## PHYSICAL REVIEW **LETTERS**

## VOLUME 24  $13$  APRIL 1970 NUMBER 15

## THEORETICAL CALCULATION OF A NEW EFFECT IN ORTHO-PARA H, CONVERSION ON MAGNETIC SURFACES

E. Ilisca\*

Laboratoire de Résonance Magnétique, Faculté des Sciences, Paris, France (Received 12 February 1970)

Evidence is presented for a new effect in ortho-para  $H<sub>2</sub>$  conversion on magnetic solid surfaces at low temperature. This effect is a resonant exchange of energy between the spins of the magnetic impurities and  $H_2$  molecules which are absorbed in their vicinity. This process could explain the strong activities of many catalysts.

In 1933 Wigner' calculated the effect of paramagnetic molecules on the ortho-para conversion rate of gaseous hydrogen. The coupling of the nuclear spins of a H, molecule with the dipole field of a nearby magnetic molecule breaks the symmetry and causes a slight mixing of ortho and para states. Kinetic energy provides the energy balance. The same mechanism was used in 1953 by Harrison and McDowell' in a calculation for the heterogeneous case in which the magnetic dipoles are part of a solid surface on which the H, molecules are adsorbed. At temperatures below  $100^{\circ}$ K the more effective magnetic catalysts have conversion rates which are up to  $10<sup>4</sup>$  times those calculated.<sup>3</sup> This discrepancy can be removed when one allows for the possibility that the energy balance is provided by magnons.

The ortho-para transition probability is proportional to the spectral density  $J(\omega)$  of the matrix element of the perturbation,  $H_1$ , at the frequency  $\omega_{o,p} = (E_o - E_p)/\hbar$ , where  $E_o$  and  $E_p$  are the energies of the lowest ortho and para states of an adsorbed  $H_2$  molecule.  $H_1$  is the sum of the dipole interactions of the magnetic spin  $\tilde{S}$  with the two protons of this molecule. Let  $A_{\mu\nu}$  be the part of  $H_1$  connecting the para (ground) state (total nuclear spin zero, rotational angular momentum zero) and one of the almost degenerate ortho

ground states (nuclear spin state  $\mu$ , rotational state  $\psi$ .  $A_{\mu\nu}$  depends on S and on the distance  $\overline{R}$  between the molecule and the magnetic spin. It is of the form

$$
A_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{\alpha\beta} D_{\mu\nu}{}^{\alpha\beta} S_{\alpha} \rho_{\beta}, \qquad (1)
$$

where  $D_{\;\mu\nu}^{\;\;\alpha\beta}$  are constants,  $S_{\alpha}$  are the component of  $\bar{\text{S}}, \,$  and  $\rho_{\beta}$  are known functions of  $\bar{\text{R}}$ . One has

$$
J(\omega) = \sum_{\mu\nu} \int_0^{\infty} \langle A_{\mu\nu}(0) A_{\mu\nu}(t) \rangle e^{-i\omega t} dt + \text{c.c.,} \quad (2)
$$

where  $A_{\mu\nu}(t)$  is the operator in the interaction representation, and where  $\langle \rangle$  indicates the average over a canonical ensemble for the magnetic spin variables and the position variables. Neglecting correlation between the time dependence of  $\overline{S}$  and  $\overline{R}$ , one has

$$
\langle A_{\mu\nu}(0) A_{\mu\nu}(t) \rangle = \sum_{\alpha\beta\alpha'\beta'} D_{\mu\nu}{}^{\alpha\beta} D_{\mu\nu}{}^{\alpha'\beta'}
$$

$$
\times \langle S_{\alpha}(0) S_{\alpha'}(t) \rangle \langle \rho_{\beta}(0) \rho_{\beta'}(t) \rangle. \tag{3}
$$

Furthermore, the approximation is made that the time dependence of  $\widetilde{R}$  is characterized by a correlation time  $\tau_c$ , i.e.,

$$
\langle \rho_{\beta}(0)\rho_{\beta}\prime(t)\rangle = K_{\beta\beta}\prime e^{-t/\tau}c. \tag{4}
$$

The form of the spin correlation function depends on the interactions of the magnetic spins with the lattice and with each other. Two cases are discussed here.

I. For a paramagnetic catalyst one has typically

$$
\langle S_{\alpha}(0)S_{\alpha'}(t)\rangle = \frac{S(S+1)}{3}\delta_{\alpha\alpha'}e^{-t/\tau_{\beta}},
$$
\n(5)

where  $\tau_p$  is the relaxation time of the magnetic spin. Thus

$$
J(\omega_{op}) \sim \frac{2\tau}{1 + \omega_{op}^2 \tau^2},\tag{6}
$$

where  $\tau^{-1} = \tau_p^{-1} + \tau_c^{-1}$ . As a function of  $\tau$ , the conversion rate reaches its maximum when  $\omega_{o,p}\tau$ <br>=1, which gives  $\tau \approx 10^{-12}$  sec. It is of interest to =1, which gives  $\tau \approx 10^{-12}$  sec. It is of interest to distinguish between the case  $\tau_c \ll \tau_p$  and  $\tau_c \gg \tau_p$ .

