13374 13311	12854 13152	12540 12448	11806 11976	11296 11227	10807 10568	9932 9886	9176 9114	7607 7415	2437 2495	
	13624 13558	13076 13301	12590 12606	11903 12056	11435 11453	10748 10840	10113 9992	9582 9409	8022 7979	3298 3295	
	13552 13727	13333 13524	12872 12788	12266 12115	11777 11372	11037 10973	10316 10365	9743 9797	8194 8293	3349 3360	
	13957 13885	13549 13526	13067 12921	12644 12743	11935 11825	11422 11291	10718 10733	9772 9875	8150 8354	2648 2584	
	14120 13947	13809 13875	13454 13378	12664 12881	12403 12498	11611 11637	11285 11072	10359 10306	8254 8236	1289 1337	
	14615 14784	14199 14488	14105 13697	13401 13292	13037 12852	12354 12224	11612 11401	10774 10579	7028 7235	114 148	
	15299 15383	15043 14984	14504 14501	13848 14240	13504 13495	12980 13050	12179 11867	10850 10643	3999 4032		
	15370 15407	15161 15157	14529 14579	14406 14265	13718 13646	13089 13205	12138 12169	9057 8978	582 597		
	15725 15319	15249 15286	15082 14714	14328 14232	13666 13595	12994 13272	11273 11266	3254 3311			
	15159 15318	15101 15181	14785 14714	14252 14129	13522 13591	12077 11928	5566 5581	21 17			
	14220 14446	14015 14182	13897 13991	13396 13361	11826 11764	5972 5824	173 115				
	12337 12363	12214 12190	11758 11802	9653 9728	3811 3662	45 55					
-1	7125 6995	6160 6138	3785 3769	645 651		I				1	
1	0				0	.5		Х		1	

FIG. 2. Arrays of the Dalitz-plot distributions of τ^+ (upper numbers) and τ^- (lower numbers) events, normalized to the same total number (1 626 725) of events, and uncorrected for apparatus acceptance. The variables X and Y are defined in the text.

where N^+ (N^-) is the τ^+ (τ^-) population of the region. We found no region where A differed signifcantly from zero. Results are summarized in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), where the X and Y projections of A are plotted, and in Table I, in which the following asymmetry values are listed:

(A) The slope-parameter asymmetry $\Delta = (a^+ -a^-)/(a^+ + a^-)$, calculated from the data of Fig. 3(b). The result, $\Delta = -0.0070 \pm 0.0053$, places a fairly strong limit on predictions of some^{5,6} proposed models of *CP* violation, although most models⁵ estimate that $|\Delta| \leq 10^{-3}$. (Of the quoted error in Δ , 80% is due to the statistical uncertainty, the rest to the uncertainty in the spectrometer field.)

(B) The asymmetries for various Dalitz-plot sectors defined by straight lines through the point (X=0, Y=0) and at angles θ with respect to the X axis.

(C) The decay-rate asymmetry, remeasured in this experiment along with the absolute τ^{\pm}



FIG. 3. (a) X projection and (b) Y projection of the asymmetry values $A = (N^+ - N^-)/(N^+ + N^-)$; (c) Y projection of the Dalitz plot, weighted for phase space and Coulomb interactions. The line is a best fit to 1 + aY, where $a = 0.283 \pm 0.005$ (statistical error only).

decay rate. New and previous⁷ results are listed. A preliminary analysis of the pion energy spectra was obtained by combining the already ana-

Table I. Results of the $\tau^+ - \tau^-$ comparison. All quoted errors include the systematic uncertainty of the spectrometer field.

А.	Asymmetry in Slope Parameters:						
		(a ⁺ - a ⁻)/(a ⁺ + a ⁻)					
		0070 ± .0053					
в.	Asymmetry in Various Sectors of the Dalitz Plot:						
	Region	$(N^{+} - N^{-})/(N^{+} + N^{-})$					
	$0 < \theta < \pi/2$ (upper half)	0010 ± .0007					
	$-\pi/2 < \theta < 0$ (lower half)	.0008 ± .0006					
	$\pi/6 < \theta < \pi/2$ (upper third)	0019 ± .0010					
	$-\pi/6 < \theta < \pi/6$ (center third)	.0014 ± .0008					
	$-\pi/2 < \theta < \!\! -\pi/6$ (lower third)	.0003 ± .0007					
c.	Asymmetry in Decay Rates:						
		$(\Gamma^{+} - \Gamma^{-})/(\Gamma^{+} + \Gamma^{-})$	Γ(10 ⁶ sec ⁻¹)				
	This experiment	.0005 ± .0007	4.529 ± 0.032				
	Previous expt. (Ref. 7)	0002 ± .0011	4.496 ± 0.030				
	Combined ^a	.0004 ± .0006	4.511 ± 0.024				

^aThe errors of the two experiments are dominated by systematic uncertainties and hence not completely independent. lyzed τ^+ and τ^- events and correcting for the apparatus efficiency as determined from ~230 000 Monte Carlo events. The *Y* projection of $|M|^2$ is shown in Fig. 3(c), in which phase space has been weighted to account for final-state Coulomb interactions,⁸ to allow comparison with other 3π decay modes. A linear fit of the form 1+aYyields $a=0.283\pm0.005$ (statistical error only). Without correcting for Coulomb interactions we obtained $a=0.247\pm0.005$. Complete results will be presented when possible biases have been thoroughly investigated to the level of a few million Monte Carlo-generated events.

