[9]J. Schwinger, in Proceedings of the International Theoretical Physics Conference on Particles and Fields, Rochester, New York, 1967, edited by C. R. Hogen et al. (Interscience, New York, 1968), p. 143, and Phys. Letters 24B, 473 (1967).

[10]B. Renner, Phys. Letters 21, 453 (1966). This coupling relation is obtained from an elementary attempt to saturate the commutation relations with single-particle states. It implies much too large an A_1 width in relation to the ρ width. The full effective Lagrangian provides the means to correct this situation. See Ref. 9 and J. Wess and B. Zumino, Phys. Rev. 163, 1727 (1967). See also H. J. Schnitzer and S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. 164, 1828 (1967).

[11]If M is significantly larger than the ρ mass, $(4\ln 2-\frac{5}{2})$ is replaced by $(m/M)^2$.

[12]For nonzero external four-momentum at least a logarithmic divergence presumably develops, as in the π^+-π^0 mass shift. However, in principle, these weak-electromagnetic vertices could be less singular. We adopt a skeptical view of the finite result in Eq. (8) similar to that which one can take with respect to the π^+-π^0 mass difference in Eq. (1)—this in addition to the skepticism accompanying the hypothetical basis of the calculation.

[13]H. J. Lipkin and S. Meshkov, Phys. Rev. Letters 22, 213 (1969).

[14]C. Defoix, P. Rivet, J. Siaud, B. Conforto, M. Widgoff, and F. Shively, Phys. Letters 28B, 383 (1968).

[15]R. Ammar, R. Davis, W. Kropac, J. Mott, D. Slate, B. Werner, M. Derrick, T. Fields, and F. Schweingruber, Phys. Rev. Letters 21, 1832 (1968).

[16]D. H. Miller, S. L. Kramer, D. D. Carmony, R. L. Eisner, A. F. Garfinkel, L. J. Gutay, and W. L. Yen, Phys. Letters 29B, 255 (1969).

[17]J. H. Campbell, S. Lichtman, F. J. Loeffler, D. H. Miller, R. J. Miller, W. J. Miller, and R. B. Willmann, Phys. Rev. Letters 22, 1204 (1969).

[18]V. E. Barnes, S. U. Chung, R. L. Eisner, E. Flaminio, P. Guidoni, J. B. Kinson, N. P. Samios, D. Bassano, M. Goldberg, and K. Jaeger, Phys. Rev. Letters 23, 610 (1969).

ERRATUM

EXTERIOR THREE-PARTICLE WAVE FUNCTION. H. Pierre Noyes [Phys. Rev. Letters 23, 1201 (1969)].

The equation for $\cos\mu_{is}$ in Eq. (3) should read

$$\cos\mu_{is} = \left[\frac{m_i m_s}{(m_i+m_s)(m_s+m_{s'})}\right]^{1/2}.$$

In order for the complete set $u_p^{li}(x)f_\lambda(qy)$ to have eigenvalue z in the three-particle Hilbert space, the first factor should be restricted to $p^2=z-q^2$. This can be accomplished by writing the representation given in the line before Eq. (6) as

$$u_p^{li}(x)W^i(x) = -(2/\pi)p\exp(-i\delta_p)\int_0^\infty dk\,k\,t_l^i(k,p;z-q^2).$$

Since in the one-variable equation subsequently derived only the half-off-shell two-particle t matrix occurs, nothing in this paper is affected by this change. The bracket in the sentence following Eq. (10) should read: "[which lies in the strip bounded by $y_s=(x_s\cos\mu_{is}\pm R)/\sin\mu_{is}$]."