(a)  $\tau_c \ll \tau_p$ . This is the case considered in Ref. 2; the ortho-para energy difference is transferred to the H, thermal bath via the kinetic energy of the adsorbed phase. As  $\tau_c$  for adsorbed hydrogen at  $T < 100^{\circ}$ K is typically of the order of or<br>drogen at  $T < 100^{\circ} \rm K$  is typically of the order of<br>10<sup>-10</sup> sec or longer, the conversion rate is less  $t_{\text{tot}}$  and  $t_{\text{tot}}$  is the conversion rate is rest<br>than  $10^{-2}$  of its theoretical maximum. Because of  $\omega_{o_p}\tau_c \gg 1$ ,  $J(\omega_{o_p}) \sim 1/\tau_c$ , i.e., the conversio rate increases with increasing temperature.

(b)  $\tau_c \gg \tau_p$ . In this case the energy goes to the solid lattice, via the spin system. Paramagnet<br>relaxation times of  $10^{-10}$  sec and shorter do ex relaxation times of  $10^{-10}$  sec and shorter do exist, even at low temperatures. For such systems, spins with shorter relaxation times give larger conversion rates. Leffler<sup>4</sup> reached the opposite conclusion.

II. With a Heisenberg ferromagnet as catalyst, one has, for components perpendicular to the spontaneous magnetization,

$$
\langle S_{\alpha}(0)S_{\alpha}(t)\rangle \sim \sum_{\vec{q}}[F(\omega_{\vec{q}})-F(-\omega_{\vec{q}})], \qquad (7)
$$

where

$$
F(\omega_{\vec{q}}) = n(\omega_{\vec{q}})e^{-t(i\omega_{\vec{q}}+1/\tau_{\vec{q}})}.
$$
 (8)

 $\omega_{\vec{\sigma}}$  is the frequency of a magnon with wave number  $\bar{q}$ ,  $\tau_{\vec{q}}$  is its lifetime, and  $n(\omega_{\vec{q}})$  its distribution function, i.e.,  $n(\omega) = (e^{\omega/k} - 1)^{-1}$ . When  $f(\omega)$ denotes the density of magnon states, one therefore has

$$
J(\omega_{op}) \sim \int_0^\infty d\omega f(\omega) n(\omega) [B(\tau, \omega_{op} - \omega) -B(\tau, \omega_{op} + \omega)], \qquad (9)
$$

where the constant of proportionality is of the same order as in (6) and where

(6) 
$$
B(\tau, \omega) = \frac{2\tau}{1 + \omega^2 \tau^2}, \quad \tau(\omega_{\vec{q}})^{-1} = \tau_c^{-1} + \tau_{\vec{q}}^{-1}.
$$
 (10)

The first term in the square brackets of Eq. (9) displays a sharp resonance: Given that, at low  $T, \ \omega_{00}\tau \gg 10^2$ , one has  $B(\tau, 0)/B(\tau, \omega_{00}) \gg 10^4$ . Therefore, one can approximate:

$$
J(\omega_{\circ p}) \sim n(\omega_{\circ p}) \overline{f}(\omega_{\circ p}), \qquad (11)
$$

where  $\bar{f}(\omega_{0, p})$  is the average of  $f(\omega)$  over a region of width  $1/\tau$  around  $\omega_{0,p}$ . As the density of magnon states is narrowly peaked, (11) can be several orders of magnitude larger than (6) provided that the peak falls within a width  $1/\tau$  of  $\omega_{0}$ , which requires a Curie temperature of the order of 100°K. Under this condition, larger values of  $\tau$  (lower T) give larger conversion rates. The ortho-para energy difference is principally used to excite a single magnon.

The author would like to thank Professor J. Korringa for his continued help and Professor J. L. Motchane and Professor J. Uebersfeld for a number of suggestions.

<sup>1</sup>E. P. Wigner, Z. Physik. Chem. (Leipzig)  $\underline{B23}$ , 28 (1933).

<sup>\*</sup>Research sponsored in part by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, U. S. Air Force, under AFOSR Grant No. AF-AFOSR-68-1487, through the Ohio State University Research Foundation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>L. G. Harrison and C. A. McDowell, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London), Ser. <sup>A</sup> 220, 77 (1953).

 ${}^{3}$ N. Wakao, J. M. Smith, and P. W. Selwood, J. Catalysis 1, 62 (1962).

<sup>4</sup>A. J. Leffler, J. Chem. Phys. 43, <sup>4410</sup> (1965).