We are indebted to the staffs of the alternatinggradient synchrotron and the on-line data facility of Brookhaven National Laboratory for their support throughout the experiment. We wish also to thank Professor A. Lemonick who worked with us at the early stages of the project, and Professor S. Treiman for many valuable discussions. This work made extensive use of the Princeton University Computer Center, sponsored in part by the National Science Foundation.

†Permanent address: Synchrotron Laboratory, Cal-

ifornia Institute of Technology, Pasadena, Calif. 91109. ¹L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>13</u>, 562 (1964). ²T. S. Mast, L. K. Gershwin, M. Alston-Garnjost,

R. O. Bangerter, A. Barbaro-Galtieri, J. J. Murray, F. T. Solmitz, and R. D. Tripp, Phys. Rev. <u>183</u>, 1200 (1969); W. R. Butler, R. W. Bland, G. Goldhaber, S. Goldhaber, A. A. Hirata, T. O'Halloran, G. H. Trilling, and C. G. Wohl, UCRL Report No. UCRL-18420 and Addendum, 1968 (unpublished); J. Grauman, E. L. Koller, S. Taylor, D. Pandoulas, S. Hoffmaster, O. Raths, L. Romano, P. Stamer, A. Kanofsky, and V. Mainkar, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>23</u>, 737 (1969), and Phys. Rev. D <u>1</u>, 1277 (1970). Earlier papers reporting fewer than 10 000 events are not mentioned.

³R. H. Dalitz, Phil. Mag. <u>44</u>, 1068 (1953), and Phys. Rev. <u>94</u>, 1046 (1954); E. Fabri, Nuovo Cimento <u>11</u>, 479 (1954).

⁴For a description of the data-handling system see W. T. Ford, P. A. Piroué, R. S. Remmel, A. J. S. Smith, and P. A. Souder, to be published.

⁵L. Wolfenstein, in *Theory and Phenomenology in Particle Physics*, Proceedings of the School of Physics "Ettore Majorana," 1968, edited by A. Zichichi (Academic, New York, 1969), Pt. A.

⁶B. R. Holstein, Phys. Rev. <u>177</u>, 2417 (1969).

⁷W. T. Ford, A. Lemonick, U. Nauenberg, and P. A. Piroué, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>18</u>, 1214 (1967).

⁸Although there is some question about which prescription to use, we have applied the one used by most authors, due to Dalitz [Proc. Phys. Soc., London, Ser. A <u>69</u>, 527 (1956)]. See also L. I. Schiff, *Quantum Mechanics* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955), 1st ed., p. 116.

Observation of Coherent Interference Pattern Between ρ and ω **Decays***

H. Alvensleben, U. Becker, William K. Bertram, M. Chen, K. J. Cohen, R. T. Edwards,

T. M. Knasel, R. Marshall, D. J. Quinn, M. Rohde, G. H. Sanders,

H. Schubel, and Samuel C. C. Ting

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg, Germany, and Department of Physics and Laboratory for Nuclear Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139 (Received 24 August 1970)

We report a high-statistics experiment measuring the structure of e^+e^- mass spectrum from photoproduction of ρ and ω mesons. At 5.1 GeV, based on 4000 events, analysis of the spectra yields a ratio of vector-meson-photon coupling constants $\gamma_{\omega}^2/\gamma_{\rho}^2 = 9.4^{+2.6}_{-1.6}$ and a ρ - ω phase difference $\varphi_{\omega\rho} = 41^{\circ} \pm 20^{\circ}$.

We report the observation of interference in the e^+e^- final state from the leptonic decay of ρ and ω mesons, diffractively photoproduced off beryllium:

$$\gamma + \text{Be} \rightarrow \text{Be} + V^{0}(\rho, \omega)$$

$$-----e^+e^-$$
. (1)

Near the mass region $m_{ee} \cong m_{\rho} \cong m_{\omega}$, the total amplitude of the e^+e^- pairs is

$$A_{\mathbf{T}} = A_{\mathrm{BH}} + A_{\rho} + A_{\omega} + A_{x}.$$

where $A_{\rm BH}$ is the Bethe-Heitler amplitude, ${}^{1}A_{\rho}$ (A_{ω}) is the diffractive photoproduction amplitude of ρ

(2)

^{*}Work supported by the U. S. Office of Naval Research, Contract No. NOO14-67-A-0151-0001, and by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission, Contracts Nos. AT (30-1)-2137 and AT (30-1)-4159.